

Main Text Figures




Figure 1: Age-specific antibody response curves illustrating a shift in transmission intensity of *Wuchereria bancrofti* due to mass drug administration (MDA) on Mauke Island. Antibody response to the Wb123 antigen for *W. bancrofti* measured in blood specimens with a luciferase immunoprecipitation system assay from residents in 1975 (N=362) before MDA and again in 1992 (N=553), five years following a single, island-wide MDA with diethylcarbamazine. **A.** The figure includes mean antibody levels $E(Y_{x,a})$ by survey year (x) and age (a) for ages 0-70 years; individual antibody responses (points) are shown along with summary curves fit with an ensemble machine learning algorithm (Online Methods). **B.** Age-adjusted geometric mean antibody response $E(Y_x)$ and 95% confidence intervals before (1975) and five years after (1992) MDA, stratified by 5 year age category (all differences significant at $P \leq 0.01$ after Bonferroni correction).




Figure 2: Age-specific antibody response curves measure changes in malaria transmission due to intervention in the Garki Project, Nigeria (1970-1976). Antibody response measured with the immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT) for *Plasmodium falciparum*. **A.** pre-intervention period (survey rounds 1-2); **B.** active intervention period (survey rounds 3-5, at 20, 50, and 70 weeks following the start of intervention); **C.** post-intervention period (survey rounds 6-8 at. xxx, xxx, and xxx weeks following the end of the intervention). Age-specific antibody curves, $E(Y_{x,a})$ by group (x) and age (a), were estimated nonparametrically from quantitative antibody responses in individuals ($N=6,024$) using an ensemble machine learning algorithm (Online Methods). Control measurements were combined across survey rounds within each period when plotting the curves to facilitate visual comparison of shifts in transmission intensity between surveys. Age-adjusted geometric means by group, $E(Y_x)$, provide summary differences between curves at each survey round. Error bars show 95% confidence intervals for the age-adjusted geometric means and asterisks indicate $P \leq 0.01$ (Bonferroni corrected) for differences between control and intervention groups. Control villages were not measured in survey 6.




Figure 3: caption on the next page

Figure 3: Differences in enteric pathogen transmission between children in Leogane, Haiti (N=511) and the United States (USA) (N=86) measured by age-specific antibody response curves. Antibody response measured as median fluorescence intensity (MFI) minus background in multiplex bead assays on the Luminex platform. In each panel, individual antibody responses (points) are shown along with age-specific summary curves, $E(Y_{x,a})$, by country (x) and age (a), fit with an ensemble machine learning algorithm (Online Methods). Each panel also includes the geometric mean by country, $E(Y_x)$, with error bars indicating 95% confidence intervals (all differences significant at $P \leq 0.01$ after Bonferroni correction). **A.** *Cryptosporidium parvum* recombinant 17-kDa antigen; **B.** *Cryptosporidium parvum* recombinant 27-kDa antigen; **C.** *Giardia intestinalis* variant-specific surface protein-5 (VSP-5); **D.** *Entamoeba histolytica* lectin adhesion molecule (LecA); **E.** enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) heat labile toxin β subunit; **F.** *Salmonella* sp. lipopolysaccharide (LPS) Group D; **G.** Norovirus Group I (xx additional details needed); **H.** Norovirus Group II (xx additional details needed).

Supporting Information Figures




Figure S1: Age-adjusted geometric mean antibody response $E(Y_x)$ and 95% confidence intervals to the Wb123 antigen for *Wuchereria bancrofti* in 1975 and in 1992. The 1992 survey was five years after a single, island-wide mass drug administration (MDA) with diethylcarbamazine. The means are stratified by 2 year age band. The number of observations per stratum (N obs) is listed and P -values are Bonferroni-corrected tests of differences between means in each stratum.

Figure S2: Placeholder for Ca Ira Haiti results




Figure S3: Village-level, age-adjusted geometric mean *Plasmodium falciparum* immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT) titres, $E(Y_x)$, versus wet season entomological inoculation rate (EIR) in the three study villages with both entomological and serological measurements in the Garki project, Nigeria. Ajura was a control village (no treatment) while Rafine Marke and Nasakar received a combination of insecticide spraying and mass drug administration of surfanenepyrimethamine in 1972-1973. The intervention villages also received targeted distribution of chloroquine to children <10 and self-reporting fever cases in the 1974-75 post-intervention period. The circled and labeled points are from the 1971 wet season (pre-intervention) and other points represent wet seasons for 1972-73 (Ajura) or 1972-75 (Rafine Marke and Nasakar). The EIR estimates were previously published in Table 4 of Molineaux et al. 1980. The EIR represents the number of sporozoite positive bites per person over each wet season, and was estimated by multiplying the man-biting rate by the sporozoite positive rate in night-bite collections. Night-bite collections were conducted every 2 weeks using 2 indoor and 2 outdoor stations per village, with 2 human bait collectors in each station throughout the night. A single data point outside the figure range is not shown (Nasakar 1972, EIR value = 0, $E(Y_x) = 10^{3.0591}$), but was included in the Spearman rank correlation estimate.




Figure S4: Caption on the following page.

Figure S4: Village-level, age-adjusted geometric mean *Plasmodium falciparum* immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT) titres, $E(Y_x)$, by survey round in the Garki project, Nigeria. Intervention village means are presented by survey round. In each data series, the combined mean of two control villages with serological monitoring, Ajura and Nabanawa, are repeated as dark grey points. Error bars show 95% confidence intervals. Surveys 1-2 took place before intervention, 3-5 during the active intervention phase, and 6-8 after the intervention. Control villages were not measured in survey round 6.