

The Power Of Pi

Nicolas Oury and Wouter Swierstra

Presented by Beerend Lauwers
Utrecht University, The Netherlands

June 26, 2012

Outline

- 1 Aim of the paper
- 2 Case studies
 - Cryptol
 - Data Description Languages
 - Relational Algebra
- 3 Conclusions

Aim of the paper

- Dependently-typed programming matters!
- Three case studies to exemplify this:
 - Cryptol (DSL)
 - Data Description Languages
 - Relational Algebra
- In each case study, commonly-used DTP concepts are introduced as they are required.
- Agda is used as the dependently-typed language.

Cryptol - Overview

- DSL for cryptographic protocols, developed by Galois with help from the NSA.
- Built for high-level descriptions of low-level cryptographic algorithms.
- Two distinguishing features:

- Word length is recorded in the type:

`x : [8];`

`x = 42;`

- Special pattern-matching for splitting words into pieces:

`swab : [32] → [32];`

`swab [a b c d] = [b a c d];` — *4 words of 8 bits*

— *[a b] would have pattern-matched on 2 words of 16 bits*

- Not embedded! Has its own interpreter and compiler.
- Let's try and replicate this in Agda.

Cryptol - Cryptol's types in Agda

- **data** Bit : Set **where**

- O : Bit

- I : Bit

- Word : Nat \rightarrow Set

- Word n = Vec Bit n

- Great, but how can we define that special pattern matching behaviour?
- First DTP concept: **Views**.

DTP concept: Views

- Views = defining custom pattern matches
- Example: SnocView : recursing over a list, reversed.
- First, define a datatype:

```
data SnocView {A : Set} : List A → Set where
Nil : SnocView Nil
Snoc : (xs : List A) → (x : A) → SnocView (
    append xs (Cons x Nil))
```

- Second, define a conversion function:

```
view : {A : Set} → (xs : List A) → SnocView xs
view Nil = Nil
view (Cons x xs) with view xs
view (Cons x [Nil]) | Nil = Snoc Nil x
view (Cons x [append ys (Cons y Nil)]) | Snoc ys
    y = Snoc (Cons x ys) y
```

DTP concept: Views

- To apply the custom pattern match, we apply it to a list.
- We can then use the result as usual:

```
rotateRight : {A : Set} → List A → List A
rotateRight xs with view xs
rotateRight [Nil] | Nil = Nil
rotateRight [append ys (Cons y Nil)] | Snoc ys y
    = Cons y ys
```

Building Cryptol's view

Same steps.

- Define a data type:

```
data SplitView {A:Set} : {n:Nat} → (m:Nat) →  
    Vec A (m × n) → Set where  
[-] : forall {m n} → (xss:Vec (Vec A n) m) →  
    SplitView m (concat xss)
```

- Define a conversion function:

```
view n m xs = [split n m xs]
```

- But this returns *SplitView m (concat (split n m xs))* !

Building Cryptol's view

- We need a lemma:

`splitConcatLemma : forall {A n m} → (xs:Vec A (m × n)) → concat (split n m xs) ≡ xs`

- Conversion function again:

`view : {A:Set} → (n:Nat) → (m:Nat) → (xs :
Vec A (m × n)) → SplitView m xs
view n m xs with concat (split n m xs) | [split
n m xs] | splitConcatLemma m xs
view n m xs | [xs] | v | Refl = v`

Note: Only the view designer has to define all of this.

Building Cryptol's view

- Finally, we can define the *swab* function:

```
swab : Word 32 → Word 32
swab xs with view 8 4 xs
swab [-] | [a::b::c::d::Nil]
= concat [b::a::c::d::Nil]
```

Case Study 1 - Discussion

- Our Cryptol-like view doesn't take the patterns into account, so we have to explicitly provide this information.
- Could we define this in Haskell?

```
data SnocView a = Nil | Snoc (SnocView a) a  
view  :: [a] → SnocView a
```

- We lose the link with the original list!
- Haskell is too general:

```
view = const Nil :: [a] → SnocView a
```

- Compare with Agda:

```
view : {A:Set} → (xs:List A) → SnocView xs
```

- Rule of thumb: You can always view data in another way, as long as you **don't throw away information**.

Data Description Languages - Overview

- Data isn't standardized, so we have to write parsers :(
- Data description languages to the rescue!
- Give precise description of data format, use it to generate data types and parsers.
- Unfortunately, still an external tool.
- Let's implement a simple combinator library in Agda.
- This case study has a rich generic programming flavour.
- But first, second DTP concept: **Universes**.

DTP concept: Universes

- Agda doesn't have type classes! How can we do ad-hoc polymorphism?
- Type classes are used to describe type collections that support certain operations, like equality.
- Type theory has the same issue, and we can apply the employed techniques.
- The type U is a collection of 'codes' for types:

```
data U : Set where  
  BIT  : U  
  CHAR : U  
  NAT  : U  
  VEC  : U → Nat → U
```

DTP concept: Universes

- Mapping universe codes to actual types:

$el : U \rightarrow Set$

$el\ BIT = Bit$

$el\ CHAR = \mathbf{Char}$

$el\ NAT = Nat$

$el\ (VEC\ u\ n) = Vec\ (el\ u)\ n$

- A pair of a type U and a function $el : U \rightarrow Set$ is a **universe**.
Note: This is a closed universe.
- We can define type-generic functions by induction on U .

DTP concept: Universes - Generic functions

Generic *show*:

show : {u:U} → el u → **String**

show {BIT} 0 = "0"

show {BIT} 1 = "1"

show {CHAR} c = charToString c

show {NAT} Zero = "Zero"

show {NAT} (Succ k) = "Succ_" ++ parens (**show** k)

show {VEC u Zero} Nil = "Nil"

show {VEC u (Succ k)} (x::xs) = parens (**show** x) ++
" _::_" ++ parens (**show** xs)

DDL - Building the Format universe

```

data Format : Set where
Bad   : Format
End   : Format
Base  : U → Format
Plus  : Format → Format → Format
Skip  : Format → Format → Format
Read : (f : Format) → ( [[f]] → Format ) → Format

```

```

[[_]] : Format → Set
[[Bad]] = Empty
[[End]] = Unit
[[Base u]] = el u
[[Plus f1 f2]] Either [[f1]] [[f2]]
[[Read f1 f2]] Sigma [[f1]] (λx → [[f2]] x)
[[Skip _ f]] = [[f]]

```


DDL - Format combinators

```
char : Char → Format
```

```
char c = Read (Base CHAR) (λc' → if c ≡ c' then  
    End else Bad)
```

```
satisfy : (f:Format) → ([f] → Bool) → Format
```

```
satisfy f pred = Read f (λx → if (pred x) then End  
    else Bad)
```

```
_ >> _ : Format → Format → Format
```

```
f1 >> f2 = Skip f1 f2
```

```
_ >>= _ : (f : Format) → ([f] → Format) → Format
```

```
x >>= f = Read x f
```

Example Format

The NETPBM format:

P4 100 60

0I0000000000IIII0IIIII00IIIIIIIII000...

pbm : Format

pbm = char 'P' >> char '4' >> char ' ' >>

Base NAT $\gg \lambda n \rightarrow$ char ' ' >>

Base NAT $\gg \lambda m \rightarrow$ char '\n' >>

Base (VEC (VEC BIT m) n) $\gg \lambda bs \rightarrow$ End

DDL - Generic parsers

```
parse : (f:Format)→List Bit→Maybe ([f],List Bit)
parse Bad bs = Nothing
parse End bs = Just (unit , bs)
parse (Base u) bs = read u bs
parse (Plus f1 f2) bs with parse f1 bs
... | Just (x,cs) = Just (lnl x, cs)
... | Nothing with parse f2 bs
... | Just (y, ds) = Just (lnr y, ds)
... | Nothing = Nothing
parse (Skip f1 f2) bs with parse f1 bs
... | Nothing = Nothing
... | Just (_,cs) = parse f2 cs
parse (Read f1 f2) bs with parse f1 bs
... | Nothing = Nothing
... | Just (x,cs) with parse (f2 x) cs
... | Nothing = Nothing
... | Just (y,ds) = Just (Pair x y, ds)
```

DDL - Generic printers

```
print : (f:Format)→ [[f]] → List Bit
print Bad ()
print End _ = Nil
print (Base u) x = toBits (show x)
print (Plus f1 f2) (lnl x) = print f1 x
print (Plus f1 f2) (lnr x) = print f2 x
print (Read f1 f2) (Pair x y)
    = append (print f1 x) (print (f2 x) y)
print (Skip f1 f2) = ???
```

DDL - Generic printers - Fixing Skip

- To print *Skip f1 f2*, we need values of types $\llbracket f1 \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket f2 \rrbracket$, but we only have $\llbracket f2 \rrbracket$!
- Solution: change the type of *Skip*:

$\text{Skip} : (f : \text{Format}) \rightarrow \llbracket f \rrbracket \rightarrow \text{Format} \rightarrow \text{Format}$

- The new value of type $\llbracket f \rrbracket$ can be used to print out the *f1*.
- Update the *print* function:

```
print : (f : Format) →  $\llbracket f \rrbracket$  → List Bit
print (Skip f1 v f2) x
  = append (print f1 v) (print f2 x)
```

Case Study 2 - Discussion

- Our *Format* data type does not support recursion: Agda complains of possible non-termination.
- Possible solutions:
 - Extend *Format* with another constructor: $Many : Format \rightarrow Format$
 - More generally: Extend it with variables and least-fixed points.
- A lot like Haskell-like generic programming. However:
 - Agda uses dependent pairs, while Haskell uses normal pairs. In Parsec, we can parse a "Vector":

```
parseVec = do n ← parseInt
            xs ← count n parseBit
            return (n,xs)
```

- Returns a $(Int,[Bit])$: The link between both elements is lost!
- $\llbracket f \rrbracket$ is usually a nested tuple of values. But we can define a record-like view if we want.

Metatheoretical note: We can get a value of type $\llbracket f \rrbracket$ only if we can construct one (which implies succesful parsing). Hence, we get this important metatheoretic property (receiving a value of $\llbracket f \rrbracket \Leftrightarrow$ succesful parse) for free!

Relational Algebra - Overview

- Database communication is a crucial element in modern computing. However, some issues:
 - Hardly any static checking: easy to make queries that make no sense.
 - Programmers have to learn (and switch to) another language for communication.
- Several EDSLs for database queries in Haskell available. Again, several issues:
 - Problematic to express all concepts of relational algebra (especially join and cartesian product)
 - Several language extensions required (MultiParamTypeClasses, Extensible Records, Fundeps)
 - Have to know what kind of data a database contains. Usually in the form of a preprocessor.
- Because of these issues, many libraries resort to dynamic typing.
- The root of the problem? Haskell's type system differs fundamentally from DB query language.
- We can do better with Agda!

Relational Algebra - Schemas, tables, rows

An example table:

Model	Time	Wet
Ascari A10	1:17.3	False
Koenigsegg CCX	1:17.6	True
Pagani Zonda C12 F	1:18.4	False
Maserati MC12	1:18.9	False

- **Schema:** Type of a table:

Schema : Set

Schema = **List** Attribute

Attribute : Set

Attribute = (**String** , U)

- Example schema for our example table:

Cars : Schema

Cars = Cons ("Model" , VEC CHAR 20) (Cons ("Time"
 " , VEC CHAR 6) (Cons ("Wet" , BOOL) Nil))

Relational Algebra - Schemas, tables, rows

- We can then define tables as lists of rows:

```
data Row : Schema → Set where
```

```
EmptyRow : Row Nil
```

```
ConsRow : forall {name u s} → el u → Row s →  
         Row (Cons (name,u) s)
```

```
Table : Schema → Set
```

```
Table s = List (Row s)
```

- Example row of our table:

```
zonda : Row Cars
```

```
zonda = ConsRow "Pagani_Zonda_C12_F" (ConsRow "  
1:18.4" (ConsRow False EmptyRow))
```

- Heterogenous lists! More complex in Haskell.

Relational Algebra - Setting up a connection

- Most Haskell database interfaces provide functions like these:

```
connect :: ServerName → IO Connection  
query  :: String → Connection → IO String
```

- Types are very poor: no static checks possible.
- With dependent types, we can be far more precise:

```
Handle : Schema → Set  
connect : ServerName → TableName → (s : Schema)  
        → IO (Handle s)
```

- We connect to a specific table of the database.
- We even provide the schema to which the table should adhere to!
- *connect* function asks DB for a table description, parses it and compares it to *s*.
- If connection succeeds, the rest of the program cannot go wrong.

Relational Algebra - Constructing queries

Let's embed relational algebra operators in Agda:

RA : Schema → Set where

Read : forall {s} → **Handle** s → RA s

Union : forall {s} → RA s → RA s → RA s

Diff : forall {s} → RA s → RA s → RA s

Product : forall {s s'} → {So (disjoint s s')} →
RA s → RA s' → RA (append s s')

Project : forall {s} → (s' : Schema) → {So (sub s
' s)} → RA s → RA s'

Select : forall {s} → SQLExpr s BOOL → RA s → RA
s

So : **Bool** → Set

So **True** = Unit

So **False** = Empty

Relational Algebra - Constructing queries

■ Project example:

Models : Schema

Models = Cons ("Model", VEC CHAR 20) Nil

models : **Handle** Cars \rightarrow RA Models

models h = Project Models (**Read** h)

■ Select needs a way to filter results:

data SQLExpr : Schema \rightarrow U \rightarrow Set **where**

equal : forall {u s} \rightarrow SQLExpr s u \rightarrow SQLExpr s u
 \rightarrow SQLExpr s BOOL

lessThan : forall {u s} \rightarrow SQLExpr s u \rightarrow SQLExpr s
 u \rightarrow SQLExpr s BOOL

! : (s:Schema) \rightarrow (name:**String**) \rightarrow {So (occurs
 name s)} \rightarrow SQLExpr s (**lookup** name s p)

wet : **Handle** Cars \rightarrow RA Models

wet h = Project Models (Select (Cars ! "Wet") (**Read**
 h))

Relational Algebra - Executing queries

- We know how to construct queries, but how can we send them?
- Naive approach:

toSQL: $\text{forall } \{s\} \rightarrow \text{RA } s \rightarrow \mathbf{String}$

- We lose a lot of type information! Better approach:

query : $\{s : \text{Schema}\} \rightarrow \text{RA } s \rightarrow \mathbf{IO} (\mathbf{List} (\text{Row } s))$

- We now know how to parse the DB's response in a type-safe way.

Case Study 3 - Discussion

- *Schema* is a List, so there can be duplicates, and element order matters.

- Modify *Cons* constructor for no duplicates:

$$\text{Cons} : (\text{name} : \mathbf{String}) \rightarrow (\text{u} : \mathbf{U}) \rightarrow (\text{s} : \mathbf{Schema}) \rightarrow \{ \\ \text{So } (\neg(\mathbf{elem} \text{ name } \text{s})) \} \rightarrow \mathbf{Schema}$$

- Making element order irrelevant is harder.

- Quotient types (to hide information)?
- Add proof arguments to our constructors?

$$\text{Union} : \text{forall } \{ \text{s s'} \} \rightarrow \{ \text{So } (\text{permute } \text{s s'}) \} \rightarrow \\ \text{RA } \text{s} \rightarrow \text{RA } \text{s'} \rightarrow \text{RA } \text{s}$$

- Use sorted list or trie?
- Lap time was modeled as fixed-length string, why not use a triple of integers?
 - DB's only support a limited amount of datatypes
 - Using views, we can marshall data to and fro

Conclusions

- Unlike Haskell, we can compute new types from data:
 - File format description \rightarrow compute type of the parser
 - Compute type of a table given a description
- We can have precise data types in dependently-typed languages. (The head of an empty list is an absurd value, but it is possible in Haskell!)
- With views, it is possible to destruct data in a custom manner. (Haskell struggles to offer such support.)
- Generic programming is a hot topic in Haskell right now. Universes and its assorted techniques can be implemented even more elegantly in a dependently-typed language.
- There are many papers about type systems being published to solve specific problems. With a dependently-typed language, we can experiment with types as much as we want, and **spend our time writing programs instead of typing rules.**

Thanks for your time! Any questions?