R/mpMap Workshop

Part 4: Advanced Topics

Emma Huang TAMU, 3 Sep. 2015

CHOOSE YOUR OWN ADVENTURE

CHOOSE FROM HUNDREDS OF POSSIBLE ENDINGS!


SOFTWARE PROJECT SUCCESS OR FAILURE

You have the power...

Plan

10:30-11:30

- Part 4: Advanced Topics (45 min)
 - Imputation
 - Selective phenotyping
 - Simulation/Recombination
 - Visualization
- Exercises (10 min)
- · Questions (5 min)



Imputation of Missing Data

Missing Data

- Causes
 - GBS alignment
 - Quality
 - SNP hets not called
 - Different platforms

Typical Approaches

| Software | Release Date | Author | Institute |
|-------------|--------------|----------|------------|
| (fast)PHASE | 2001/2006 | Stephens | Chicago |
| MACH | 2007 | Abecasis | Michigan |
| BEAGLE | 2007 | Browning | Washington |
| Alphalmpute | 2011 | Hickey | Roslin |
| IMPUTE(2) | 2009/2012 | Marchini | Oxford |
| SHAPEIT(2) | 2011/2013 | Delaneau | CNAM |

High-density reference panel

High-density reference panel

• Phasing

Low-density targets

• HMM

• Pedigree

Probabilities

• Phases

• Imputation

Good performance


| Spacing (/cM) | N | %MISS | %B | %M | %K |
|---------------|-----|-------|------|------|------|
| 1 | 200 | 30 | 93.7 | 96.3 | 79.8 |
| 1 | 200 | 40 | 93.0 | 95.5 | 78.8 |
| 1 | 200 | 50 | 92.0 | 94.8 | 77.5 |
| 1 | 400 | 30 | 94.3 | 96.3 | 80.3 |
| 1 | 400 | 40 | 93.8 | 95.5 | 79.4 |
| 1 | 400 | 50 | 92.6 | 94.8 | 78.2 |
| 2 | 200 | 30 | 96.7 | 98.3 | 83.5 |
| 2 | 200 | 40 | 96.3 | 98.0 | 82.3 |
| 2 | 200 | 50 | 95.4 | 97.6 | 80.8 |
| 2 | 400 | 30 | 97.0 | 98.3 | 84.1 |
| 2 | 400 | 40 | 96.5 | 98.0 | 83.1 |
| 2 | 400 | 50 | 96.0 | 97.6 | 81.8 |

• But what if the reference panel is incomplete?

Simplest solution: get more data

- Higher coverage
- Different platform
- More replicates
- •
- But sometimes that's not possible

Impute using all data



Simulation results

| Spacing (/cM) | N | %MISS | %F0 | %FC | %FK |
|---------------|-----|-------|------|------|------|
| 1 | 200 | 30 | 46.9 | 100 | 86.6 |
| 1 | 200 | 40 | 24.5 | 100 | 85.4 |
| 1 | 200 | 50 | 9.8 | 99.6 | 83.9 |
| 1 | 400 | 30 | 47.3 | 100 | 88.4 |
| 1 | 400 | 40 | 24.9 | 100 | 87.4 |
| 1 | 400 | 50 | 10.1 | 100 | 86.2 |
| 2 | 200 | 30 | 47.1 | 100 | 90.7 |
| 2 | 200 | 40 | 24.8 | 100 | 89.5 |
| 2 | 200 | 50 | 10.0 | 100 | 87.8 |
| 2 | 400 | 30 | 47.1 | 100 | 92.1 |
| 2 | 400 | 40 | 24.9 | 100 | 91.3 |
| 2 | 400 | 50 | 10.0 | 100 | 90.1 |

Dataset simulated with missing data

```
load('MissingData.RData')
  table(apply(missdat$founders, 2, function(x) sum(is.na(x))))

##

## 0 1 2 3 4 5


## 15 29 31 19 5 2

nmiss <- apply(missdat$finals, 1, function(x) sum(is.na(x))/length(x))</pre>
```

50% missing for all lines; 25% missing per founder

hist(nmiss, breaks=20, col="tomato")

Histogram of nmiss



Implementation of imputation

- · mpimpute
 - options for founders, finals, or both
 - relies on founder probability calculation

```
impdat <- mpimpute(missdat)

## [1] "No chromosomes specified, will default to all"

## Using map groupings for groups. Remove map object if you want to regroup.

## --Read the following data:

## 200 individuals

## 101 markers

## 2 phenotypes</pre>
```

How much could we impute?

```
table(apply(impdat$founders, 2, function(x) sum(!is.na(x))))

##

## 8

## 101

nmissi <- apply(impdat$finals, 1, function(x) sum(is.na(x))/length(x))
 sum(nmissi>0)

## [1] 0
```

How accurate was the imputation?

```
sum(is.na(impdat$founders))
## [1] 0
  sum(impdat$founders!=dat$founders)
## [1] 0
  sum(is.na(impdat$finals))/prod(dim(impdat$finals))
## [1] 0
  sum(impdat$finals!=dat$finals, na.rm=T)/sum(is.na(missdat$finals))
## [1] 0.08916084
```

16/55

In practice

- May want to test on your known data
 - Mask out some percentage, try imputation and estimate accuracy
- Affected by
 - marker density/spacing
 - sample size
 - type of genotyping platform
 - level of heterozygosity, etc.



Selective Phenotyping

Costs


- · Phenotyping has overtaken genotyping in cost
 - done many times
 - at multiple scales
 - in multiple environments
 - for multiple traits
 - ...
- So how do we best select a sample for phenotyping from a large set of genotyped individuals?

Goal

- · A selection method which
 - is general, flexible and robust
 - best captures the genetic information of the population
 - maximized diversity, avoids genetic duplication
- Previous options (Jin et al. 2004, Jannink 2005) focused on specific designs, could not handle missing data

SPCLUST

- · Step 1: Compute pairwise distances between all individuals
- Step 2: Cluster distances into k groups
- · Step 3: Select representative from each cluster



2-stage SPCLUST

- Multiple stages of selection to refine QTL position
- Genomewide -> candidate gene level
- · Selected lines will
 - have higher genetic diversity, so
 - are more likely to have recombination
 - and better resolve QTL location

2-stages

Stage 1:

Selection of lines based on full genome


Detection of broad QTL regions

Stage 2:

Selection of lines based on QTL region genotypes

Refine QTL position

QTL Support intervals



Implementation

- · R package spclust
- Functions to
 - compute distance (spdist)
 - select lines (spclust, single- or multi-stage)
 - visualize (plot.spclust)

Example


```
library(spclust)
load('SimulatedSP.RData')
selLines <- spclust(dat, nlines=20, method="average")

## [1] "No chromosomes specified, will default to all"
## Using map groupings for groups. Remove map object if you want to regroup.
## --Read the following data:
## 200 individuals
## 255 markers
## 2 phenotypes
## No required lines input; will only select a single-stage sample</pre>
```

Plot of output

plot(selLines, type=2)

Cluster Dendrogram



distmat hclust (*, "average")

Simulation

What have we seen so far?

- General MAGIC simulation
 - varying map
 - varying pedigree
 - varying QTL
- Missing data

What can you do with this?

- · Assess imputation quality in your data
- Compare different designs
 - number of generations of advanced intercrossing
 - DH vs. RIL
 - MAGIC vs. NAM
- Test power for different approaches
- Generate empirical significance thresholds
- Estimate power for your map/data/founders
- See how theory compares to reality

Comparison of designs

- Generate different pedigrees
- · Generate data from them
- Compare number of recombinations, size of haplotype blocks

```
ped4 <- sim.mpped(4, 3, 200) # MAGIC4RIL

ped8 <- sim.mpped(8, 30, 200) # MAGIC8RIL, 30 funnels

ped8ai2 <- sim.mpped(8, 1, 200, iripgen=2) # MAGIC8AI2RIL

ped26nam <- generateNAMpedigree(26, 100) #NAMRIL</pre>
```

Whole genome data

- AlphaMPSim (Hickey et al. 2014)
- · Written in Fortran/R
- Faster and more memory efficient than using sim.mpcross
- For very large-scale simulations (>30K markers)

Estimation of power

- Need to set up larger scale scripts
- Generate multiple datasets
 - Could keep observed founder genotypes, map, new progeny
 - Could keep observed progeny genotypes as well new phenotype
 - Depends on how generalizable results need to be
- Analyze each dataset as you would observed data
- How often are QTL of different sizes detected?
- · See Kover et al. (2009) for more details on procedure

Recombination


Counting recombination events

```
load('datfinalPart2.RData')
## Based just on highest probability allele
nrecEst <- lapply(mppEst$estfnd, function(x)</pre>
  apply(x, 1, function(y) return(sum(diff(y[!is.na(y)])!=0))))
mean(rowSums(do.call("cbind", nrecEst)))
## [1] 12.44
## Errors in the map can cause additional recombination events
load('Part2.RData')
nrecTrue <- lapply(mppTrue$estfnd, function(x)</pre>
  apply(x, 1, function(y) return(sum(diff(y[!is.na(y)])!=0))))
mean(rowSums(do.call("cbind", nrecTrue)))
## [1] 11.954
```

Alternate method of counting


```
## Based on forward-backward algorithm with penalty
source('nrec.R')
mean(nrec(mppEst, penalty=0)$totrec)
## [1] 13.797
mean(nrec(mppEst, penalty=1)$totrec)
## [1] 12.055
mean(nrec(mppEst, penalty=2)$totrec)
## [1] 10.941
```

Simulation of recombination events



Counting per chromosome

```
nr <- nrec(mppEst, penalty=1)
boxplot(do.call("cbind", nr$nrec), col="tomato")</pre>
```



QTL mapping with recombination events

```
mppEst$pheno$nrec <- nrec(mppEst, penalty=1)$totrec
mprec <- mpIM(object=mppEst, responsename="nrec", ncov=0)
## No QTL found - but possible in real data</pre>
```

Visualization

Additional libraries

- ggplot2
- lattice
- RCircos
- Heatplus
- LDheatmap

•

mpMap/Interactive


- Works on OS/X and Windows
- · Uses:
 - Grouping markers, combining groups
 - Removing markers
 - Flipping blocks of markers
 - Re-ordering chunks of markers
- Note: currently only works for mpcross objects, but could relatively easily be extended to more general crosses

Plot functions for most objects

- plot.mpcross
 - Linkage map
 - Histogram of phenotype(s)
 - RF/LOD heatmap
- plot.mpprob
 - Percent of chromosome inherited from each founder
 - Haplotype mosaics for each chromosome
 - Founder inheritance across genome
- plot.mpqtl
 - QTL profile
 - Support interval


plot.mpcross

```
load('datfinalPart2.RData')
plot(datfinal)
```




plot.mpprob

```
mpp <- mpprob(datfinal, program="qtl")
plot(mpp)</pre>
```



plot.mpprob (cont'd)



Comparisons of maps - validation

- mapcomp function
 - subsets down to common markers/chromosomes
 - compares positions of markers with the same names
 - identifies markers with conflicting chromosomes
- summary.mapcomp
 - summarizes number of markers in each map and in common
 - identifies duplicated markers in each map
 - correlations between chromosomes in each map
- plot.mapcomp
 - scatterplot of markers positions for the two maps


mapcomp

```
load('Part2.RData')
mc <- mapcomp(dat, datfinal)
summary(mc)


## Number of markers in map1 is 505
## Number of markers in map2 is 505
## Number of common markers is 505
## Number of duplicated markers in map1 is 0
## Number of duplicated markers in map2 is 0
## Number of markers with differing chromosomes between maps is 0
## Correlations between chromosomes are:
## 0.999142 0.991306 -0.999554 -0.9988986 0.9987963</pre>
```

plot.mapcomp

plot(mc)



Interactions



Circle plot code

```
library(RCircos)
source('CircularIntx.R')
pmatrix <- matrix(runif(505*505, 0, 1), nrow=505)
plotCircIntx(pmatrix, threshold=1e-4, map=datfinal$map, file="CircEx.png")</pre>
```

References (1/2)

Jin et al. 2004, Selective phenotyping for increased efficiency in genetic mapping studies. Genetics 168:2285-2293. doi: 10.1534/genetics.104.027524

Jannink 2005, Selective phenotyping to accurately map quantitative trait loci. Crop Science 45:901-908. doi: 10.2135/cropsci2004.0278

Hickey et al. 2014, AlphaMPSIM: flexible simulation of multi-parent crosses. Bioinformatics 30:2686-2688. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu206

Huang et al. 2013, Selecting subsets of genotyped experimental populations for phenotyping to maximize genetic diversity. TAG 126: 379-388. doi: 10.1007/s00122-012-1986-4

References (2/2)

Huang et al. 2014, Efficient imputation of missing markers in low-coverage genotyping-by-sequencing data from multiparental crosses. Genetics 197:401-404. doi: 10.1534/genetics.113.158014

Kover et al. 2009, A multiparent advanced generation inter-cross to fine-map quantitative traits in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genetics doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000551

Questions

Contact me: b.emma.huang@gmail.com
github.com/behuang/mpMap for updates

The End!