Kevin D. Wendt

# **Peer Evaluation**

CSCI5801 Introduction to Software Engineering

Spring 2017

**Kevin Wendt** 

Team Number: Team 27

Submitter Name: Moti Begna

### **Due Date: With selected deliverables**

This evaluation must be turned in with each deliverable where it is required. One copy per team member is required.

## **Team/Peer Appraisal**

The following team/peer appraisals will be used in part to determine how much effort each team member has spent on the assignment. Each team member **must** fill out a team/peer appraisal packet. First, to fill out the team participation section divide 100 points among the members of your project team, according to how you believe they contributed to the project as a whole. Next, for each team member, including yourself, you must also fill out a team member appraisal form. You should be honest **without** being vengeful. These are to be done independently of the other members of your team—your team members will never see your evaluation packet.

Please hand in the entire team/peer appraisal packet via the Moodle course page by the assignment due date.

### **Team Participation**

Please divide 100 points between your teammates (including yourself) according to their/your level of participation in your team's project. If you feel that all members contributed equally, then assign each team member 25 points (assuming you were on a team of four). If on the other hand, you feel that one member did far more work than all others and one member was not helpful, you might give the more active member 35 points, the less active member 15 points, and the other two members 25 points. If you per chance had five team members, 20 points per member indicates equal effort. Please be fair.

Assignment Name:	Assignment 2: Final Requirements	
Team Number:	Team 27	
	Name	% Effort
Member 1:	Moti Begna	27.5
Member 2:	Charles Ragona	22.5
Member 3:	Ethan Solom	27.5
Member 4:	Cho Zhang	22.5

## **Team Member Appraisal**

To follow are team member appraisal forms for each member of your team. Please fill out each form. Again, be honest but fair. In section A, you should single out each team member's major responsibility during each phase and how well it/they were accomplished. Please put any additional comments about a team member on the back (or bottom) of her/his form.

	Member 1 (	(You):	Moti Begna
--	------------	--------	------------

I created the draft and final copy of the UML diagram, as well as some of the interaction diagrams. I also helped edit the user requirements and use cases for the assignment. In addition, I helped create the group me in which all of the members communicate, as well as setting the dates for when we would meet.

B. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

Index	Comments/Recommendations	Rating
Competence:		3
Possesses or seeks		
required knowledge		
Effort:		3
Tries to accomplish		
assigned tasks		
Productivity:		2
Level of work generated		
Creativity:		3
Conceptualizes useful,		
innovative approaches		
Quality:		3
Demonstrates accuracy		
and thoroughness		
Dependability:		3
Follows through on		
responsibilities		
Communication:		3
Listens, expresses		
ideas/concepts		
Initiative:		4
Seeks out new		
assignments		
Decision Making:	I helped set the times/dates of our group	2
Sets objectives,	meetings	
evaluates alternatives		
Leadership:	I helped delegate work that needed to be done	2
Fosters good	during our group meetings	
teamwork, solves team		
problems		

Member 2 (name): Charles Ragona	
---------------------------------	--

He helped orient us in the very begin by reaching out to the members on canvas. In regards to the user requirements and diagrams, he helped review and make minor changes to the descriptions of the requirements as well as give some input on the general layout of the UML diagram.

B. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

Index	Comments/Recommendations	Rating
Competence:		3
Possesses or seeks		
required knowledge		
Effort:		3
Tries to accomplish		
assigned tasks		
Productivity:	Could have contributed a little more content than	4
Level of work generated	just reviewing specific sections and giving input.	
Creativity:		3
Conceptualizes useful,		
innovative approaches		
Quality:		3
Demonstrates accuracy		
and thoroughness		
Dependability:		3
Follows through on		
responsibilities		
Communication:		2
Listens, expresses		
ideas/concepts		
Initiative:	Always mentioned when new assignments were	2
Seeks out new	posted	
assignments		
Decision Making:		4
Sets objectives,		
evaluates alternatives		
Leadership:		3
Fosters good		
teamwork, solves team		
problems		

C. **Additional Comments:** Content issues were based on his schedule not being able to fit with the rest of ours, however work could have been done outside of the meetings.

He created the google drive in which we stored and edited all of our project files, as well introducing all of us to the draw.io application which we used for all of our diagrams. In addition, the majority of the user requirements and their organization were used from his previous assignment.

B. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

Index	Comments/Recommendations	Rating
Competence:	Introducing us to draw.io and its functions were	2
Possesses or seeks	extremely helpful when creating diagrams	
required knowledge		
Effort:		2
Tries to accomplish		
assigned tasks		
Productivity:		2
Level of work generated		
Creativity:		3
Conceptualizes useful,		
innovative approaches		
Quality:		3
Demonstrates accuracy		
and thoroughness		
Dependability:		2
Follows through on		
responsibilities		
Communication:		3
Listens, expresses		
ideas/concepts		
Initiative:		3
Seeks out new		
assignments		
Decision Making:		3
Sets objectives,		
evaluates alternatives		
Leadership:		3
Fosters good		
teamwork, solves team		
problems		

#### C. Additional Comments

Member 4 (name): Cho Zhang	
----------------------------	--

Gave input on the general design of the UML diagram, as well as creating one of the interaction diagrams presented in the user requirements.

B. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

Index	Comments/Recommendations	Rating
Competence:		4
Possesses or seeks		
required knowledge		
Effort:		3
Tries to accomplish		
assigned tasks		
Productivity:		3
Level of work generated		
Creativity:		4
Conceptualizes useful,		
innovative approaches		
Quality:		3
Demonstrates accuracy		
and thoroughness		
Dependability:	Always responded to any messages sent through	2
Follows through on	GroupMe	
responsibilities		
Communication:	Did well to express his thoughts even with a	3
Listens, expresses	language barrier making it difficult	
ideas/concepts		
Initiative:		4
Seeks out new		
assignments		
Decision Making:		4
Sets objectives,		
evaluates alternatives		
Leadership:		4
Fosters good		
teamwork, solves team		
problems		

C. **Additional Comments:** A lot of the issues with his contribution were related to there being a language barrier. Despite that, however, a little more could have been done.