


# *Storing Data: Disks and Files*


## Chapter 9

“Yea, from the table of my memory  
I’ll wipe away all trivial fond records.”  
-- Shakespeare, *Hamlet*




# *Disks and Files*

- ❖ DBMS stores information on (“hard”) disks.
- ❖ This has major implications for DBMS design!
  - **READ:** transfer data from disk to main memory (RAM).
  - **WRITE:** transfer data from RAM to disk.
  - Both are high-cost operations, relative to in-memory operations, so must be planned carefully!



# *Why Not Store Everything in Main Memory?*

- ❖ *Costs too much.* \$1000 will buy you either 128MB of RAM or 7.5GB of disk today.
- ❖ *Main memory is volatile.* We want data to be saved between runs. (Obviously!)
- ❖ Typical storage hierarchy:
  - Main memory (RAM) for currently used data.
  - Disk for the main database (secondary storage).
  - Tapes for archiving older versions of the data (tertiary storage).





# *Disks*

- ❖ Secondary storage device of choice.
- ❖ Main advantage over tapes: random access vs. *sequential*.
- ❖ Data is stored and retrieved in units called *disk blocks* or *pages*.
- ❖ Unlike RAM, time to retrieve a disk page varies depending upon location on disk.
  - Therefore, relative placement of pages on disk has major impact on DBMS performance!

# Components of a Disk


- ❖ The platters spin (say, 90rps).
- ❖ The arm assembly is moved in or out to position a head on a desired track. Tracks under heads make a *cylinder* (imaginary!).
- ❖ Only one head reads/writes at any one time.
- ❖ *Block size* is a multiple of *sector size* (which is fixed).





# Accessing a Disk Page


- ❖ Time to access (read/write) a disk block:
  - *seek time* (moving arms to position disk head on track)
  - *rotational delay* (waiting for block to rotate under head)
  - *transfer time* (actually moving data to/from disk surface)
- ❖ Seek time and rotational delay dominate.
  - Seek time varies from about 1 to 20msec
  - Rotational delay varies from 0 to 10msec
  - Transfer rate is about 1msec per 4KB page
- ❖ Key to lower I/O cost: **reduce seek/rotation delays!** Hardware vs. software solutions?



# Arranging Pages on Disk


- ❖ *'Next'* block concept:
  - blocks on same track, followed by
  - blocks on same cylinder, followed by
  - blocks on adjacent cylinder
- ❖ Blocks in a file should be arranged sequentially on disk (by *'next'*), to minimize seek and rotational delay.
- ❖ For a *sequential scan*, *pre-fetching* several pages at a time is a big win!

# RAID




- ❖ Disk Array: Arrangement of several disks that gives abstraction of a single, large disk.
- ❖ Goals: Increase performance and reliability.
- ❖ Two main techniques:
  - Data striping: Data is partitioned; size of a partition is called the striping unit. Partitions are distributed over several disks.
  - Redundancy: More disks => more failures. Redundant information allows reconstruction of data if a disk fails.






# *RAID Levels*

- ❖ Level 0: No redundancy
- ❖ Level 1: Mirrored (two identical copies)
  - Each disk has a mirror image (check disk)
  - Parallel reads, a write involves two disks.
  - Maximum transfer rate = transfer rate of one disk
- ❖ Level 0+1: Striping and Mirroring
  - Parallel reads, a write involves two disks.
  - Maximum transfer rate = aggregate bandwidth



# *RAID Levels (Contd.)*



- ❖ **Level 3: Bit-Interleaved Parity**
  - Striping Unit: One bit. One check disk.
  - Each read and write request involves all disks; disk array can process one request at a time.
- ❖ **Level 4: Block-Interleaved Parity**
  - Striping Unit: One disk block. One check disk.
  - Parallel reads possible for small requests, large requests can utilize full bandwidth
  - Writes involve modified block and check disk
- ❖ **Level 5: Block-Interleaved Distributed Parity**
  - Similar to RAID Level 4, but parity blocks are distributed over all disks




# *Disk Space Management*

- ❖ Lowest layer of DBMS software manages space on disk.
- ❖ Higher levels call upon this layer to:
  - allocate/de-allocate a page
  - read/write a page
- ❖ Request for a *sequence* of pages must be satisfied by allocating the pages sequentially on disk! Higher levels don't need to know how this is done, or how free space is managed.

# Buffer Management in a DBMS




- ❖ *Data must be in RAM for DBMS to operate on it!*
- ❖ *Table of  $\langle \text{frame\#}, \text{pageid} \rangle$  pairs is maintained.*




# *When a Page is Requested ...*

- ❖ If requested page is not in pool:
  - Choose a frame for *replacement*
  - If frame is dirty, write it to disk
  - Read requested page into chosen frame
- ❖ *Pin* the page and return its address.
- \* *If requests can be predicted (e.g., sequential scans) pages can be pre-fetched several pages at a time!*




# More on Buffer Management

- ❖ Requestor of page must unpin it, and indicate whether page has been modified:
  - *dirty* bit is used for this.
- ❖ Page in pool may be requested many times,
  - a *pin count* is used. A page is a candidate for replacement iff *pin count* = 0.
- ❖ CC & recovery may entail additional I/O when a frame is chosen for replacement.  
(*Write-Ahead Log* protocol; more later.)



# Buffer Replacement Policy

- ❖ Frame is chosen for replacement by a *replacement policy*:
  - Least-recently-used (LRU), Clock, MRU etc.
- ❖ Policy can have big impact on # of I/O's; depends on the *access pattern*.
- ❖ Sequential flooding: Nasty situation caused by LRU + repeated sequential scans.
  - # buffer frames < # pages in file means each page request causes an I/O. MRU much better in this situation (but not in all situations, of course).





# DBMS *vs.* OS File System

OS does disk space & buffer mgmt: why not let OS manage these tasks?

- ❖ Differences in OS support: portability issues
- ❖ Some limitations, e.g., files can't span disks.
- ❖ Buffer management in DBMS requires ability to:
  - **pin a page** in buffer pool, **force a page** to disk (important for implementing CC & recovery),
  - adjust *replacement policy*, and **pre-fetch pages** based on access patterns in typical DB operations.




# Record Formats: Fixed Length




- ❖ Information about field types same for all records in a file; stored in *system catalogs*.
- ❖ Finding  $i$ 'th field requires scan of record.

# Record Formats: Variable Length





❖ Two alternative formats (# fields is fixed):





\* Second offers direct access to  $i$ 'th field, efficient storage of nulls (special *don't know* value); small directory overhead.

# Page Formats: Fixed Length Records




- \* Record id = <page id, slot #>. In first alternative, moving records for free space management changes rid; may not be acceptable.

# Page Formats: Variable Length Records




- \* *Can move records on page without changing rid; so, attractive for fixed-length records too.*



# *Files of Records*



- ❖ Page or block is OK when doing I/O, but higher levels of DBMS operate on *records*, and *files of records*.
- ❖ FILE: A collection of pages, each containing a collection of records. Must support:
  - insert/delete/modify record
  - read a particular record (specified using *record id*)
  - scan all records (possibly with some conditions on the records to be retrieved)



# *Unordered (Heap) Files*



- ❖ Simplest file structure contains records in no particular order.
- ❖ As file grows and shrinks, disk pages are allocated and de-allocated.
- ❖ To support record level operations, we must:
  - keep track of the *pages* in a file
  - keep track of *free space* on pages
  - keep track of the *records* on a page
- ❖ There are many alternatives for keeping track of this.

# Heap File Implemented as a List




- ❖ The header page id and Heap file name must be stored someplace.
- ❖ Each page contains 2 'pointers' plus data.

# Heap File Using a Page Directory




- ❖ The entry for a page can include the number of free bytes on the page.
- ❖ The directory is a collection of pages; linked list implementation is just one alternative.
  - *Much smaller than linked list of all HF pages!*






# System Catalogs

- ❖ For each index:
  - structure (e.g., B+ tree) and search key fields
- ❖ For each relation:
  - name, file name, file structure (e.g., Heap file)
  - attribute name and type, for each attribute
  - index name, for each index
  - integrity constraints
- ❖ For each view:
  - view name and definition
- ❖ Plus statistics, authorization, buffer pool size, etc.
  - \* *Catalogs are themselves stored as relations!*




*Attr\_Cat(attr\_name, rel\_name, type, position)*

attr_name	rel_name	type	position
attr_name	Attribute_Cat	string	1
rel_name	Attribute_Cat	string	2
type	Attribute_Cat	string	3
position	Attribute_Cat	integer	4
sid	Students	string	1
name	Students	string	2
login	Students	string	3
age	Students	integer	4
gpa	Students	real	5
fid	Faculty	string	1
fname	Faculty	string	2
sal	Faculty	real	3




# Summary

- ❖ Disks provide cheap, non-volatile storage.
  - Random access, but cost depends on location of page on disk; important to arrange data sequentially to minimize *seek* and *rotation* delays.
- ❖ Buffer manager brings pages into RAM.
  - Page stays in RAM until released by requestor.
  - Written to disk when frame chosen for replacement (which is sometime after requestor releases the page).
  - Choice of frame to replace based on *replacement policy*.
  - Tries to *pre-fetch* several pages at a time.



# *Summary (Contd.)*

- ❖ DBMS vs. OS File Support
  - DBMS needs features not found in many OS's, e.g., forcing a page to disk, controlling the order of page writes to disk, files spanning disks, ability to control pre-fetching and page replacement policy based on predictable access patterns, etc.
- ❖ Variable length record format with field offset directory offers support for direct access to i'th field and null values.
- ❖ Slotted page format supports variable length records and allows records to move on page.



## *Summary (Contd.)*

- ❖ File layer keeps track of pages in a file, and supports abstraction of a collection of records.
  - Pages with free space identified using linked list or directory structure (similar to how pages in file are kept track of).
- ❖ Indexes support efficient retrieval of records based on the values in some fields.
- ❖ Catalog relations store information about relations, indexes and views. (*Information that is common to all records in a given collection.*)