# Design Document for Project B

Gabe Dijkstra

Hidde Verstoep

March 7, 2012

## Language

We have extended the language with a let-construct and variables. It can be used as follows:

```
let stack = execute
              interpreter VirtualBox for i686-windows in i686-linux
              platform i686-linux
            end
in
 program Hello in i686-windows
on
 use stack
We also allow for constructions like the following:
execute
 execute
    compile
      program hello in Haskell
      compiler ghc from Haskell to i586 in i686
   platform i586
  end
 platform i686
end
```

This allows us to also express diagrams with compilers that run on platforms.

Look in the examples directory for more examples and the report.txt to see which of those are supposed to not give any errors.

#### Transformation of the AST

To make typechecking and drawing easier we transform the AST Diag to a new data structure called CoDiag (short for "correct diagram"), which follows the visual structure more closely:

```
data CoDiag
  | CoDiag
                  pos :: SourcePos d :: CoDiag_
data CoDiag_
  | CoProgram
                  p :: Ident
                               1 :: Ident
                                              d :: CoDiag
  | CoPlatform
                  m :: Ident
  | CoInterpreter i :: Ident
                               1 :: Ident
                                             m :: Ident
                  d :: CoDiag
  | CoCompiler
                  c :: Ident 11 :: Ident
                                             12 :: Ident
                  m :: Ident d1 :: CoDiag d2 :: CoDiag
  | CoNothing
```

The idea of Diag is that Program, Platform, Interpreter and Compiler define inputs and/or outputs which are connected by Execute and/or Compile. The CoDiag structure does not have these connectors, instead everything is already connected in the way it is supposed to.

The transformation is accomplished by using a list which is used as a stack of available outputs. For example, a Program takes one output from the stack (since it has one output), creates a CoProgram using this output and puts in on the head of the stack. A Platform on the other hand does not take anything from the stack (since it does not have any outputs), and puts a CoPlatform on the stack. The other constructors perform similar stack transformations. At the end of the transformation, the head of the stack should contain the entire CoDiag structure. A stack is necessary to make sure that a Compiler can take two structure from the stack (since it has two outputs). The stack is initiated with an infinite list of CoNothings, to allow for undefined outputs.

Only a few checks are required on the <code>Diag</code> structure, the rest of the checking can be done on the <code>CoDiag</code> structure. The checks that are necessary are:

- Check whether there are no elements that are not CoNothing left on the stack: this means we have defined too many outputs. Fail if this is the case.
- Check whether there are too few outputs defined, i.e. some of the CoNothings have been consumed. Give a warning if this is the case.
- Check that you compile with a compiler.
- Check that you execute on a interpeter or platform.

### Typing rules for CoDiag

We can run things on CoNothing, a CoPlatform or a stack of interpreters ending in a CoNothing or CoPlatform:

$$\frac{m: \mathtt{Ident}}{\mathtt{CoNothing}: \mathtt{TRunner}\,m} \xrightarrow{\mathtt{EMPTY}\,\mathtt{RUNNER}}$$
 
$$\frac{m: \mathtt{Ident}}{\mathtt{CoPlatform}\,m: \mathtt{TRunner}\,m} \xrightarrow{\mathtt{PLATFORM}\,\mathtt{RUNNER}}$$
 
$$\frac{d: \mathtt{TRunner}\,m = i, l, m: \mathtt{Ident}}{\mathtt{CoInterpreter}\,i\,l\,m\,d: \mathtt{TRunner}\,l} \xrightarrow{\mathtt{Interpreter}\,\mathtt{RUNNER}}$$

We can either run the result of a compiler directly, or we can compile it with another compiler:

$$\frac{d_1 \,:\, \mathtt{TRunner}\,\, l_2 \quad d_2 \,:\, \mathtt{TRunner}\,\, m \quad c,\, l_1,\, l_2,\, m \,:\, \mathtt{Ident}}{\mathtt{CoCompiler}\,\, c\,\, l_1\,\, l_2\,\, m\,\, d_1\,\, d_2 :\, \mathtt{TCompiler}\,\, l_1} \,\, \mathtt{Compiler}\,\, \mathtt{STACK}\,\, \mathtt{RULE}\,\, 1$$

$$\frac{d_1\,:\, \texttt{TCompiler}\, l_2 \qquad d_2\,:\, \texttt{TRunner}\, m \qquad c,\, l_1,\, l_2,\, m\,:\, \texttt{Ident}}{\texttt{CoCompiler}\, c\, l_1\, l_2\, m\, d_1\, d_2: \texttt{TCompiler}\, l_1} \,\, \texttt{Compiler}\, \texttt{STACK}\,\, \texttt{RULE}\,\, 2$$

We can compile the program on a compiler stack, or run it directly:

$$\frac{d: \texttt{TCompiler}\, l \qquad p,\, l: \texttt{Ident}}{\texttt{CoProgram}\, p \, l \, d: \texttt{TProgram}} \, \texttt{PROGRAM \, RULE \, 1}$$
 
$$\frac{d: \texttt{TRunner}\, l \qquad p,\, l: \texttt{Ident}}{\texttt{CoProgram}\, p \, l \, d: \texttt{TProgram}} \, \texttt{PROGRAM \, RULE \, 2}$$

#### Implementation of these rules

These rules suggest that, in order to check the type of a CoDiag, we need to look at the children and see if those are of the appropriate type. In the implementation however, we look at the parents and look at their type. We also do the checking of the "types" (i.e. is it a compiler, platform, interpreter or program?) separately from the checking whether the names of the platforms/languages match.