

---

CUSTOMER DRIVEN PROJECT

# Rock Concert Audience as a Screen

Project Report

Netlight AS

---

Agnethe Soraa, Tomas Dohnalek, Jan Bednarik, Milos Jovac  
Project adviser: Anh Nguyen Duc

September 18, 2013

# Abstract

The purpose of this document is to give an insight into the details of the planning, research, design and implementation of the task given in the course TDT4290 - Customer Driven Project. The project aims to give the students experience with a real project, and with a real customer. This gives the students an opportunity to combine both theory and practice. The customer for our project is Netlight AS.

Our project will be about researching and implementing image processing. Naturally this means we also have to solve problems regarding mapping of mocked units to locations as a function of time. The environment takes place at a rock concert, which means we also have to solve issues with timing and syncing between multiple independent units.

This is a proof-of-concept task. All the research done will be documented, and used to argue for and against the solutions. We will also argue for and against alternative solutions. Everything from the planning to the complete conclusion is described in this report. To be able to solve these problems we have to start by investigating relevant technologies, and how we can make this possible. The conclusion of this study allows us to create a system which showcases the real potential of our solution.

# Preface

This report is one of the deliverables in the course TDT4290 Customer Driven Project, which given by Department of Computer and Information Science at the The Norwegian University of Science and Technology, in the fall of 2013. Based on this project, the group's work will be evaluated and graded by the appropriate personnel in charge of the course. We would like to thank our teaching supervisor, Anh Nguyen Duc , for regular input and guidance. We would also like to give a special thanks our customer, Peder Kongelf from Netlight consulting, who has given us the opportunity to work on such an interesting project, and also for being enthusiastic and helpful throughout the whole course.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	General information . . . . .	4
1.2	Terminology . . . . .	4
1.3	Structure of report . . . . .	4
1.4	Project and project name . . . . .	4
1.5	Project purpose and concept . . . . .	4
1.6	Project goal . . . . .	4
1.7	Stakeholders . . . . .	4
1.7.1	Customer . . . . .	4
1.7.2	Customer contact . . . . .	4
1.7.3	Development team . . . . .	4
1.7.4	Advisor . . . . .	4
1.8	Project background . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminary studies</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Similar projects . . . . .	5
2.1.1	Wham Lights . . . . .	5
2.2	Market investigation . . . . .	6
2.3	Existing technologies and frameworks . . . . .	6
2.4	Evaluation of alternative solutions . . . . .	6
2.5	Outcome of research - Our decision . . . . .	6
2.6	Constraints . . . . .	6
2.7	Chosen development technologies?? . . . . .	6
2.8	Evaluation criteria . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Planning</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	Project plan . . . . .	8
3.2	Methodology choice - Scrum . . . . .	8
3.3	Organization . . . . .	8
3.4	Risk Management . . . . .	8
3.5	Quality Assurance . . . . .	8
3.6	Measurement of project effects . . . . .	8
3.7	Duration and workload . . . . .	8

3.8	Gantt diagram . . . . .	8
3.8.1	Description . . . . .	8
3.8.2	Result schedule . . . . .	8
3.8.3	Roles . . . . .	8
3.8.4	Version Control . . . . .	8
3.8.5	Textual documentation . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Requirements</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	Description/scope . . . . .	9
4.2	Definitions/general terms . . . . .	9
4.3	Business Requirements . . . . .	9
4.3.1	Functional . . . . .	9
4.3.2	Non-functional . . . . .	10
4.4	Use cases . . . . .	10
4.5	Summary . . . . .	10
<b>5</b>	<b>Testplan</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1	Approach . . . . .	11
5.2	Templates . . . . .	11
5.3	Responsibilities . . . . .	11
5.4	Test criteria . . . . .	11
<b>6</b>	<b>Software Architecture</b>	<b>12</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	12
6.2	Selection of architectural viewpoints . . . . .	12
6.3	Views . . . . .	12
6.4	Tactics . . . . .	12
6.5	Patterns . . . . .	12
6.6	Data Storage . . . . .	12
<b>7</b>	<b>Tools and strategy</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Sprint 0</b>	<b>14</b>
8.1	Sprint planning . . . . .	14
8.1.1	Sprint 0 User-stories . . . . .	14
8.2	System Burndown . . . . .	14
8.3	Architecture . . . . .	15
8.4	Implementation . . . . .	15
8.5	Testing . . . . .	15
8.6	Occurring risks . . . . .	15
8.7	Retrospective . . . . .	15
8.7.1	Pros . . . . .	15
8.7.2	Cons . . . . .	15
8.8	Evaluation . . . . .	15
<b>9</b>	<b>Sprint 1</b>	<b>16</b>
9.1	Sprint planning . . . . .	16

9.1.1	Sprint1 User-stories . . . . .	16
9.2	Architecture . . . . .	17
9.3	Implementation . . . . .	18
9.4	Testing . . . . .	18
9.5	Occurring risks . . . . .	18
9.6	Retrospective . . . . .	18
9.6.1	Pros . . . . .	18
9.6.2	Cons . . . . .	18
9.7	Evaluation . . . . .	18
<b>10</b>	<b>Sprint 2</b>	<b>19</b>
10.1	Sprint planning . . . . .	19
10.1.1	User-stories . . . . .	19
10.2	System Burndown . . . . .	19
10.3	Architecture . . . . .	19
10.4	Implementation . . . . .	19
10.5	Testing . . . . .	19
10.6	Occurring risks . . . . .	19
10.7	Retrospective . . . . .	19
10.7.1	Pros . . . . .	19
10.7.2	Cons . . . . .	19
10.8	Evaluation . . . . .	19
<b>11</b>	<b>Sprint 3</b>	<b>20</b>
11.1	Sprint planning . . . . .	20
11.1.1	User-stories . . . . .	20
11.2	System Burndown . . . . .	20
11.3	Architecture . . . . .	20
11.4	Implementation . . . . .	20
11.5	Testing . . . . .	20
11.6	Occurring risks . . . . .	20
11.7	Retrospective . . . . .	20
11.7.1	Pros . . . . .	20
11.7.2	Cons . . . . .	20
11.8	Evaluation . . . . .	20
<b>12</b>	<b>Sprint 4</b>	<b>21</b>
12.1	Sprint planning . . . . .	21
12.1.1	User-stories . . . . .	21
12.2	System Burndown . . . . .	21
12.3	Architecture . . . . .	21
12.4	Implementation . . . . .	21
12.5	Testing . . . . .	21
12.6	Occurring risks . . . . .	21
12.7	Retrospective . . . . .	21
12.7.1	Pros . . . . .	21

12.7.2 Cons . . . . .	21
12.8 Evaluation . . . . .	21
<b>13 Sprint 5</b>	<b>22</b>
13.1 Sprint planning . . . . .	22
13.1.1 User-stories . . . . .	22
13.2 System Burndown . . . . .	22
13.3 Architecture . . . . .	22
13.4 Implementation . . . . .	22
13.5 Testing . . . . .	22
13.6 Occurring risks . . . . .	22
13.7 Retrospective . . . . .	22
13.7.1 Pros . . . . .	22
13.7.2 Cons . . . . .	22
13.8 Evaluation . . . . .	22
<b>14 Sprint 6</b>	<b>23</b>
14.1 Sprint planning . . . . .	23
14.1.1 User-stories . . . . .	23
14.2 System Burndown . . . . .	23
14.3 Architecture . . . . .	23
14.4 Implementation . . . . .	23
14.5 Testing . . . . .	23
14.6 Occurring risks . . . . .	23
14.7 Retrospective . . . . .	23
14.7.1 Pros . . . . .	23
14.7.2 Cons . . . . .	23
14.8 Evaluation . . . . .	23
<b>15 Testing</b>	<b>24</b>
15.1 Types . . . . .	24
15.2 Unit testing . . . . .	24
15.3 Integration . . . . .	24
15.4 System testing . . . . .	24
15.5 Usability . . . . .	24
15.6 Acceptance . . . . .	24
<b>16 Evaluation</b>	<b>25</b>
16.1 Group evaluation . . . . .	26
16.1.1 Group dynamics . . . . .	26
16.1.2 Role assignment . . . . .	26
16.1.3 Risk evaluation . . . . .	26
16.1.4 Customer and project task . . . . .	26
16.1.5 Advisor . . . . .	26
16.2 Project Evaluation . . . . .	26
16.2.1 Planning . . . . .	26

16.2.2	Preliminary Studies . . . . .	26
16.2.3	Scrum . . . . .	26
16.2.4	Meetings-Summary . . . . .	26
16.2.5	Course feedback . . . . .	26
16.2.6	Testing . . . . .	26
16.2.7	Time usage . . . . .	26
16.3	Technology evaluation . . . . .	26
16.3.1	Skype . . . . .	26
16.3.2	Github . . . . .	26
16.3.3	Facebook . . . . .	26
16.3.4	Testflight . . . . .	26
16.3.5	Google documents . . . . .	26
16.3.6	Latex . . . . .	26
16.3.7	TargetProcess3 . . . . .	26
16.3.8	Technical issues . . . . .	26
<b>17</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>27</b>
17.1	Introduction/Final product/description . . . . .	27
17.2	Results . . . . .	27
17.2.1	Functionalities . . . . .	27
17.3	Evaluation criteria . . . . .	27
17.4	Evaluation Results . . . . .	27
17.5	Conclusion . . . . .	27
17.6	Discussion . . . . .	27
17.7	Further work . . . . .	27
17.8	Reflection . . . . .	27
17.9	Summary . . . . .	27
<b>18</b>	<b>References</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>19</b>	<b>Attachments</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>User Manual</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Installation Guide</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>XML Scheme?</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>Customer meetings</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>Group meetings</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>G</b>	<b>Supervisor meetings</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>H</b>	<b>Evaluation Questioner</b>	<b>37</b>



# 1 | Introduction

1.1 General information

1.2 Terminology

1.3 Structure of report

1.4 Project and project name

1.5 Project purpose and concept

1.6 Project goal

1.7 Stakeholders

1.7.1 Customer

1.7.2 Customer contact

1.7.3 Development team

1.7.4 Advisor

1.8 Project background

## 2 | Preliminary studies

This chapter is devoted to describing the outcomes of the preliminary research focusing on the similar already existing projects and technologies we could utilize.

- possibly sth like:

---

In this chapter preliminary studies will be presented, starting from current situation in the Cloud Systems. After that a WS-agreement will be presented and the explanation for the team choices will be given.

---

Since this is a prototype project, a considerable time is put into this part of the project, to assure the team makes good choices when it

This chapter is ment to outline the preliminary study of our project. This includes what our technologies aims to achieve and how we will use them to achieve this.

### 2.1 Similar projects

#### 2.1.1 Wham Lights

uses:

- Dan Beacon - Brad Paisley - Outside lands
- Trans Siberian Orchestra - laser show

## **2.2 Market investigation**

## **2.3 Existing technologies and frameworks**

## **2.4 Evaluation of alternative solutions**

## **2.5 Outcome of research - Our decision**

## **2.6 Constraints**

We are developing this project under few technical resource, time and knowledge limitations. Our biggest limitation is the image processing part. Half of the team has no experience with this and the other half has little experience. Their experience is mostly theoretical information about the subject and practical experience is preferred.

We are aware of this limitation and our plan is to learn by doing. We are going to start developing and teach ourself while coding. We chose this approach because we do not want to spend more time than necessary doing research. Another limitation is lack of experience with Mobile development within the development team. All of the team members have Android phones, and to be able to test our application, we have to develop an Android application. Only one team member have experience with this.

If we are not scaling down the project then we do not have all necessary resources to test the system. As an example we do not have a huge audience or the access to a big screen used on concert stages. As this course last for a 13 weeks, it is normal that we have to make some trade-offs. This project is technically difficult and there is a limited amount of time.

## **2.7 Chosen development technologies??**

## **2.8 Evaluation criteria**



## 3 | Planning

3.1 Project plan

3.2 Methodology choice - Scrum

3.3 Organization

3.4 Risk Management

3.5 Quality Assurance

3.6 Measurement of project effects

3.7 Duration and workload

3.8 Gantt diagram

3.8.1 Description

3.8.2 Result schedule

3.8.3 Roles

3.8.4 Version Control

3.8.5 Textual documentation

## 4 | Requirements

### 4.1 Description/scope

Since our customer namely specified the

### 4.2 Definitions/general terms

### 4.3 Business Requirements

#### 4.3.1 Functional

1. Client behavior - Client must be able to receive commands from the server and display color according to the instructions.
2. More servers - An user can specify to which server (concert stage) he wants to connect.
3. Localization - Server must be able to detect mobile device's positions using image processing.
4. Core - Server should be able to display media through a few (a least 3) mobile phones' screens.
5. Media selection - An server user can choose which media should be played on screen made from mobile phones' screens.
6. Attendance - Number of connected devices to server must be displayed in server application.

### **4.3.2 Non-functional**

Server-client architecture - Application must work as a server and client architecture. Platform - Audience application must work on at least one mobile platform. Deployment - Application must be deployed to relevant mobile application store. Scalability - The application must be scalable - it must work with different count of audience mobile phones. Generality - The application must be prepared for future using outside of rock concert domain. Delivery - Final product must be finished until 21th of November 2013 and presented to the committee and the customer.

## **4.4 Use cases**

## **4.5 Summary**

## 5 | Testplan

### 5.1 Approach

### 5.2 Templates

### 5.3 Responsibilities

### 5.4 Test criteria



## 6 | Software Architecture

### 6.1 Introduction

### 6.2 Selection of architectural viewpoints

### 6.3 Views

### 6.4 Tactics

### 6.5 Patterns

### 6.6 Data Storage

## 7 | Tools and strategy

## 8 | Sprint 0

### 8.1 Sprint planning

We have embraced Sprint 0 as a preliminary sprint, when we can set up all necessary collaboration tools, equipment, prepare templates for meetings and mainly to acquaint ourselves with Scrum methodology. The original plan was to finish sprint 0 on 8th of September, but we have decided to terminate it prematurely due to finishing sprint goals in shorter time than we had expected. Other reason for terminating the sprint was desire to start actually working on the product itself.

The actual user stories are listed in table 9.1. Since we started to use the software collaboration tool only during the sprint we did not manage to estimate the time needed to complete each story beforehand and thus the column **Est.** is left empty.

#### 8.1.1 Sprint 0 User-stories

### 8.2 System Burndown

Since we managed to establish the proper collaboration tool Target Process 3 only during the sprint the software was not able to generate relevant burndown chart. We at least tried to estimate how much time we spent working on each of the user stories listed in table

Table 8.1: User stories selected for Sprint 0.

ID	Description	Hours	
		Est.	Sp.
259	I as a developer need to prepare $\text{\LaTeX}$ template for minutes, project plan, weekly status report.		5
	Meeting minutes		2
	Project report		2
245	We as a team need to give a project and team name.		2
	Team name		1
	Product name		1
248	I as a developer need to agree on customer, advisor and internal meetings.		2
247	I as a developer need to agree on daily working hours.		1
243	I as a developer need to set up the video conferencing.		2
249	I as a developer need to add goals for Sprint 0.		4
250	I as a developer need to decide which collaboration technologies to use.		20
258	We as a team need to assign roles to team members.		1
258	I as a developer need to write a project plan.		90
258	I as a developer need to research the older reports.		30
258	I as a developer need to summarise the requirements.		4
SUM:			161

### 8.3 Architecture

### 8.4 Implementation

### 8.5 Testing

### 8.6 Occurring risks

### 8.7 Retrospective 15

#### 8.7.1 Pros

#### 8.7.2 Cons

### 8.8 Evaluation

## 9 | Sprint 1

### 9.1 Sprint planning

After assembling all the tools in Sprint0, we decided to start with the implementation of core modules. As our understanding of task improved, we were able to come up with user stories from the perspective of user, customer, developer and student. All user-stories were given to the customer so they can be prioritized. All but user-stories concerning our student obligations, like writing project plan, minutes, meetings with supervisor and attending lectures. Those were mandatory and already added as user-stories of sprint1. On Monday 02.09.2013. we had the meeting with a customer where we estimated time we need for every user story. The result of that meeting was the list of the rest of the user-stories for sprint1. All user stories for finishing our first prototype were on the sprint1 list so we also agreed date for presentation and showing the running demo - Thursday 12.09.2013. After that ,at a group meeting, we decoupled user-stories into tasks and we were ready to start with the implementation of client-server core module.

#### 9.1.1 Sprint1 User-stories

ID	Description	Est.	Sp.
353	I as a developer need to make client receive commands from the server.	4	4
345	Customer meeting.	6	6
344	Team building.	7	9
314	I as a developer need to put "Hello World" project to gitHub and pull it to every group member's local storage Create folder on gitHub account named "source". Install ADT and Eclipse to our local computers. Create new Android Project and push it to gitHub.	18	4.7

267	<b>As a user I want to easily download the app from testflight.</b> Set up testflight. integrate testflight SDK.	5	5
312	<b>I as a developer need to make server to be able to listen for the clients.</b> Research about server sockets. Implement server listener. Create the moc client. Connect with mock client.	30	22
335	<b>The server sends one command to one client.</b>	4	4
336	<b>The client receives one command.</b>	2	2
334	<b>The client "plays" one command (white light 10 seconds).</b>	4.5	4.5
327	<b>As a students we need to attend a meeting with our supervisor.</b> Attend meeting with supervisor week1 (06.09.2013). Attend meeting with supervisor week2 (13.09.2013).	16	16
321	<b>I as a student need to participate to lectures about team dynamics this week.</b> Course of group dynamics Thu. Summary of course and exchange learned.	32	25
290	<b>As a user I want to see the number of connected devices.</b>	0.5	0.5
341	<b>Integrate TestFlight into application.</b>	15	3
343	<b>As developer I have to work on Project Plan.</b>	12	12
313	<b>I as a developer need to establish basic communication protocol between client &amp; server.</b>	4	4
262	<b>I as a developer need to research TestFlightApp.</b> Figure out whether to use HockeyApp or TestFlight Research TestFlight	6	2.5
<b>SUM:</b>		164	?

Table 9.1: User stories selected for Sprint 0.

## 9.2 Architecture

Choosing client-server architecture was very intuitive to do. Our project has user application that depends on commands for what to play, on one side, and application that is responsible of detecting and sending commands to that users on the other. Every application(user) have to be either one or another.

Write about Android NSD, create class diagram,




Figure 9.1: Sprint1 Arhitecture

### 9.3 Implementation

### 9.4 Testing

### 9.5 Occurring risks

18

### 9.6 Retrospective

#### 9.6.1 Pros

#### 9.6.2 Cons

## 10 | Sprint 2

### 10.1 Sprint planning

#### 10.1.1 User-stories

### 10.2 System Burndown

### 10.3 Architecture

### 10.4 Implementation

### 10.5 Testing

### 10.6 Occurring risks

### 10.7 Retrospective

#### 10.7.1 Pros

#### 10.7.2 Cons

### 10.8 Evaluation



## 11 | Sprint 3

### 11.1 Sprint planning

#### 11.1.1 User-stories

### 11.2 System Burndown

### 11.3 Architecture

### 11.4 Implementation

### 11.5 Testing

### 11.6 Occurring risks

### 11.7 Retrospective

#### 11.7.1 Pros

#### 11.7.2 Cons

### 11.8 Evaluation

## 12 | Sprint 4

### 12.1 Sprint planning

#### 12.1.1 User-stories

### 12.2 System Burndown

### 12.3 Architecture

### 12.4 Implementation

### 12.5 Testing

### 12.6 Occurring risks

### 12.7 Retrospective

#### 12.7.1 Pros

#### 12.7.2 Cons

### 12.8 Evaluation

## 13 | Sprint 5

### 13.1 Sprint planning

#### 13.1.1 User-stories

### 13.2 System Burndown

### 13.3 Architecture

### 13.4 Implementation

### 13.5 Testing

### 13.6 Occurring risks

### 13.7 Retrospective

#### 13.7.1 Pros

#### 13.7.2 Cons

### 13.8 Evaluation

## 14 | Sprint 6

### 14.1 Sprint planning

#### 14.1.1 User-stories

### 14.2 System Burndown

### 14.3 Architecture

### 14.4 Implementation

### 14.5 Testing

### 14.6 Occurring risks

### 14.7 Retrospective

#### 14.7.1 Pros

#### 14.7.2 Cons

### 14.8 Evaluation

## 15 | Testing

15.1 Types

15.2 Unit testing

15.3 Integration

15.4 System testing

15.5 Usability

15.6 Acceptance



## 16 | Evaluation

### 16.1 Group evaluation

#### 16.1.1 Group dynamics

#### 16.1.2 Role assignment

#### 16.1.3 Risk evaluation

#### 16.1.4 Customer and project task

#### 16.1.5 Advisor

### 16.2 Project Evaluation

#### 16.2.1 Planning

#### 16.2.2 Preliminary Studies

#### 16.2.3 Scrum

#### 16.2.4 Meetings-Summary

#### 16.2.5 Course feedback

#### 16.2.6 Testing

#### 16.2.7 Time usage

### 16.3 Technology evaluation

#### 16.3.1 Skype

#### 16.3.2 Github

#### 16.3.3 Facebook

#### 16.3.4 Testflight

## 17 | Conclusion

17.1 Introduction/Final product/description

17.2 Results

17.2.1 Functionalities

17.3 Evaluation criteria

17.4 Evaluation Results

17.5 Conclusion

17.6 Discussion

17.7 Further work

17.8 Reflection

17.9 Summary



## 18 | References

## 19 | Attachments

# A | User Manual

## B | Installation Guide

## C | Glossary

## D | XML Scheme?

## E | Customer meetings

## F | Group meetings



## G | Supervisor meetings

## H | Evaluation Questioner