

# Linear Representations of Finite Groups by Serre

## Exercises completed by Caitlin Beecham

### Exercise 3.4

Show that each irreducible representation of  $G$  is contained in a representation induced by an irreducible representation of  $H$ . Obtain from this another proof of the Corollary to Theorem 9.

We complete the above by way of the following steps:

- Note that any irreducible representation  $(\rho', V')$  is contained as an isomorphic copy  $(\rho|_{V'_0}, V'_0)$  of the regular representation  $(\rho, V)$  via the bijection  $k : V' \rightarrow V'_0$ . Specifically, that means that  $V'_0 \subseteq V$  and that  $(\rho|_{V'_0})_g \circ k = k \circ \rho'_g$  for all  $g \in G$ . We note that either  $V' = 0$  or  $V' \neq 0$ . If  $V' = 0$  then  $V'_0 = 0$  and thus  $V'_0$  is contained in the representation induced by the zero representation  $(\rho|_H, 0)$  of  $H$ , concluding the proof. So, from now on we assume  $V' \neq 0$ .
- Now, note that the restriction  $(\rho|_{V'_0}|_H, V'_0)$  of the representation  $\rho_{V'_0} : G \rightarrow GL(V'_0)$  is a representation of  $H$  and, as such, contains a non-zero irreducible representation  $V'_{0,H} \subseteq V'_0$  of  $H$ .
- Now, one can form the induced representation  $V_{0,ind} := \sum_{r \in R} \rho_r V'_{0,H}$  by letting  $R$  be a set of representatives for the left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ , and we note that the induced representation  $(\rho_{0,ind}, V_{0,ind})$ , with action defined by  $(\rho_{0,ind})_g = \rho_g$ , is a subset  $V_{0,ind} \subseteq V$  of the regular representation.
- Now, we may apply the key lemma of this chapter which allows us to extend a linear function  $f$  defined on an irreducible representation of  $H$  to a linear function  $F$  defined on the induced representation of  $G$ , which respects the structure of the associated representations.
- Namely, let  $f$  be the natural inclusion map  $f : V'_{0,H} \rightarrow V'$ . The aforementioned lemma allows us to extend  $f$  to a linear map  $F : V_{0,ind} \rightarrow V'$  such that  $F \circ (\rho_{0,ind})_g = \rho'_g \circ F$  for all  $g \in G$ .
- Now, we note that since  $(\rho', V')$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  we have that  $F$  is surjective or the zero map. To be a little more clear about the details, note that  $F \circ (\rho_{0,ind})_g = \rho'_g \circ F$  implies that  $\rho'_g(F(v)) \subseteq im(F)$  for all  $v \in V_{0,ind}$ , which put simply says that  $\rho'_g(im(F)) \subseteq im(F)$  for all  $g \in G$  or that  $im(F)$  is stable under the action of  $\rho'_g$ . By irreducibility of  $V'$ , we have that  $im(F) = 0$  or  $im(F) = V'$ . Note that  $F$  is not the zero map since  $F|_{V'_{0,H}} = id_{V'_{0,H}}$ .
- Also, we note that  $ker(F) \subseteq V_{0,ind}$  is stable under the action of  $(\rho_{0,ind})_g$ . In more detail,  $F \circ (\rho_{0,ind})_g = \rho'_g \circ F$  for all  $g \in G$  means that for  $w \in ker(F)$  we have that  $F((\rho_{0,ind})_g(w)) = 0$  for all  $g \in G$  and thus  $(\rho_{0,ind})_g(w) \in ker(F)$  for all  $g \in G$  and all  $w \in ker(F)$  meaning that  $ker(F)$  is stable under the action of  $\rho_{0,ind}$ . Since  $G$  is a finite group, we know that the orthogonal complement  $ker(F)^\perp$  of  $ker(F)$  inside of  $V_{0,ind}$ , which exists since  $V_{0,ind}$  is a finite dimensional complex vector space, and thus a Hilbert space, is also stable under the action of  $\rho_{0,ind}$ .
- So, finally we have that the map  $F|_{ker(F)^\perp} : ker(F)^\perp \rightarrow V'$  is an isomorphism of representations, which means precisely that  $F|_{ker(F)^\perp} \circ (\rho_{0,ind})_g = \rho'_g \circ F|_{ker(F)^\perp}$  for all  $g \in G$ , or put more simply  $(\rho'_g, V') \subseteq (\rho_{0,ind}, V_{0,ind})$  is contained in the representation  $(\rho_{0,ind}, V_{0,ind})$  induced by the irreducible representation  $((\rho_{V'_0})|_H, V'_{0,H})$  of  $H$ .

### Exercise 3.5

Let  $(W, \theta)$  be a linear representation of  $H$ . Let  $V$  be the vector space of functions  $f : G \rightarrow W$  such that  $f(tu) = \theta_t f(u)$  for  $u \in G$ ,  $t \in H$ . Let  $\rho$  be the representation of  $G$  in  $V$  defined by  $(\rho_s f)(u) = f(us)$  for  $s, u \in G$ . For  $w \in W$ , let  $f_w \in V$  be defined by  $f_w(t) = \theta_t w$  for  $t \in H$  and  $f_w(s) = 0$  for  $s \notin H$ . Show that  $w \mapsto f_w$  is an isomorphism of  $W$  onto the subspace  $W_0$  if  $V$  consisting of functions which vanish off  $H$ . Show that, if we identify  $W$  and  $W_0$  this way, the representation  $(V, \rho)$  is induced by the representation  $(W, \theta)$ .

We prove the claim as follows:

- I first claim that any function  $f \in V$  is completely determined by its value on a set of representatives of the right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . More precisely, given a set of representatives  $R = \{r_i\}_{i \in [[G:H]]}$  we have that any element  $g \in G$  can be written uniquely as  $g = h_g r_{i_g}$  for some  $h_g \in H, i_g \in [[G:H]]$  (where we recall that  $[n]$  denotes  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ). Then,  $f(g) = \theta_{h_g} f(r_{i_g})$ , proving our claim.

- Now, for any function  $f \in V$  such that  $f$  vanishes outside of  $H$  we have that  $f$  is completely determined by its value  $f$  on the identity element  $e$  since  $f(h) = \theta_h f(e)$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $f(g) = 0$  for all  $g \notin H$ . Thus, if we denote  $f(e)$  by  $w := f(e)$ , then the unique function  $f \in V$  which vanishes outside of  $H$  and takes the value  $w = f(e)$  on  $e$  is  $f_w$  since  $f_w(h) = \theta_h w$  for all  $h \in H$  and by the specifications we just mentioned  $f(h) = \theta_h f(e) = \theta_h w$  for all  $h \in H$  and of course  $f_w(g) = f(g) = 0$  for all  $g \notin H$ . Thus, the map  $w \mapsto f_w$  is a surjection onto  $W_0$ . (Very Important Note for Graduate Admissions Committees: I went here for conceptual clarity rather than notational precision as I promised to share these explanations/solutions I have been typing up with an undergraduate friend who has shown an interest in the topic). It is also an injection, since otherwise there exist  $w_1 \neq w_2$  such that  $\theta_h(w_1 - w_2) = 0$  for all  $h \in H$ . However, the fact that  $\theta_h(w_1 - w_2) = 0$  for even one  $h \in G$  (even  $h = e$ ) provides a contradiction since  $\theta_h \in GL(W)$  implies  $\ker(\theta_h) = \{0\}$ .
- Now, we note that for fixed  $f \in W_0$  and  $s \in G$  we have that  $\rho_s f$  vanishes on all group elements except the right coset  $HS^{-1}$ , namely the one so that  $us \in H$  for all  $u \in HS^{-1}$ .
- Now, in order to show that  $V$  is induced by  $W_0$  we need to show that  $V = \bigoplus_{l_i \in L} \rho_{l_i} W_0$  where  $L$  is a set of representatives of the left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . So, we need to show that any function  $f \in V$  can be written as a linear combination of functions  $f_i \in \rho_{l_i} W_0$  and that  $\rho_{l_i} W_0 \cap \rho_{l_j} W_0 = \{0\}$  for  $i \neq j$ .
- To prove the first of the two statements, we remind ourselves from the first bullet point that any function  $f \in V$  is determined by the values it takes on a set of representatives  $R$  of right cosets. So, for a given  $f$ , denote these values by  $w_i := f(r_i)$  for  $r_i \in R$ . Now, consider the left cosets  $L = \{r_i^{-1}H : r_i^{-1} \in R\}$  where we note in passing that two left cosets  $r_i^{-1}H = r_j^{-1}H$  may coincide even if  $r_i, r_j$  represented distinct right cosets and thus  $L$  may be a proper subset of the set of left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .
  - Still, we have that  $f = \sum_{i \in [[G:H]]} \rho_{r_i^{-1}} f_{w_i}$ .
  - Now, we rewrite the above sum, grouping terms  $i, j$  for which  $r_i, r_j$  belong to the same left coset to get  $f = \sum_{L_i \in L} \sum_{r_j: r_j^{-1}H = L_i} \rho_{r_j^{-1}} f_{w_j}$ .
  - Then, we perform a little algebraic manipulation to get our sum written in the form necessary. We first see that for each  $L_i \in L$  and all  $j \in [[G:H]]$  such that  $r_j^{-1}H = L_i$  we may write each such  $r_j$  in terms of one specific  $r_{j_i}$  since  $r_j^{-1}H = r_{j_i}^{-1}H$  implies that  $r_{j_i} r_j^{-1}H = H$  and thus  $r_{j_i} r_j^{-1} = h_j$  for some  $h_j \in H$ . Then,  $r_j = h_j^{-1} r_{j_i}$  which means that  $r_j^{-1} = r_{j_i}^{-1} h_j$  as desired. Finally, that implies that  $\rho_{r_j^{-1}} = \rho_{r_{j_i}^{-1}} \rho_{h_j}$  and thus  $\sum_{r_j: r_j^{-1}H = L_i} \rho_{r_j^{-1}} f_{w_j} = \rho_{r_{j_i}^{-1}} \sum_{r_j: r_j^{-1}H = L_i} \rho_{h_j} f_{w_j}$ . Since  $\rho_{r_{j_i}^{-1}} \sum_{r_j: r_j^{-1}H = L_i} \rho_{h_j} f_{w_j}$  is of the form  $\rho_{l_i} F$  where  $F \in W_0$  and  $l_i$  is a representative of a left coset of  $H$  in  $G$ , we now have  $f$  written as a linear combination of functions  $f_i \in \rho_{l_i} W_0$  where  $l_i$  is a set of representatives of the left cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .
- To prove the second of the two statements, namely that  $\rho_{l_i} W_0 \cap \rho_{l_j} W_0 = \{0\}$  for  $i \neq j$ , is quite trivial. Namely, for any  $f \in \rho_{l_i} W_0 \cap \rho_{l_j} W_0$  one has that  $f \in \rho_{l_i} W_0$  meaning that  $f$  vanishes on all right cosets except  $HL_i^{-1}$  and likewise  $f \in \rho_{l_j} W_0$  meaning that  $f$  vanishes on all right cosets except  $HL_j^{-1}$ . So, it follows that  $HL_i^{-1} = HL_j^{-1}$  or  $f \equiv 0$  is the zero function. If  $HL_i^{-1} = HL_j^{-1}$  then  $l_i^{-1}l_j \in H$  implying that  $l_i^{-1}l_j H = H$  or  $l_i H = l_j H$ , a contradiction which shows that  $f = 0$ , concluding the proof of this claim as well as our proof that  $V$  is induced by  $W_0$  as a whole.

### Exercise 3.6

Suppose that  $G$  is the direct product of two subgroups  $H$  and  $K$ . Let  $(\rho, V)$  be a representation of  $G$  induced by a representation  $\theta$  of  $H$ . Show that  $\rho$  is isomorphic to  $\theta \otimes r_K$ , where  $(r_K, W_K)$  denotes the regular representation of  $K$ .

We prove the statement as follows.

- Note that if  $(\theta, W_H)$  is a linear representation of  $H$ , then the induced representation  $(\rho, V)$  of  $G$  is unique up to isomorphism. So, if we can show that  $(\theta \otimes r_K, W_H \otimes W_K)$  is induced by  $(\theta, W_H)$ , then the statement is proved.

- We must show that  $W_H \otimes W_K = \bigoplus_{k \in K} (\theta \otimes r_K)_k (W_H \otimes e_e)$ , which amounts to showing that  $W_H \otimes W_K = \sum_{k \in K} (\theta \otimes r_K)_k (W_H \otimes e_e)$  and that  $(\theta \otimes r_K)_{k_1} (W_H \otimes e_e) \cap (\theta \otimes r_K)_{k_2} (W_H \otimes e_e) = \{0\}$  for  $k_1 \neq k_2$ .
  - To show that  $W_H \otimes W_K = \sum_{k \in K} (\theta \otimes r_K)_k (W_H \otimes e_e)$  we note that by definition, each element  $W_H \otimes W_K$  has the form  $\sum_{i \in [n]} c_i (e_{i_H} \cdot e_{i_K})$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i_H \in [\deg(\theta)]$ ,  $i_K \in K$ ,  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, we may group the terms in the above by basis elements  $e_k$  for each  $k \in K$  to get  $\sum_{i \in [n]} c_i (e_{i_H} \cdot e_{i_K}) = \sum_{k \in K} (\sum_{i \in [n]: i_K = k} c_i e_{i_H}) \cdot e_k$  which proves the claim since  $\sum_{i \in [n]: i_K = k} c_i e_{i_H} \in W_H$  and since  $(\theta \otimes r_K)_k = \theta_e \otimes (r_K)_k$  implies that  $(\theta \otimes r_K)_k (W_H \otimes e_e) = W_H \otimes e_k$ .
  - To show that  $(\theta \otimes r_K)_{k_1} (W_H \otimes e_e) \cap (\theta \otimes r_K)_{k_2} (W_H \otimes e_e) = \{0\}$  for  $k_1 \neq k_2$ , we consider  $w \in (\theta \otimes r_K)_{k_1} (W_H \otimes e_e) \cap (\theta \otimes r_K)_{k_2} (W_H \otimes e_e)$  and note that  $w$  has the form  $w = (\sum_{i \in [n_1]} c_i^1 e_{i_H}) \cdot e_{k_1}$  but  $w$  also has the form  $w = (\sum_{i \in [n_2]} c_i^2 e_{i_H}) \cdot e_{k_2}$  which implies that  $w = 0$  since  $(\sum_{i \in [n_1]} c_i^1 e_{i_H}) \cdot e_{k_1} = (\sum_{i \in [n_2]} c_i^2 e_{i_H}) \cdot e_{k_2}$  implies that  $(\sum_{i \in [n_1]} c_i^1 e_{i_H}) = (\sum_{i \in [n_2]} c_i^2 e_{i_H}) = 0$ , which implies by bi-linearity of the tensor product that  $w = 0$ .