


## Support Vector Machines

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE  
100%

1. Suppose you have trained an SVM classifier with a Gaussian kernel, and it learned the following decision boundary on the training set:

1/1 point



When you measure the SVM's performance on a cross validation set, it does poorly. Should you try increasing or decreasing  $C$ ? increasing or decreasing  $\sigma^2$ ?

- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **increasing**  $C$ ; it would also be reasonable to try **increasing**  $\sigma^2$ .
- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **increasing**  $C$ ; it would also be reasonable to try **decreasing**  $\sigma^2$ .
- ☐ It would be reasonable to try **decreasing**  $C$ ; it would also be reasonable to try **decreasing**  $\sigma^2$ .
- ☒ It would be reasonable to try **decreasing**  $C$ ; it would also be reasonable to try **increasing**  $\sigma^2$ .


✓ Correct

The figure shows a decision boundary that is overfit to the training set, so we'd like to increase the bias / lower the variance of the SVM. We can do so by either decreasing the parameter  $C$  or increasing  $\sigma^2$ .

2. The formula for the Gaussian kernel is given by  $\text{similarity}(x, l^{(j)}) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - l^{(j)}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ .

1/1 point

The figure below shows a plot of  $f_1 = \text{similarity}(x, l^{(1)})$  when  $\sigma^2 = 1$ .



Which of the following is a plot of  $f_1$  when  $\sigma^2 = 0.25$ ?

☐





Figure 4.

☒



☐




Figure 2.

☐




Figure 3.

✓ Correct


This figure shows a "narrower" Gaussian kernel centered at the same location which is the effect of decreasing  $\sigma^2$ .

3. The SVM solves

1/1 point

$$\min_{\theta} C \sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \text{cost}_2(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j^2$$

where the functions  $\text{cost}_1(z)$  and  $\text{cost}_2(z)$  look like this:



The first term in the objective is:

$$C \sum_{i=1}^m y^{(i)} \text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \text{cost}_2(\theta^T x^{(i)}).$$

This first term will be zero if two of the following four conditions hold true. Which are the two conditions that would guarantee that this term equals zero?

- ☒ For every example with  $y^{(i)} = 0$ , we have that  $\theta^T x^{(i)} \leq -1$ .

✓ Correct

For examples with  $y^{(i)} = 0$ , only the  $\text{cost}_2(\theta^T x^{(i)})$  term is present. As you can see in the graph, this will be zero for all inputs less than or equal to -1.

- ☐ For every example with  $y^{(i)} = 1$ , we have that  $\theta^T x^{(i)} \geq 0$ .

- ☒ For every example with  $y^{(i)} = 1$ , we have that  $\theta^T x^{(i)} \geq 1$ .

✓ Correct

For examples with  $y^{(i)} = 1$ , only the  $\text{cost}_1(\theta^T x^{(i)})$  term is present. As you can see in the graph, this will be zero for all inputs greater than or equal to 1.

- ☐ For every example with  $y^{(i)} = 0$ , we have that  $\theta^T x^{(i)} \leq 0$ .

4. Suppose you have a dataset with  $n = 10$  features and  $m = 5000$  examples.

1/1 point

After training your logistic regression classifier with gradient descent, you find that it has underfit the training set and does not achieve the desired performance on the training or cross validation sets.

Which of the following might be promising steps to take? Check all that apply.

- ☐ Reduce the number of examples in the training set.

- ☒ Create / add new polynomial features.

✓ Correct

When you add more features, you increase the variance of your model, reducing the chances of underfitting.

- ☐ Use a different optimization method since using gradient descent to train logistic regression might result in a local minimum.

- ☒ Try using a neural network with a large number of hidden units.

✓ Correct

A neural network with many hidden units is a more complex (higher variance) model than logistic regression, so it is less likely to underfit the data.

5. Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.

1/1 point

- ☐ If the data are linearly separable, an SVM using a linear kernel will return the same parameters  $\theta$  regardless of the chosen value of  $C$  (i.e., the resulting value of  $\theta$  does not depend on  $C$ ).

- ☐ If you are training multi-class SVMs with the one-vs-all method, it is not possible to use a kernel.

- ☒ Suppose you have 2D input examples (i.e.,  $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ). The decision boundary of the SVM with the linear kernel is a straight line.

✓ Correct

The SVM without any kernel (i.e., the linear kernel) predicts output based only on  $\theta^T x$ , so it gives a linear / straight-line decision boundary, just as logistic regression does.

- ☒ The maximum value of the Gaussian kernel (i.e.,  $\text{sim}(x, x^{(0)})$ ) is 1.

✓ Correct

When  $x = x^{(0)}$ , the Gaussian kernel has value  $\exp(0) = 1$ , and it is less than 1 otherwise.