

Recap of Linear Regression / Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

Problem: Given input/predictor variables $(x_i)_{i=1}^N$ and output/response variables $(y_i)_{i=1}^N$ (training set)
can we construct a linear model that

- ▷ explains the relationship between $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$, and
- ▷ predict the output \tilde{y}_i of new input variables \tilde{x}_i ? (test set: $(\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i)_{i=1}^{\tilde{N}}$)

Notes: $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^K$ (multiple predictor variables per data point)

OLS: Cost function $C = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \sum_{k=1}^K x_{ik} \beta_k)^2 = \|y - X\beta\|_2^2$

$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} -x_1- \\ \vdots \\ -x_N- \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K}$, we call $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_N \end{pmatrix}$ coefficient vector

We saw: $\hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^K}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$

How can we make this more flexible?

Preprocessing of input: Input $x' \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto x := \Phi(x') \in \mathbb{R}^K$, $K > d$

Ex: $d=10$ predictor variables in diabetes $\longrightarrow K=55$ predictor variables

Important notions: R^2 score: $R^2 = 1 - \frac{\|y - \hat{y}\|_2^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$, Mean Squared Error: $MSE = \frac{1}{N} \|y - X\hat{\beta}\|_2^2$

Ridge Regression

Fix "regularization parameter" $\alpha > 0$.

$$\text{Set } \hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \underbrace{\|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\beta\|_2^2}_{=: J(\beta)} \right\} =$$

Compute derivative of $J(\beta)$:

$$\nabla J(\hat{\beta}) = 2X^T(X\hat{\beta} - y) + 2\alpha\hat{\beta} \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (2X^T X + 2\alpha \cdot I)\hat{\beta} = 2X^T y$$

\Leftrightarrow

$$\hat{\beta} = \boxed{(X^T X + \alpha I)^{-1} X^T y} \quad (\text{closed form solution})$$

▷ If $\alpha = 0$: Linear Regression

▷ If α large: Coefficients of $\hat{\beta}$ "shrunk to 0" ("less complex model", avoid overfitting)

Sparse Regression

Fix again $\alpha > 0$.

- Useful if interpretability is desired: Which of the K predictor variables "explain" response variables best?

Lasso: $\hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^K}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \alpha \underbrace{\|\beta\|_1}_{\substack{\text{"} \sum_{i=1}^K |\beta_i| \text{"}}} \right\} \quad (*)$ "least absolute shrinkage and selection operator"

 ~~(*)~~ has no closed form solution.

However, can be solved by convex optimization.

- ▷ Behavior for varying α is similar to ridge regression
- ▷ Unlike ridge regression, lasso performs variable selection if α is properly chosen.