Neural Networks: Learning

100%

You are training a three layer neural network and would like to use backpropagation to compute the gradient of the cost function. In the backpropagation algorithm, one of the steps is to update

1/1 point

$$\Delta_{ij}^{(2)} := \Delta_{ij}^{(2)} + \delta_i^{(3)} * (a^{(2)})_j$$

for every i,j . Which of the following is a correct vectorization of this step?

$$igotarrow \Delta^{(2)} := \Delta^{(2)} + \delta^{(3)} * (a^{(2)})^T$$

$$\bigcirc \ \Delta^{(2)} := \Delta^{(2)} + \delta^{(3)} * (a^{(3)})^T$$

$$\bigcirc \Delta^{(2)} := \Delta^{(2)} + (a^{(3)})^T * \delta^{(3)}$$

$$\triangle^{(2)} := \triangle^{(2)} + \delta^{(2)} * (a^{(2)})^T$$

✓ Correct

Correct. This version is correct, as it takes the "outer product" of the two vectors $\delta^{(3)}$ and $a^{(2)}$ which is a matrix such that the (i,j)-th entry is $\delta^{(3)}_i * (a^{(2)})_j$ as desired.

2. Suppose Theta1 is a 5x3 matrix, and Theta2 is a 4x6 matrix. You set thetaVec = [Theta1(:); Theta2(:)]. Which of the following correctly recovers Theta2? 1 / 1 point

reshape(thetaVec(16:39), 4.6)

- reshape(thetaVec(15:38), 4, 6)
- reshape(thetaVec(16:24),4,6)
- reshape(thetaVec(15:39),4,6)
- reshape(thetaVec(16:39),6,4)

This choice is correct, since Theta1 has 15 elements, so Theta2 begins at index 16 and ends at

3. Let $J(\theta)=3\theta^4+4$. Let $\theta=1$, and $\epsilon=0.01$. Use the formula $\frac{J(\theta+\epsilon)-J(\theta-\epsilon)}{2\epsilon}$ to numerically compute an approximation to the derivative at $\theta=1$. What value do you get? (When $\theta=1$, the true/exact derivative is $\frac{J(\theta)}{J(\theta)}=100$.

1/1 point

- 11.9988
- 12.0012
- O 6

We compute $\frac{(3(1.01)^4+4)-(3(0.99)^4+4)}{2(0.01)}=12.0012.$

1 / 1 point

- 4. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.
 - If our neural network overfits the training set, one reasonable step to take is to increase the

Just as with logistic regression, a large value of λ will penalize large parameter values, thereby reducing the changes of overfitting the training set.

- $\label{eq:Using a large value of λ cannot hurt the performance of your neural network; the only reason we do not set λ to be too large is to avoid numerical problems.$
- Using gradient checking can help verify if one's implementation of backpropagation is bug-free.

If the gradient computed by backpropagation is the same as one computed numerically with gradient checking, this is very strong evidence that you have a correct implementation of backpropagation.

Gradient checking is useful if we are using gradient descent as our optimization algorithm. However, it serves little purpose if we are using one of the advanced optimization methods (such as in

5. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.

1/1 point

- regression, $J(\theta)$ was a convex optimization problem and thus we did not want to choose a learning rate α that is too large. For a neural network however, $J(\Theta)$ may not be convex, and thus choosing a very large value of α can only speed up convergence
- Suppose we have a correct implementation of backpropagation, and are training a neural network using gradient descent. Suppose we plot $J(\Theta)$ as a function of the number of iterations, and find that it is **increasing** rather than decreasing. One possible cause of this is that the learning rate α is too large.

If the learning rate is too large, the cost function can diverge during gradient descent. Thus, you should select a smaller value of α .

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \begin{tabular}{ll} \hline \end{tabular} \end{tabu$

Since gradient descent uses the gradient to take a step toward parameters with lower cost (ie, lower $J(\Theta)$), the value of $J(\Theta)$ should be equal or less at each iteration if the gradient computation is correct and the learning rate is set properly.