

ICEG Public Organization & Public Service

Workshop #3

Date: 9th September 2021

Agenda

The objective of this third workshop was twofold; (i) introduce the updated version of the models by using life-like examples and (ii) discuss open points, highlight missing concepts and related use cases.

- Welcome and objectives
 - Process, input and timeline
 - Presentation of changes for PO & PS
 - Discussion topics for PO & PS
 - Next steps
-

Action Items

Action	Owner	Due Date
Publication of the updated specifications on the GitHub	PwC	20/09/2021
Review meeting report and share feedback, if any	Working Group	24/09/2021
Review the updated specification and share feedback using the <i>GitHub issue</i> feature	Working Group	02/10/2021
Share use cases, examples or models to be further looked at	Working Group	02/10/2021
Involve domain experts from the different (and relevant) public administrations for the next and final Workshop before the public review	Working Group	07/10/2021

Meeting Minutes

Core Public Service model

1. It is important to capture the profile of the target group and not the specific Agent doing some actions. For other use cases, it would be useful to have an Agent, e.g. to count the number of Agents per Profile. The Working Group has agreed to keep Profile and AgentProfile and request further clarification with the Participation class. The editors will create an example to illustrate the new classes and make a new proposition based on existing standards.
2. Ideally, we would need two sets of descriptions: one for end-users and one for the administrations. However the Working Group agreed that the end-user perspective has a higher priority.
3. A generic way of grouping services would be needed. A GitHub issue will be created to gather input regarding the needs of a classification and avoid creating chaotic classifications which are non-interoperable.
4. The Working Group has agreed to replace the alternativeName attribute by an optional unbounded cardinality on the name property.
5. The Working Group would like to keep the model simple and remove all subclasses of Channel. Instead, an attribute capturing the types of Channel can be added.
6. The Working Group agreed to include the concept of purpose in the model for capturing information about the purpose of a Channel.
7. The preferred channel will be a joint relationship between Channel and Purpose.
8. A purpose classification can be added to specify Channel. To do so, the editors will check the alignment with the SDG initiative.
9. The editors will add again the Contact Point class as it was removed in a previous update. The editors will also illustrate with an example to highlight the differences between Contact Point and Channel.
10. The Working Group has agreed to keep Business and Life Events.
11. The editors will add a section in the charter describing the type of dependencies to existing ontologies. The editors will also indicate the dependencies with prefixes in the diagram. Finally, the editors will describe the prefixes in the summary section.
12. Sometimes the Output is a LegalResource itself (and in such a case, the name of the relationship is confusing). Sometimes, the hasLegalResource relation is simply useful to indicate the LegalResource of an Output. The Working Group agreed that from a user perspective, only the second use case is needed and therefore, the relationship is useful.
13. The editors will foresee another relationship between the two classes in the case that the Output is also a Legal Resource. The Working Group has agreed to keep the hasLegalResource relationship.

14. The editors will keep the LifeCycle status attribute as it is and will create a GitHub thread to collect additional information from the Working Group. The Working Group is invited to add use cases for this attribute.
15. The Working Group is invited to add more comments on the GitHub thread about naming conventions.

Public Organization model

1. The ATU should be used to connect a public organization to a government institution or level.
2. The editors will add a classification of the ATU based on an EU framework (see the following link as an [example](#)). The editors will also create a GitHub thread should there be any comment or suggestion from the working group.
3. A Channel class will not be added. The Working Group has agreed to keep ContactPoint and to keep the model as simple as possible.
4. The attribute Type should be changed to LegalForm and its definition should be inspired from [KBO](#) or [NISO](#). The editors can also take inspiration from the following [definitions](#) from KBO.
5. The Working Group has agreed to have preferredLabel and alternativeLabel moved to the Organization level.
6. All classifications should ideally be published on vocab.belgif.be.
7. Company Number will be created as property (optional) under the Organization class. Miguel Discart (KBO) will provide input on the terms that would be relevant within the model. The attributes that will be added to the public organization class, as optional, are:
 - Company number
 - Status
 - Approvals, authorisations or licences of interest to third parties or subject to publication
 - Ex officio deregistration due to failure to file annual accounts
 - Links to databases for information
 - Start date of this data