Who has it?

Clance and Imes (1978)

Ted Thompson (1998)

Trotman (2009) initially discovered in high achieving women studying and in academia later found in to be in other populations too Maybe higher in women, research goes both ways Maybe higher in some ethnic groups, studied in African Americans

Minorities

Weir (2013)

New endeavour

Weir (2013) neighbourhood organiser and suggesting I be a council candidate

What are the characteristics?

Sakulku and Alexander (2011)

How does it work? attribute successes to outside factors - luck, colleagues

attribute failures to themselves

found to be far more common in women than men

women viewing ourselves as phony is consistent with societal view that we aren't competent

worse for African American women

easier to not internalize success than go against the views of society!

often believe that intelligence is fixed rather than malleable

motivated by performance goals, try to prove intelligence

when fail - react 'helpless' way, blame selves, withdraw from task, anxiety, shame

overriding concern with others' impressions, idealised self image

self worth unusually dependent on others - external validation goes away, fall apart

Hutchins (2015)

Langford and Clance (1993)

Trotman (2009)

Success does not fix it

Clance and Imes (1978)

Sakulku and Alexander (2011) because they dismiss the success disregard if there is any gap between their expectations and performance repetitions of success show dif between actual and ideal standards, make it worse deny our competence, discount praise

Desire to be the best will be the biggest fish in a small pond (school) then go to uni - lots of bright people, not the best anymore conclude that they are stupid because they aren't the best anymore Sakulku and Alexander (2011)

"The reason we struggle with insecurity is because we compare our behind-the-scenes with everyone else's highlight reel." Steve Furtick

Fear and guilt about success

Sakulku and Alexander (2011)

Mistrusting others

Langford and Clance (1993)

Low affiliation in women

Low play

Low Impulsivity in women

High Impulsivity in men

Low need for change in women

High need for change in men

Low need for order in men

What childhood circumstances create it?

Sakulku and Alexander (2011)

Langford and Clance (1993) Generally either has a sibling or close relative that was the designated 'intelligent' family member

the woman is then told that she is the 'sensitive' or socially adept one, not the smart one or, told that they are superior in every way and success will come easily

Then they can't cope with when it doesn't

Family valueing success with little effort

descrepency between feedback and actual success

lack of positive reinforcement - "nothing you do is ever good enough"

IP higher when family cohesion and expressiveness are low, family conflict and control high. Accounted

if not supported or approved may feel achievements are dismissed, unimpressive, unimportant.

shame, humiliation and inauthenticity common with lack of +ve reinforcement

IP highly correlated with need to please others in family

try to live up to idealised image to win approval

Personality traits "common among individuals with particular personality traits (e.g. neuroticism, achievement-orientation), have perfectionist expectations over work" Hutchins (2015)

Sakulku and Alexander (2011)

Work circumstances that contribute highly competitive, stressful occupations what about peer review?

higher in untenured faculty - probably maps to staff on fixed term contracts not studied in tech, but higher in systems librarians than other librarians high tech knowledge requirements, constant technical change, feel out of date translate to devs - expectation to keep up with emerging tech tendency to focus on what peers know that we don't going back to the talk about picking what to learn - we can't learn everything! how many new is frameworks should we learn per week?

Hutchins (2015)

Melanie Clark and Barba (2014)

Racial issues

Trotman (2009) studied in African Americans people's presumed incompetence in African American women vital for them to establish self worth and self reliance - others assessment will be unfairly negative Group counselling with other African American women is very effective more comfortable with people like them, see the ridicilousness of others IP similar situation - share strategies

Self presentation

Sakulku and Alexander (2011) Do not want to appear imperfect, but actually openly disclose their imperfection.

Is it an interpersonal strategy rather than self evalution? could be to avoid negative interpersonal implications of future failures only express lower performance expectations when they know others see it correlated with other favourale impression management strategies makes *lots* of sense for women in tech, since being seen as competetent makes you less likeable

Behaviours that preserve it Intellectual Inauthenticity

Clance and Imes (1978) chose not to reveal ideas or opinions tell people what they want to hear intellectual flattery - writing according to their teachers' biases or for a developer - implementing it how colleagues would want, not what you think is best remaining silent in face of opposing view points prevents them from finding out what people would think of their authentic views maintains imposter syndrome

Charm

Clance and Imes (1978) aim to be liked as well as recognised intellectually finds a candidate she respects, then tries to impress to gain approval studies them, figures out how to impress them, sets about winning them over may pick up ttheir hobbies listens with understanding and concern usually gains approval, but doesn't work will never believe the praise because it's based on liking her if she was really that bright, would she need the outside approval?

Avoiding displays of confidence

Clance and Imes (1978) Many women have a motive to avoid success, a well justified fear of rejection or being seen as less feminine

denying their success allows them to live out achievement orientation while allaying some fears about being a successful women

What are the consequences? Poor mental health

Sakulku and Alexander (2011) For more about this, watch ducky's talk from Saturday Bouts of depression and anxiety

Hutchins (2015)

Emotional exhaustion

Hutchins (2015) stress part of burnout - fatigue, depression, emotional and cognitive distancing - low work satisfaction and performance

Psychological distress

Hutchins (2015)

Low self confidence

Hutchins (2015)

Lower job well-being, satisfaction and performance

Hutchins (2015)

Low self-efficacy

Julie A. Lyden (2002) attribute initial success to ability - higher self efficacy

higher self efficacy related to higher performance

performance analysis in early life super important - provides anchor, influencing later attribution

Ways to cope Mentoring

Hutchins (2015) They can normalise the feelings

emotional support

instrumental support (tangible help with specific problems)

challenge to accept praise

even helpful if they don't discuss IP

mentors can be the target of unfair comparisons - 67 don't directly discuss with mentor

Positive reinforcement

Hutchins (2015)

covering different contributions from organising to office quiz contributions

Identify feelings

Caltech Counselling Centre (n.d.) awareness is first step to change

Reality check

Caltech Counselling Centre (n.d.) question the thoughts

Differentiate between feelings and reality

Caltech Counselling Centre (n.d.)

Humor

Hutchins (2015)

Distracting thoughts or activities

Hutchins (2015)

Social support

Caltech Counselling Centre (n.d.) discuss feelings, get perspective

Reduce dependency

Langford and Clance (1993) on external validation for self esteem internalise self worth

What to do?

Talk about it discuss it with your peers, like the group therapy sessions

Be aware when people show it yourself and others

I had such bad IP I thought 'they have IP, but I'm right!'

Challenge people to accept positive feedback

Get perspective from people that will evaluate you fairly

don't charm them

Pat on the ear for paying attention at the end of the conference, and remember you're all pawesome!

Questions? github.com/biancag/imposter-syndrome bianca.rachel.gibson@gmail.com

References

- Caltech Counselling Centre. (n.d.). The impostor syndrome. Retrieved 2016-07-26, from https://counseling.caltech.edu/general/InfoandResources/Impostor
- Clance, P. R., & Imes, S. (1978). The imposter phenomenon in high achieving women: Dynamics and therapeutic intervention. *Psychotherapy Theory, Research and Practice*, 15.
- Hutchins, H. (2015). Outing the imposter: Study exploring imposter phenomenon among higher education faculty. *New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development*, 27, 3-12. doi: 10.1002/nha3.20098
- Julie A. Lyden, V. D. D. A. H., Lillian H. Chaney. (2002). Anchoring, attributions, and self-efficacy: An examination of interactions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, *27*, 99-117.
- Langford, J., & Clance, P. (1993). The impostor phenomenon: Recent research findings regarding dynamics, personality, and family patterns and their implication for treatment. *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training*, 30, 495-501.
- Melanie Clark, K. V., & Barba, S. (2014). Perceived inadequacy: A study of the imposter phenomenon among college and research librarians. *College & Research Libraries*, 75, 255-271.
- Sakulku, J., & Alexander, J. (2011). The impostor phenomenon. *International Journal of Behavioral Science*, 6, 73-92.
- Ted Thompson, J. D., Helen Davis. (1998). Attributional and affective responses of impostors to academic success and failure outcomes. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 25, 381-396.
- Trotman, F. K. (2009). The imposter phenomenon among african american women in u.s. institutions of higher education: Implications for counseling. *Compelling counseling interventions: VISTAS 2009*, 77-87.
- Weir, K. (2013). Feel like a fraud? Retrieved 2016-07-26, from https://web.archive.org/web/20160331190651/http://www.apa.org/gradpsych/2013/11/fraud.aspx