Name: Julius Putra Tanu Setiaji Matric: A0149787E
Name: Tan Zong Xian Matric: A0167343A
Name: Tay Yu Jia Matric: A0171240W

Solving 8-puzzle

1 Algorithm

1.1 A* search

We implemented both programs using A* search but with different heuristic functions. Given that our heuristic functions are consistent, the graph-search version of A* search is known to be *complete*, *optimal* and *optimally efficient*. Therefore, it would be able to solve the 8-puzzle (if solvable).

2 Heuristics

We decided on the following heuristic functions:

- h_1: Manhattan distance

- h_2: Linear conflict

2.1 Manhattan distance

Definition: h_1 is the sum of the distances of the tiles from their goal positions. Since diagonal moves are not allowed, the distance is the sum of horizontal and vertical distances.

Proof of consistency for h_1.
For every node n, the step cost, $c(n, n')$, of getting to any of its successor node n' is 1 because for each move, only one tile is allowed to move one step closer to or further away from its goal position, i.e. $h(n') = h(n) \pm 1$. When we substitute step cost as 1 into $h(n) \leq c(n, n') + h(n')$, we get $h(n) \leq 1 + h(n')$. It is then trivial to see that the condition holds, therefore h_1 is consistent. $\square$

2.2 Linear conflict

Definition: Two tiles t_j and t_k are in a linear conflict if t_j and t_k are in the same line, the goal positions of t_j and t_k are both in that line, t_j is to the right of t_k, and the goal position of t_j is to the left of the goal position of t_k.

To derive the Linear Conflict estimate for any node n,

1. Calculate the minimum number of tiles that must be removed from row_1 such that there are no more linear conflicts.

2. Repeat Step 1 for the other rows and columns and sum them.

3. $LinearConflict(n) = 2*$ result from Step 2

Using the above property, we augment the manhattan distance heuristic by adding the linear conflict estimate to it, thereby making it a more informed heuristic.

$$h_2(n) = ManhattanDistance(n) + LinearConflict(n) = h_1(n) + LinearConflict(n)$$

Proof of consistency for h_2.
Let $md(n, x)$ be the manhattan distance of tile x in node n and $lc(n, r_i)$ be the number of tiles that must be removed from row r_i to resolve linear conflicts. Assume that tile x is moving from row r_{old} to r_{new}, while remaining in column c_{old}. The impact of the change in position of tile x relative to its goal position can be split into three scenarios:

1. The goal position of x is in neither row. $md(n', x) = md(n, x) \pm 1$. There are no new linear conflicts. Hence, $h_2(n') = h_2(n) \pm 1$ and $h_2(n') + c(n, n') = h_2(n') + 1 \geq h_2(n)$.

Assignment 1: CS3243 Introduction to AI
Tutorial: T06 Group: A1 - 43

Name: Julius Putra Tanu Setiaji Matric: A0149787E
Name: Tan Zong Xian Matric: A0167343A
Name: Tay Yu Jia Matric: A0171240W

2. The goal position of x is in r_{new}. Since x moved into the row containing its goal position, $md(n', x) = md(n, x) - 1$ and this may or may not have new linear conflicts in row r_{new}, so $lc(n', r_{new}) = lc(n, r_{new})$ or $lc(n', r_{new}) = lc(n, r_{new}) + 2$. Because r_{old} is not the goal row of x, its presence would not have contributed to any linear conflict there so $lc(n', r_{old}) = lc(n, r_{old})$. Hence, $h_2(n') = h_2(n) \pm 1$ and $h_2(n') + c(n, n') = h_2(n') + 1 \geq h_2(n)$.

3. The goal position of x is in r_{old}. Since x moved out of the row containing its goal position, $md(n', x) = md(n, x) + 1$ and we do not know whether it originally contributed to linear conflicts in r_{old} so $lc(n', r_{old}) = lc(n, r_{old})$ or $lc(n', r_{old}) = lc(n, r_{old}) - 2$. Because r_{new} is not the goal row of x, its presence would not have contributed to any linear conflict there so $lc(n', r_{new}) = lc(n, r_{new})$. Hence, $h_2(n') = h_2(n) \pm 1$ and $h_2(n') + c(n, n') = h_2(n') + 1 \geq h_2(n)$.

In all three scenarios, h_2 remains consistent. By symmetry of the 8-puzzle, h_2 is similarly consistent for movements from column to column. $\square$

The above proof is adapted from a paper published by Hansson, Mayer and Yung in 1985 on *Generating Admissible Heuristics by Criticizing Solutions to Relaxed Models*.

3   Statistics

	h_1 Manhattan distance	h_2 Linear conflict
Number of nodes generated	4250	2238
Maximum size of frontier that is reached	1502	802

4   Analysis