

# Supplementary Material for Causal Inference via Nonlinear Variable Decorrelation for Healthcare Applications

No Author Given

No Institute Given

## 1 Algorithm

We combine algorithm 1 with object function (2) (in our main paper), to select the robust rules and prune the redundant items. In the *RulesSelection* function, we delete one rule each time with lowest  $\|w\|_2^2$  and save the rule sets with the highest accuracy. In the *ItemReduce* function, we apply cross-validation to train SVM model and save the item sets with best accuracy.

---

**Algorithm 1** Rules Selection and Item Reduction
 

---

**Input:**  $Rules\{X_i\}$  are the association rules obtained by Apriori algorithm with training datasets.  $data$  is EHR datasets.

**Output:**  $Bestrules$

```

1: function RULESELECTION( $Rules, data$ )
2:    $Bestrules \leftarrow Rules$ 
3:    $Objfunction$  is objective function
4:    $Select \leftarrow Bestrules$ 
5:    $Bestaccuracy \leftarrow Select$ 
6:    $Lastrules \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
7:   while  $Select \neq Lastrules$  do
8:      $Lastrules \leftarrow Select$ 
9:      $w \leftarrow \text{argmin } Objectfunction(Select, data)$ 
10:     $Selected \leftarrow \text{argmin } w_i^2$ 
11:     $Temprules \leftarrow \{Bestrules\}/\{Selected\}$ 
12:     $Tempaccuracy \leftarrow Temprules$ 
13:    if  $Tempaccuracy > Bestaccuracy$  then
14:       $Bestaccuracy \leftarrow Tempaccuracy$ 
15:       $Select \leftarrow Temprules$ 
16:    end if
17:  end while
18:  return  $Bestrules$ 
19: end function
20: function ITEMREDUCE( $Bestrules, data$ )
21:    $Bestauc \leftarrow SVM(Bestrules, data)$ 
22:    $Lastrules \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
23:   while  $Bestrules \neq Lastrules$  do
24:      $Item \leftarrow \text{argmax } SVM(\{Bestrules\}/\{Item\})$ 
25:      $Accuracy \leftarrow SVM(\{Bestrules\}/\{Item\})$ 
26:     if  $Accuracy \geq Bestauc$  then
27:        $Bestauc \leftarrow Accuracy$ 
28:        $Bestrules \leftarrow \{Bestrules\}/\{Item\}$ 
29:     end if
30:   end while
31:   return  $Bestrules$ 
32: end function

```

---

Table 1: Introduction of individual features on different datasets.

Features	Explanation
<b>Heart Disease</b>	
<b>age middle</b>	Patients between the ages of 40 and 60
<b>#major vessels0</b>	The number of major vessels (0-3) colored by flourosopy is 0
<b>fixed defect</b>	Thalium stress test result is fixed defect
<b>pressure normal</b>	Blood pressure within the normal range
<b>ST-T wave abnormality</b>	Resting electrocardiography result is ST-T wave abnormality
<b>cholesterol edge</b>	Serum cholesterol is in range (200, 220] mg/dl
<b>lower than 120mg/ml</b>	Fasting blood sugar is lower than 120mg/ml
<b>non-anginal pain</b>	Chest pain type is non-angina
<b>cholesterol high</b>	Serum cholesterol is higher than 220 mg/dl
<b>no exercise induced angina</b>	not Exercise induced angina
<b>downsloping</b>	Slope of peak exercise ST segment is downsloping
<b>heart disease</b>	It refers to the presence of heart disease in the patient
<b>Esophageal Cancer</b>	
<b>Modified Ryan Score 2.0</b>	(near complete response): single cells or rare small groups of cancer cells
<b>Esophagectomy Procedure 4</b>	Complete MIS/Robotic McKeown (Three-Hole) esophagectomy
<b>tobacco use</b>	Use tobacco
<b>Alcohol Use</b>	Use Alcohol
<b>Neoadjuvant Radiation</b>	Patient underwent neoadjuvant radiation
<b>Histological Grade 2</b>	How differentiated the tumor is: Moderately Differentiated
<b>Final Histology 1</b>	History: Adenocarcinoma
<b>Histological Grade 3</b>	How differentiated the tumor is: Poorly Differentiated
<b>clinical m Stage 1</b>	Details any spread (metastasis) to other sites of the body: M0
<b>esoph tumor location 4</b>	Lower Thoracic, including GE junction
<b>Esophagectomy Procedure 5</b>	Hybrid (Laparoscopy + Thoracotomy) McKeown (Three-Hole) esophagectomy
<b>recurrence</b>	Details whether the patient experience recurrence of their cancer
<b>Cauda Equina Syndrome</b>	
<b>elixsum</b>	Elixhauser comorbidity sum for that patient is high
<b>beds</b>	Number of beds in the hospital is small
<b>procedure 03 09</b>	ICD-9-CM Procedure Codes: 03.09
<b>Emergency</b>	The patient requires immediate medical intervention as a result of severe
<b>diagnosis 344 60</b>	ICD9 indicators
<b>complication 240days</b>	Indicators for complication within 240 days of discharge
<b>life threatening</b>	The patient's condition is very dangerous
<b>if aa</b>	The racial of the patient is African American
<b>die360</b>	Patient died within 360 days

12 **2 Proof**

**Lemma 1.** *If the number of features in the datasets and the terms in the Taylor expansion are fixed, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  there exists  $W \succeq 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{F}_{p_2 \rightarrow p_1, i > 0}^{(i)}\|_2^2$$

*Proof.* Based on our regularizer, we know that

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & \sum_i w_i x_{ip_2} & \cdots & \sum_i w_i^k x_{ip_2}^k \\ \sum_i w_i x_{ip_2} & \sum_i w_i^2 x_{ip_2}^2 & \cdots & \sum_i w_i^{k+1} x_{ip_2}^{k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \sum_i \\ \sum_i w_i^k x_{ip_2}^k & \sum_i w_i^{k+1} x_{ip_2}^{k+1} & \cdots & \sum_i w_i^{2k} x_{ip_2}^{2k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) \\ f'_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) \\ \vdots \\ f_{p_1 p_2}^{(p)}(x_{p_2}(0)) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i y_i \\ \sum_i w_i x_{ip_2} y_i \\ \vdots \\ \sum_i w_i^k x_{ip_2}^k y_i \end{pmatrix}$$

We assume that the covariance is 0:

$$\text{cov}(\hat{x}_{ip_2}, y_i) = \text{cov}(\hat{x}_{ip_2}^2, y_i) = \text{cov}(\hat{x}_{ip_2}^3, y_i) = \cdots = \text{cov}(\hat{x}_{ip_2}^k, y_i) = 0$$

Combine with the following equation, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} n \rightarrow \infty : \frac{1}{n} \sum_n \hat{x}_{ip_2} y_i - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_n \hat{x}_{ip_2} \sum_n y_i &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_n \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 y_i - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_n \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 \sum_n y_i \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_n \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k y_i - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_n \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \sum_n y_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n & \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2} & \cdots & \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \\ \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2} & \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 & \cdots & \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k & \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+1} & \cdots & \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{2k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) \\ f'_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) \\ \vdots \\ f_{p_1 p_2}^{(p)}(x_{p_2}(0)) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i y_i \hat{x}_{ip_2} \sum_i y_i \\ \vdots \\ \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \sum_i y_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2} & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^3}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 & \cdots & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+1}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \\ \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^3}{2} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^4}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 & \cdots & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+2}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+1}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+2}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k & \cdots & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{2k}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) \\ f''_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) \\ \vdots \\ f_{p_1 p_2}^{(p)}(x_{p_2}(0)) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2} & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^3}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 & \cdots & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+1}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \\ \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^3}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^4}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2 & \cdots & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+2}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+1}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{k+2}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k & \cdots & \frac{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^{2k}}{\sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k} - \sum_i \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$$

$\hat{x}_{ip_2}^2$  is influenced by the  $w_i$  which can be adjusted, and the determinant of matrix is not equal to 0, hence the equation has only the trivial solution. We can get

$$f'_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) = f''_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) = \cdots = f^{(p)}_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) = 0$$

If we can prove under our regularizer, we can prove our method can work:

13

$$n \rightarrow \infty : (\hat{x}_{ip_2}, y_i) = \text{cov}(\hat{x}_{ip_2}^2, y_i) = \text{cov}(\hat{x}_{ip_2}^3, y_i) = \cdots = \text{cov}(\hat{x}_{ip_2}^k, y_i) = 0$$

We set  $(\hat{x}_{ip_2}, \hat{x}_{ip_2}^2, \dots, \hat{x}_{ip_2}^k)$  is kernel density estimators:  $g(x_{ip_2})$ . We set the weight  $w_i$  is:

14

15

$$w_i = \frac{\prod_{iq} g(x_{ij}^q)}{\hat{G}(g(x_{i1}), g(x_{i2}), \dots, g(x_{ip}))}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n \rightarrow \infty : E[\hat{x}_{p_1}^q] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_{ip_1}^q \frac{\prod_{iq} g(x_{ij}^q)}{\hat{G}(g(x_{i1}), g(x_{i2}), \dots, g(x_{ip}))} \\ &= \int \dots \int x_{ij}^q \prod_l g(x_{il}^q) dx_{i1} dx_{i1}^1 \dots dx_{ip}^q + o(1) = \int x_{il}^{q_1} g(x_{il}^{q_1}) dx_{il}^{q_1} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n \rightarrow \infty : E[\hat{x}_{p_1}^q, \hat{x}_{p_2}] &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_i x_{ip_1}^q x_{ip_2} \left( \frac{\prod_{iq} g(x_{ij}^q)}{\hat{G}(g(x_{i1}), g(x_{i2}), \dots, g(x_{ip}))} \right)^2 \\ &= \iint x_{il}^{q_1} x_{im} g(x_{il}^{q_1}) g(x_{im}) dx_{il}^{q_1} dx_{im} + o(1) \\ &= \int x_{il}^{q_1} g(x_{il}^{q_1}) dx_{il}^{q_1} \int x_{im} g(x_{im}) dx_{im} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

$$n \rightarrow \infty : \text{cov}(\hat{x}_{ip_1}^q, \hat{x}_{p_2}) = E[\hat{x}_{p_1}^q] E[\hat{x}_{p_2}] - E[\hat{x}_{p_1}^q, \hat{x}_{p_2}] = 0$$

We can get:

$$f'_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) = f''_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) = \cdots = f^{(p)}_{p_1 p_2}(x_{p_2}(0)) = 0$$