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Team/Peer Appraisal

The following team/peer appraisals will be used in part to determine how much effort each team member has spent on the assignment. Each team member **must** fill out a team/peer appraisal packet. First, to fill out the team participation section divide 100 points among the members of your project team, according to how you believe they contributed to the project as a whole. Next, for each team member, including yourself, you must also fill out a team member appraisal form. You should be honest **without** being vengeful. These are to be done independently of the other members of your team—your team members will never see your evaluation packet.

Please hand in the entire team/peer appraisal packet via the Moodle course page **by the assignment due date**.

Team Participation

Please divide 100 points between your teammates (including yourself) according to their/your level of participation in your team’s project. If you feel that all members contributed equally, then assign each team member 25 points (assuming you were on a team of four). If on the other hand, you feel that one member did far more work than all others and one member was not helpful, you might give the more active member 35 points, the less active member 15 points, and the other two members 25 points. If you per chance had five team members, 20 points per member indicates equal effort. Please be fair.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Assignment Name:** | Assignment 2: Final Requirements | |
| **Team Number:** | Team 27 | |
|  | **Name** | **% Effort** |
| **Member 1:** | Moti Begna | 27.5 |
| **Member 2:** | Charles Ragona | 22.5 |
| **Member 3:** | Ethan Solom | 27.5 |
| **Member 4:** | Cho Zhang | 22.5 |

Team Member Appraisal

To follow are team member appraisal forms for each member of your team. Please fill out each form. Again, be honest but fair. In section A, you should single out each team member's major responsibility during each phase and how well it/they were accomplished. Please put any additional comments about a team member on the back (or bottom) of her/his form.

Member 1 (**You**): Moti Begna

1. **Responsibilities and Accomplishments**

I created the draft and final copy of the UML diagram, as well as some of the interaction diagrams. I also helped edit the user requirements and use cases for the assignment. In addition, I helped create the group me in which all of the members communicate, as well as setting the dates for when we would meet.

1. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Index** | **Comments/Recommendations** | **Rating** |
| **Competence**:  Possesses or seeks required knowledge |  | 3 |
| **Effort**:  Tries to accomplish assigned tasks |  | 3 |
| **Productivity**:  Level of work generated |  | 2 |
| **Creativity**:  Conceptualizes useful, innovative approaches |  | 3 |
| **Quality**:  Demonstrates accuracy and thoroughness |  | 3 |
| **Dependability**:  Follows through on responsibilities |  | 3 |
| **Communication**:  Listens, expresses ideas/concepts |  | 3 |
| **Initiative**:  Seeks out new assignments |  | 4 |
| **Decision Making**:  Sets objectives, evaluates alternatives | I helped set the times/dates of our group meetings | 2 |
| **Leadership**:  Fosters good teamwork, solves team problems | I helped delegate work that needed to be done during our group meetings | 2 |

Member 2 (name): Charles Ragona

1. **Responsibilities and Accomplishments**

He helped orient us in the very begin by reaching out to the members on canvas. In regards to the user requirements and diagrams, he helped review and make minor changes to the descriptions of the requirements as well as give some input on the general layout of the UML diagram.

1. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Index** | **Comments/Recommendations** | **Rating** |
| **Competence**:  Possesses or seeks required knowledge |  | 3 |
| **Effort**:  Tries to accomplish assigned tasks |  | 3 |
| **Productivity**:  Level of work generated | Could have contributed a little more content than just reviewing specific sections and giving input. | 4 |
| **Creativity**:  Conceptualizes useful, innovative approaches |  | 3 |
| **Quality**:  Demonstrates accuracy and thoroughness |  | 3 |
| **Dependability**:  Follows through on responsibilities |  | 3 |
| **Communication**:  Listens, expresses ideas/concepts |  | 2 |
| **Initiative**:  Seeks out new assignments | Always mentioned when new assignments were posted | 2 |
| **Decision Making**:  Sets objectives, evaluates alternatives |  | 4 |
| **Leadership**:  Fosters good teamwork, solves team problems |  | 3 |

1. **Additional Comments:** Content issues were based on his schedule not being able to fit with the rest of ours, however work could have been done outside of the meetings.

Member 3 (name): Ethan Solom

1. **Responsibilities and Accomplishments**

He created the google drive in which we stored and edited all of our project files, as well introducing all of us to the draw.io application which we used for all of our diagrams. In addition, the majority of the user requirements and their organization were used from his previous assignment.

1. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Index** | **Comments/Recommendations** | **Rating** |
| **Competence**:  Possesses or seeks required knowledge | Introducing us to draw.io and its functions were extremely helpful when creating diagrams | 2 |
| **Effort**:  Tries to accomplish assigned tasks |  | 2 |
| **Productivity**:  Level of work generated |  | 2 |
| **Creativity**:  Conceptualizes useful, innovative approaches |  | 3 |
| **Quality**:  Demonstrates accuracy and thoroughness |  | 3 |
| **Dependability**:  Follows through on responsibilities |  | 2 |
| **Communication**:  Listens, expresses ideas/concepts |  | 3 |
| **Initiative**:  Seeks out new assignments |  | 3 |
| **Decision Making**:  Sets objectives, evaluates alternatives |  | 3 |
| **Leadership**:  Fosters good teamwork, solves team problems |  | 3 |

1. **Additional Comments**

Member 4 (name): Cho Zhang

1. **Responsibilities and Accomplishments**

Gave input on the general design of the UML diagram, as well as creating one of the interaction diagrams presented in the user requirements.

1. **Appraisal:** For each of the indices below, evaluate the team member's performance over the lifecycle. Mark the appropriate number in the right hand column based on the following guidelines: 1 = outstanding, 2 = consistently exceeds requirements, 3 = meets requirements, 4 = below requirements, 5 = unsatisfactory.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Index** | **Comments/Recommendations** | **Rating** |
| **Competence**:  Possesses or seeks required knowledge |  | 4 |
| **Effort**:  Tries to accomplish assigned tasks |  | 3 |
| **Productivity**:  Level of work generated |  | 3 |
| **Creativity**:  Conceptualizes useful, innovative approaches |  | 4 |
| **Quality**:  Demonstrates accuracy and thoroughness |  | 3 |
| **Dependability**:  Follows through on responsibilities | Always responded to any messages sent through GroupMe | 2 |
| **Communication**:  Listens, expresses ideas/concepts | Did well to express his thoughts even with a language barrier making it difficult | 3 |
| **Initiative**:  Seeks out new assignments |  | 4 |
| **Decision Making**:  Sets objectives, evaluates alternatives |  | 4 |
| **Leadership**:  Fosters good teamwork, solves team problems |  | 4 |

1. **Additional Comments:** A lot of the issues with his contribution were related to there being a language barrier. Despite that, however, a little more could have been done.