# Assignment 3: Traveling Salesperson COSC 3020: Algorithms and Data Structures

## Lars Kotthoff larsko@uwyo.edu

#### Instructions

Solve the following tasks. You may work in teams of up to two people. For the theoretic part, submit a PDF with your solution, for the practical part, submit the JavaScript file(s) to WyoCourses. The name and student ID of *all* partners must be clearly visible on each page of your PDF and in each source code file. Only one partner needs to submit. You have until Friday, 2 December 2022, 23:59h.

You may not use external libraries in your code unless explicitly stated.

I will test your code on Linux with node.js, checking whether your code works with empty inputs in addition to functionality. Please test it on the lab machines, where you have the same environment – if your code does not run, you may get no points for this part. If you submit a file other than a PDF or if your code is not in a separate Javascript file you may get no points for it. If you submit scanned or photographed handwritten notes, I will take off 10%.

Acknowledge all sources you used – this includes help from the TA, other students, websites, etc.

This assignment is about the Traveling Salesperson Problem I mentioned in the lecture on NP-hard problems – given a set of cities, determine the length of the shortest tour that visits all of them. We can get from any city to any other city, i.e. the graph of cities is completely connected. We consider the version of the Traveling Salesperson Problem that finds the shortest tour to visit n cities, starting at a city and ending at the nth city; it  $does\ not$  go back to the start. The start city may be any of the cities.

I will test your code with the following distance matrix (among others), which has a shortest tour of length 3:

[[0,1,2], [1,0,2], [2,2,0]]

#### 1 Dynamic Programming (14 points)

The Held-Karp algorithm for solving the Traveling Salesperson Problem is a recursive algorithm that considers every subset of cities and finds shortest tours within them. It takes advantage of the fact that every subroute of a route of minimum length is of minimum length itself. The main idea is that to solve the problem of finding the shortest route for n cities, we first solve the problem of finding the shortest route for n-1 cities, and then find the shortest route from the n-1st city to the nth city. The pseudocode for the algorithm is as follows:

Implement a dynamic programming version (which could use memoization) of the Held-Karp algorithm. Investigate your implementation's empirical time complexity, i.e. how the runtime increases as the input size increases. *Measure* this time by running the code instead of reasoning from the asymptotic complexity (this is the empirical part). Create inputs of different sizes and plot how the runtime scales (input size on the x axis, time on the y axis). Your largest input should have a runtime of *at least* an hour. I recommend running this code on a lab machine or in the cloud so you can explore much larger inputs. If you use memoization, make sure that the cache is reset every time the function is called such that multiple calls do not end up using old and incorrect values.

Your implementation must provide a user-callable function with the following signature:

```
function tsp_hk(distance_matrix);
```

The function takes a distance matrix (the adjacency matrix for the graph where the values in the cells are the distances between the corresponding cities) and returns the length of the shortest tour (not the tour itself). Submit your implementation along with the code you used for your experiments and a report detailing your experiments and your runtime plot.

What is the worst-case asymptotic time complexity of your implementation? What is the worst-case asymptotic memory complexity?

#### 2 Stochastic Local Search (14 points)

The 2-opt algorithm for solving the Traveling Salesperson Problem is a randomized local search algorithm that, at each iteration, reverses part of the route. It starts with a random route (this is the randomized part), and changes part of the route in each step (this is the local search part, sprinkled with more randomness). The pseudocode for one iteration is as follows:

```
2optSwap(route, i, k)
cities 1 to i-1 stay in the order they are
cities i to k are reversed
cities k + 1 to n stay in the order they are
```

For example, if I call the above function with route A–B–C–D–E–F, i=2, k=4, the resulting route is A–B–E–D–C–F.

The algorithm starts with a random route; if the new route at the end of an iteration decreases the total length, it is retained as the current incumbent. The incumbent after the final iteration is returned as the solution.

Implement the 2-opt algorithm, which repeatedly runs the above steps. Your implementation needs to fix two design parameters that I have left open. First, you need to design a stopping criterion – when would it make sense to stop and return the shortest route found so far rather than trying another iteration? Second, design a way to choose i and k – note that they need to be different in subsequent iterations, as one iteration would simply undo what the previous one did otherwise.

Investigate the empirical time complexity of your implementation, i.e. how the runtime increases as the input size increases. Create inputs of different sizes and plot how the runtime scales (input size on the x axis, time on the y axis). Your largest input should have a runtime of at least an hour.

Your implementation must provide a user-callable function with the following signature:

```
function tsp_ls(distance_matrix);
```

Again the function takes a distance matrix (the adjacency matrix for the graph where the values in the cells are the distances between the corresponding cities) and returns the length of the shortest tour (not the tour itself). Submit your implementation along with the code you used for your experiments and a report detailing your experiments and your runtime plot. Describe in your submission how you designed your stopping criterion and ways of choosing i and k and why.

What is the worst-case asymptotic time complexity of your implementation? What is the worst-case asymptotic memory complexity?

### 3 Comparison (5 points)

How does the empirical time complexity of your the Held-Karp and the 2-opt algorithms compare? Note that you need to run the two codes on the same

graphs and the same machine to be comparable. How do the achieved solution qualities, i.e. the lengths of the shortest tours, compare? You must show a detailed comparison for both, for example with plots that show the values for both at the same time, either as absolute values or relative to each other.