CS 6375: Machine Learning Project 1: Naive Bayes and Logistic Regression for Text Classification

In this project, you will build simple machine learning models to detect spam emails. You will implement and compare **two types of Naive Bayes** (*Multinomial Naive Bayes* on Bag-of-Words features and *Bernoulli Naive Bayes* on presence/absence features) and **Logistic Regression**.

Note: from the TA: You must use Python 3.9 or later to implement your algorithms. You may use *numpy* for array operations and basic math (e.g., dot products, exponentiation, log), but you must implement the core learning algorithms (probability estimation, gradient updates) yourself — do not use sklearn, tensorflow, or other high-level ML libraries.

Download the spam/ham (ham is not spam) datasets (see the zip file). The datasets were used in the Metsis et al. paper [1]. There are three datasets: enron1, enron2, and enron4. You have to perform the experiments described below on all the three datasets. Each data set is divided into two sets: training and test. Each of them has two directories: spam and ham. All files in the spam and ham folders are spam and ham messages respectively.

Step 1: Data Preparation (20 points)

Your task is to transform a collection of emails into a structured numerical representation in the form of a **feature matrix**, where:

- Columns represent features (i.e., words from a predefined vocabulary).
- Rows represent examples (i.e., individual emails).
- Each entry in the matrix quantifies the presence of a word (column) in a given email (row).

This transformation is essential for applying machine learning algorithms, as they require numerical input rather than raw text. You will use two approaches for this conversion: (1) the Bag of words approach and (2) the Bernoulli approach, described below. Use the training set only to build the vocabulary; do not use the test set (to avoid leakage). Apply exactly the same preprocessing to train and test.

• Bag of Words (BoW) Representation:

In this approach, we first construct a **vocabulary** consisting of all unique words appearing in the training set. Let the vocabulary contain w words. Thus, our feature matrix will have w columns since each word is a feature. Each email is then represented as a **vector of word frequencies**:

- The vector has a length of w, with each entry corresponding to a word in the vocabulary.
- The value of each entry is the **count** of that word in the email (i.e., how many times it appears).

For example, suppose our vocabulary contains the words:

If an email contains the text:

"Machine learning is fun. Machine learning is powerful."

The corresponding BoW vector (row in our feature matrix) would be:

Here, "machine" appears **twice**, "learning" appears **twice**, and the words "data" and "email" do not appear at all.

This representation **captures word frequency**, which can be useful for distinguishing documents based on their content.

• Bernoulli Representation:

The Bernoulli approach also uses the same **vocabulary** of w words, but instead of counting word occurrences, it records only whether a word is **present** or **absent** in the email:

- The vector has a length of w, where each entry is either $\mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{1}$.
- 1 indicates that the corresponding word appears at least once in the email.
- 0 indicates that the word does not appear at all.

Going back to our previous example, for the same email text:

"Machine learning is fun. Machine learning is powerful."

The Bernoulli representation (row in our feature matrix) would be:

Even though "machine" and "learning" appear twice, the Bernoulli approach only marks their presence (1) rather than counting occurrences. This representation is useful in cases where the frequency of a word is not as important as whether it appears at all (e.g., spam detection).

Now perform the following steps to transform unstructured text data into a structured format using BoW and Bernoulli approaches suitable for machine learning algorithms.

Main Steps to Convert the Datasets into Feature Matrices

Each dataset consists of a **training set** and a **test set**, both containing emails labeled as spam or not spam (ham). You will transform these datasets into structured numerical matrices using both the **Bag of Words** and **Bernoulli** representations.

1. Building the Vocabulary:

- Collect all unique words from the training set to create a fixed vocabulary (the features). Do not use the test set for vocabulary construction.
- Convert all text to lowercase and remove punctuation to ensure consistency (use the same rules for train and test).
- Ignore very common words (stopwords) such as "the," "is," and "and" to reduce noise. You may use any text processing library (e.g., NLTK) to help with tokenization and text preprocessing. However, be sure to cite any external tools used in your report.

2. Generating Feature Matrices for Each Representation:

• Bag of Words:

- For each email, count how many times each word from the vocabulary appears.
- Store these counts in a row of the feature matrix.

• Bernoulli Representation:

- For each email, mark each word's presence as 1 if it appears at least once and 0 otherwise.
- Store this binary information in a row of the feature matrix.

3. Applying the Transformation to the Test Set:

- Use the same vocabulary built from the training set.
- Convert each email in the test set into a feature vector using the same method as the training set.
- Any word appearing in a test email but not in the vocabulary is ignored (treated as zero in the feature vector).
- 4. Storing the Datasets in CSV Format: By applying these steps, you will create a total of 12 datasets (3 datasets × 2 representations × train/test):
 - 6 training sets (one BoW and one Bernoulli per dataset).
 - 6 test sets (one BoW and one Bernoulli per dataset).

Each dataset should be stored as a CSV (Comma-Separated Values) file to ensure compatibility with machine learning libraries. The format of the CSV file should be as follows:

- Each row corresponds to a single email.
- The first w columns represent the feature values for each word in the vocabulary.
- The last column contains the label, where:
 - 1 represents a spam email (positive class).
 - 0 represents a non-spam (ham) email.
- Include a header row with column names (the last column name should be label), as in the example below.

If the vocabulary consists of three words: "offer," "free," "win", and we have three emails, the CSV file would look like:

```
offer,free,win,label
1,2,0,1
0,1,1,0
1,0,1,1
```

Each dataset should follow the naming format:

dataset_representation_set.csv

where:

- dataset: The dataset name (enron1, enron2, or enron4).
- representation: The text representation method:
 - bow for the **Bag of Words** model.
 - bernoulli for the Bernoulli model.
- set: The dataset split:
 - train for the training set.
 - test for the test set.

Filenames that you will submit

- enron1_bow_train.csv, enron1_bow_test.csv
- enron1_bernoulli_train.csv, enron1_bernoulli_test.csv
- enron2_bow_train.csv, enron2_bow_test.csv
- enron2_bernoulli_train.csv, enron2_bernoulli_test.csv
- enron4_bow_train.csv, enron4_bow_test.csv
- enron4_bernoulli_train.csv, enron4_bernoulli_test.csv

Guidelines

- Use **lowercase** filenames for consistency.
- Separate words with **underscores** (_) instead of spaces.
- Ensure all datasets follow this structure for easy identification and automated processing.

Step 2: Multinomial Naive Bayes (25 points)

Implement the Multinomial Naive Bayes algorithm for text classification as described in http://nlp.stanford.edu/IR-book/pdf/13bayes.pdf (refer to the algorithm in Figure 13.2). This algorithm is specifically designed for text data and operates on the Bag of Words representation.

Implementation Details:

- Apply add-one Laplace smoothing ($\alpha = 1$) to handle zero probabilities.
- Perform all probability calculations in **log-space** to prevent numerical underflow. Note that, to avoid underflow during test time prediction, do not exponentiate the log-probabilities.
- Train your model using the **Bag of Words** training datasets and evaluate its performance on the corresponding test datasets.

After training the model, report the accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score on the test set.

Important: Use only the datasets generated using the **Bag of Words** approach for this part.

Step 3: Bernoulli Naive Bayes (25 points)

Implement the **Bernoulli Naive Bayes** algorithm (also known as *Binary/Discrete Naive Bayes*), which models each word's presence or absence in a document.

Implementation Details:

- Use add-one Laplace smoothing to avoid zero probabilities.
- Perform all computations in log-space to prevent underflow.
- Train your model using the **Bernoulli** training datasets and evaluate its performance on the corresponding test datasets.

After training, report the **accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score** on the test set. See section on "Evaluation Metrics" at the end of this document on how to compute each of these scores.

Important: Use only the datasets generated using the Bernoulli approach for this part.

Step 4: Logistic Regression (30 points)

Implement the Maximum Conditional A Posteriori (MCAP) Logistic Regression algorithm with ℓ_2 regularization (equivalently, LR with a Gaussian prior on weights). See the supplementary notes provided with this project on implementing logistic regression efficiently.

Implementation Details:

- Use gradient ascent to learn the model parameters.
- Perform hyperparameter tuning by selecting an optimal λ value for ℓ_2 regularization.
- Split the training data into 70% training and 30% validation for tuning λ (use the training split only; do not use the test set for tuning).
- After selecting the best λ , train the model on the full training set.
- Report accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score on the test set (classify as spam if $P(y=1 \mid x) \ge 0.5$).

Since Logistic Regression can handle both feature representations, use both the **Bag of Words** and **Bernoulli** datasets.

Important: Ensure a suitable learning rate (e.g. 0.001 or 0.01) to avoid slow convergence or divergence. Set a hard limit on the number of iterations (e.g. 500 iterations) to control runtime.

Step 5: What to Submit

Make sure to submit a single zip file containing the following:

- The AI transcript file containing all your interactions with the AI assistant related to the project. This should include every prompt and response in chronological order, without removing intermediate attempts or mistakes. Save it as plain text or PDF and name it using the convention cs6375_project1_ai_transcript.pdf. Instructions on using AI for completing your projects are included in a separate document; please refer to it for details.
- Your complete code implementation, along with a README file that provides instructions for compiling and running the code.
- All 12 CSV files corresponding to the generated datasets, following the specified naming convention.
- A detailed write-up reporting accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score for the following models on all three test datasets (treat spam = 1 as the positive class for precision/recall/F1):

- Multinomial Naive Bayes (Bag of Words model)
- Bernoulli Naive Bayes (Binary/Discrete model)
- Logistic Regression (both Bag of Words and Bernoulli models)

Report results separately for each test dataset (enron1, enron2, enron4). Do not average across datasets.

- In the report, describe how hyperparameters were tuned (e.g., values of λ , iteration limits).
- Answer the following questions in your report:
 - 1. Did Naive Bayes or Logistic Regression perform better? Why?
 - 2. Which combination of algorithm and data representation yielded the best performance? Why?
 - 3. Did Multinomial Naive Bayes perform better than Logistic Regression on the Bag of Words representation? Explain.
 - 4. Did Bernoulli Naive Bayes perform better than Logistic Regression on the Bernoulli representation? Explain.

Evaluation Metrics

Before implementing the classifiers, it is essential to understand how to measure their performance. Below are the key evaluation metrics that you will report:

• Accuracy: This measures how often the model correctly classifies an email as spam or not spam. It is calculated as:

$$\label{eq:accuracy} Accuracy = \frac{\text{Number of correctly classified emails}}{\text{Total number of emails}}$$

A higher accuracy means the model is making fewer mistakes overall.

• **Precision:** This measures how many of the emails that the model classified as spam are actually spam. It helps answer the question: "When the model predicts spam, how often is it correct?" It is calculated as:

$$\label{eq:precision} Precision = \frac{\text{Number of correctly classified spam emails}}{\text{Total number of emails predicted as spam}}$$

A high precision means the model is making fewer mistakes when flagging emails as spam.

• Recall: This measures how many of the actual spam emails were correctly identified by the model. It helps answer the question: "Out of all the spam emails, how many did the model find?" It is calculated as:

$$\label{eq:Recall} \text{Recall} = \frac{\text{Number of correctly classified spam emails}}{\text{Total number of actual spam emails}}$$

A high recall means the model is good at catching most spam emails, even if it occasionally mislabels some non-spam emails as spam.

• F1 Score: This metric provides a balance between precision and recall. It is useful when we need to ensure that both spam detection and avoiding false alarms are important. It is calculated as:

$$F_1 = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

A high F1 score means the model is both precise in its spam predictions and good at catching actual spam.

These metrics together provide a complete picture of how well a model is performing. For example, a model with high precision but low recall correctly identifies only a few spam emails while missing many others. On the other hand, a model with high recall but low precision flags many non-spam emails as spam. The goal is to find a balance between the two.

References

[1] V. Metsis, I. Androutsopoulos, and G. Paliouras, "Spam Filtering with Naive Bayes - Which Naive Bayes?" Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on Email and Anti-Spam (CEAS 2006), Mountain View, CA, USA, 2006.