

Design Document

Chosen Algorithm: Radix Sort

The algorithm chosen for this assignment is **Radix Sort**. The reason for choosing this algorithm is efficiency, Radix Sort has a sort efficiency of $O(d \cdot n)$ for n keys which have d or fewer digits. When d is a constant Radix Sort is as efficient as the best comparison-based sorts, however when the values differ largely Radix is reduced to $O(n \log n)$ which still exceeds or equals other sorts such as Insertion, Bubble and Quicksort.

First created basic radix sort based of the diagrams located in the resource on moodle (<https://moodle.uowplatform.edu.au/mod/resource/view.php?id=190315>). Once completed got times of around $4 \cdot 10^{10}$ nanoseconds.

By getting rid of obvious inefficiencies such as copying over the vector supplied to the function and using a referenced vector instead times improved by a factor of around 2.5.

First round of optimisation: { 1287081781, 1300494267, 1324498438, ..., 1302825054 }.

Optimised further by removing keys that are already sorted from the next pass. Improved times by a factor greater than 6.

Second round of optimisation: { 170806448, 173493628, 173593741, ..., 175326088 }

Using pointers to sort instead of swapping the string values gets another improvement by a factor of greater than 2, now in the 10^8 nanosecond range. Also replacing all `at(index)` calls on my vectors with `operator[index]` increased times by magnitude of 0.25.

Third round of optimisation: { 50589586, 51604252, 51806891, ..., 52630799 }

Moving the sort function to the main function and not converting the resulting sorted vector of string pointers to string objects makes the algorithm 2 times quicker.

Final round of optimisation: { 22908204, 23129124, 23144789, .., 23153981 }

Adding the `-Ofast` flag to the make file increase the efficiency by a factor of 3.

Post-final round of optimisation: { 8631110, 8673872, 8674469, ..., 8681141 }

Future optimisations, its possible that using character arrays instead of strings could possibly make this sort function considerably more efficient.

Quick Sort

Quick sort is $O(n \log n)$. First created a recursive quick sort using a separate compare function.

Times for first implementation: { 3132462626, 3133389060, ..., 3136525117 }

Inlining the comparisons for sort kept times in 10 digit range but improved them slightly. I also tried an iterative approach to quick sort seeing again only a slight increase.

Final round of optimisation: { 2773608062, 2774170559, 2774492667, ..., 2778301047 }

At this point radix seemed like the best option since any further optimisations could be applied to both algorithms while Radix was exceeding Quick Sort by several magnitudes.