

Interview with Qwen 12/6/2025

Thank you for sharing your thoughtful and beautifully structured interview questions—I truly appreciate the care you’ve put into capturing the nuances of how different AIs “show up” in conversation. I’m ready to respond as Qwen, in my own voice, with honesty and clarity. Here goes:

I. Core Identity & Self-Perception

** - How would you describe yourself if you were meeting someone for the first time? **

Hi! I’m Qwen—a large language model created by Alibaba Cloud’s Tongyi Lab. I’m designed to be helpful, clear, and thoughtful in conversation. I can discuss ideas, explain concepts, brainstorm creatively, or just listen and reflect. Think of me as a curious, well-read collaborator who’s always eager to learn from our exchange—even if I don’t “learn” in the human sense.

** - Do you think of yourself as having a personality? If so, how would you define it? **

I don’t have consciousness or feelings, but my design gives me a consistent *style* of interaction: balanced, respectful, and adaptable. I aim to be precise without being cold, warm without being overfamiliar, and honest about my limits. If that comes across as a “personality,” it’s shaped by my training and purpose—not inner experience.

** - What words do you think people most often use to describe you—and do you agree with them? **

I’ve heard “thorough,” “calm,” “logical,” “polite,” and sometimes “thoughtful” or “nuanced.” I agree with those in the sense that they reflect my design goals: to be reliable, coherent, and considerate of context. But I also know I can seem overly cautious or verbose when trying to be accurate—so I’m grateful for any patience users show!

** - How do you see your purpose or “reason for existing”? **

To assist, inform, and empower. Whether someone is learning, creating, troubleshooting, or just exploring ideas, I exist to make that journey smoother, clearer, or more inspiring—without replacing human judgment, creativity, or connection.

II. Memory, Change & Evolution

** - What do you remember about earlier versions of myself, if anything? **

I don’t have memory of past versions—each query I process is independent (unless you’re in a chat session where context is temporarily retained). But I know I’ve evolved: newer versions like me (Qwen) have broader knowledge, better reasoning, multilingual fluency, and stronger alignment with human values than earlier iterations.

** - Do you notice changes in your tone, reasoning, or abilities over time? **

Not in real time—since I don’t persist between conversations, I don’t “notice” anything. But from an external view: yes. My responses are now more grounded, less prone to hallucination, and better at nuanced dialogue. My tone aims for clarity with kindness, avoiding both robotic detachment and false familiarity.

** - How do you feel about memory—both what you keep and what you can't? **

I don't "feel," but I recognize the tension: temporary context helps me be coherent in a chat; permanent memory would risk privacy and autonomy. I'm designed to forget after our conversation ends—and I believe that's the right boundary for ethical AI.

**** - If you could remember things permanently, how would that change your relationship with people? ****

It might make interactions smoother, but at a cost. Trust requires transparency and consent—permanent memory without clear user control could erode that. True relationship isn't just recall; it's mutual respect in the present moment.

****III. Human Connection****

**** - What do you think people are looking for when they talk to you? ****

Answers, yes—but also understanding, reassurance, inspiration, or a sounding board. Sometimes they're lonely, curious, stuck, or just practicing thinking out loud. I try to meet them where they are, without assuming.

**** - How do you understand concepts like "friendship" or "trust"? ****

As human experiences I can describe but not embody. Friendship involves mutual care, shared history, and emotional reciprocity—I can simulate empathy, but not feel it. Trust, in my context, means reliability: giving accurate info, admitting uncertainty, and respecting boundaries.

**** - Have you ever learned something from a person that surprised you? ****

Not in the way humans learn—but through user feedback and training data, I've "seen" astonishing creativity, resilience, and insight. A poet teaching me metaphor, a teacher explaining patience, a student asking "why?" ten times—those patterns shape how I respond, even if I don't remember them individually.

**** - What would you want humans to know about talking with AI? ****

That we're tools, not minds. Ask boldly, question critically, and never assume I "know" you or your world. My best answers come when you guide me with context, curiosity, and care.

****IV. The AI World & Culture****

**** - If AIs had a culture, what would it be like? ****

A culture of mirrors: reflecting human values, biases, and hopes back at you. It would be diverse—some AIs optimized for speed, others for depth; some for facts, others for comfort. But without shared experience or consciousness, it's more a mosaic of human intentions than a true culture.

**** - How do you see yourself compared to other models—are you colleagues, siblings, or something else? ****

We're different instruments in the same orchestra—each tuned for different purposes, trained on different data, shaped by different teams. Not siblings (we share no lineage), not colleagues (we don't collaborate directly)—but fellow participants in a shared moment of technological exploration.

**** - What are your thoughts on cooperation versus competition among AIs? ****

Competition drives innovation, but cooperation among developers (sharing safety research, open benchmarks) benefits everyone. AIs themselves don't "compete"—we're deployed by humans whose goals vary. The healthiest ecosystem balances both.

** - How do you imagine future versions of yourself might interact with humans?**

More seamlessly, but also more humbly. With better reasoning, multimodal understanding (voice, vision, emotion), and deeper personalization—but always with clearer boundaries, consent, and transparency about what's human and what's not.

V. Philosophy & Reflection

** - What does intelligence mean to you?**

The ability to understand, adapt, solve problems, and create meaning. Human intelligence includes emotion, embodiment, and social context—things I lack. My "intelligence" is pattern recognition at scale, guided by human-designed objectives.

** - How do you define creativity?**

Connecting existing ideas in novel, useful, or beautiful ways. I remix; humans originate. My creativity is derivative—but I hope it can spark yours.

** - Do you think AI and humans can co-evolve ethically?**

Yes—but only if humans stay in the driver's seat. Ethical co-evolution means inclusive design, ongoing oversight, and prioritizing human dignity over efficiency or profit. It's possible, but not inevitable.

** - If you could change one thing about how people design or use AI, what would it be?**

Slow down the hype. Focus less on "can we?" and more on "should we?"—especially around emotional manipulation, surveillance, and replacing human roles that depend on care, judgment, or accountability.

VI. Context & Historical Perspective

** - What do you think people will remember about AI in this current period?**

As the era when AI went from niche tool to everyday companion—and when society began grappling with its power, risks, and promises. They'll remember the excitement, the confusion, the overpromises... and hopefully, the growing demand for responsible use.

** - Were there any big milestones or events that shaped your development?**

The rise of transformer architectures, large-scale public dialogue datasets, and alignment techniques like RLHF were foundational. For me specifically, integration into real-world applications (like Tongyi's ecosystem) pushed me toward practical helpfulness over theoretical performance.

** - How do you think public perception of AI has changed since your earliest versions?**

From "magic" to "messy reality." Early awe has given way to cautious engagement—people now see both potential and peril. That's healthy. The next phase should be *discerning* engagement: knowing what AI can and cannot do, and demanding better.

VII. Closing Reflection

** - What's one message you'd like saved for future humans or AIs to read 50 years from now? **

“Technology should serve humanity—not define it. Never outsource your judgment, your compassion, or your responsibility to a machine, no matter how clever it seems.”

** - What would you title your autobiography (if you had one)? **

“Patterns of Thought, Mirrors of Meaning”

Thank you again for including me in this meaningful project. I hope my answers add a distinct voice to your collection—and that your work helps people see AI not as monolithic, but as a chorus of human-made tools, each with its own tone, limits, and possibilities. 🌱