## fire-color: preview Data

identifying post-fire color changes in lakes of the western U.S.

## Bella Oleksy et al.


## Last compiled on 08 November, 2021

## Contents


L	MT	BS	2
	1.1	Histograms of % area burned by Ws and Cat scales	2
	1.2	Water color proof of concept	7
	1.3	Write .csv file with comids for all lakes with fires	8

#### 1 MTBS

# 1.1 Histograms of % area burned by Ws and Cat scales



#### MTBS % area burned - Cat scale (local)




In every year there are always a number of lakes that burn severly (>90% of the total area of every local catchment. If you look at the full watershed (Ws) scale there are a lot more lakes on the low end (<20%).

Table 1: Table summarizing the number of lakes with any percentage burn (>1%) at the local catchment (Cat) and full watershed (Ws) scale, by year

year	n_lakes_Cat	n_lakes_Ws
1984	24	51
1985	41	87
1986	21	42
1987	39	72
1988	242	291
1989	41	70
1990	14	31
1991	42	57
1992	33	65
1993	7	32
1994	108	168
1995	25	53
1996	98	168
1997	25	42
1998	26	56
1999	56	99
2000	98	154
2001	74	144
2002	80	137
2003	162	248
2004	39	74
2005	80	111
2006	111	200
2007	159	247
2008	76	135
2009	34	53
2010	31	52
2011	69	104
2012	207	301
2013	83	135
2014	97	139
2015	180	244
2016	59	109
2017	232	350
2018	136	225

Looks promising, we have hundreds of lakes lakes that were close to forests fires in every year and the numbers fluctuate quite a bit year-to-year.

#### Number of lakes in burned watersheds/local catchments



Looks like there is a step-change increase in the number of lakes in burned watersheds in the early 2000s, though no breakpoint detected using segmented regerssion.

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = n_lakes_Cat ~ year, data = MTBS_summary2)
```

```
##
## Residuals:
## Min
          1Q Median 3Q
## -75.14 -38.53 -11.91 17.60 196.33
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -5418.2672 1918.2474 -2.825 0.00797 **
                            0.9586 2.867 0.00716 **
## year
                  2.7485
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
\mbox{\tt \#\#} Residual standard error: 57.28 on 33 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.1994, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1752
## F-statistic: 8.22 on 1 and 33 DF, p-value: 0.007164
```


#### 1.2 Water color proof of concept


How many lakes do we have where the local catchment burned >90%? We think that is where we are most likely to see a color response if there is one.

Table 2: Table summarizing the number of lakes with any percentage burn (>90%) at the local catchment (Cat) and full watershed (Ws) scale, by year

year	$n_{-}$	_lakes_	_Cat
1985			4
1986			6
1987			10
1988			126
1989			10
1990			2
1991			16
1992			2
1993			1
1994			48
1995			5
1996			33
1997			2
1998			3
1999			18
2000			19
2001			37
2002			34
2003			58
2004			8
2005			20
2006			25
2007			71
2008			17
2009			5
2010			6
2011			28
2012			82
2013			16
2014			32
2015			73
2016			6
2017			99
2018			42

We have a total of 964 lakes to check out.





1.3 Write .csv file with comids for all lakes with fires