## 2- REINFORCE Algorithm

## Behzad Khamidehi

According to the policy gradient theorem, if we define the performance measure for our policy gradient algorithm as  $J(\theta) = v_{\pi_{\theta}}(s_0)$ , the value of the start state, we have

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \{ Q_{\pi_{\theta}}(s, a) \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a|s) \}$$
 (1)

As can be seen in 1, to evaluate the gradient of function  $J(\theta)$ , we need to know the value of  $Q_{\pi_{\theta}}(s,a)$ . However, it is difficult and impossible to have the accurate value of the Q-function. Instead, we can use the Monte-Carlo method to estimate the Q-function by the return function. In what follows, we discuss how to use the return function.

It is worth mentioning that in the policy gradient theorem, we use a performance measure, i.e.,  $J(\theta) = v_{\pi_{\theta}}(s_0)$ . According to the definition of the state value function,

$$v_{\pi}(s) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi}\{G_t|s_t = s\} \tag{2}$$

where  $G_t = \sum_{k=0}^{T-1} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1}$  is the return function defined for the episodic task. We can rewrite  $J(\theta)$  as

$$J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}} \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma^{t} r_{t} \right\}$$
 (3)

If we define a trajectory  $\tau$  of length T as  $\tau = (s_0, a_0, r_0, s_1, a_1, r_1, \ldots, s_{T-1}, a_{T-1}, r_{T-1}, s_T)$ , where  $s_0 \sim p(s_0)$ ,  $a_i \sim \pi_{\theta}(a_i|s_i)$ , and  $s_i \sim \mathbf{P}(s_i|s_{i-1}, a_{i-1})$ , and  $\mathbf{P}$  is the dynamics of the model, our goal in the policy gradient approaches is to directly find a policy to maximize the expected return over all possible trajectories, i.e.,  $\max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}} \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma^t r_t \right\}$ . To do this maximiztion, we need to compute  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ . In what follows, we we show how to evaluate  $\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ .

We remember

$$\nabla_{\theta} \mathbb{E}\{f(x)\} = \nabla_{\theta} \int p_{\theta}(x) f(x) dx$$

$$= \int \frac{p_{\theta}(x)}{p_{\theta}(x)} \nabla_{\theta} p_{\theta}(x) f(x) dx$$

$$= \int p_{\theta}(x) \frac{\nabla_{\theta} p_{\theta}(x)}{p_{\theta}(x)} f(x) dx$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\{f(x) \nabla_{\theta} \ln p_{\theta}(x)\}.$$
(4)

As a result,  $\nabla_{\theta}J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi_{\theta}}\left\{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma^{t} r_{t} \nabla_{\theta} \ln p_{\theta}(\tau)\right\}$ , where  $\tau \sim \pi_{\theta}$  indicates that the trajectory  $\tau$  is derived by following policy  $\pi_{\theta}$ .

Since

$$p_{\theta}(\tau) = \mu(s_0) \prod_{t=0}^{T-1} \pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t) \mathbf{P}(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t),$$

we have

$$\nabla_{\theta} \ln p_{\theta}(\tau) = \nabla_{\theta} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_t|s_t).$$

As a result, the gradient of  $J(\theta)$  is expressed as

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \pi_{\theta}} \left\{ \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma^{t} r_{t} \right) \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_{t}|s_{t}). \right\}$$
 (5)

Now, we can use the Monte-Carlo method to approximate the expectation. According to this approach,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\pi}\{f(x)\} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(x_i)$$

where  $x_i \sim \pi(x)$ . Hence,

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \nabla_{\theta} \ln \pi_{\theta}(a_{t,i}|s_{t,i}) \right) \left( \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma^{t} r_{t,i} \right).$$
 (6)