

Notes

Benjamin Goldman

August 15, 2021

Contents

1	An et al. (2017)	2
2	Bjerknes (1969)	3
3	TODO Boer et al. (2000)	3
4	Cai et al. (2018)	3
5	TODO Chen et al. (2015)	3
6	Chen et al. (2017)	3
7	TODO Deser et al. (2020)	3
8	TODO Dewitte et al. (2012)	3
9	Emile-Geay et al. (2007)	3
10	Team et al. (2019)	3
11	Graham et al. (2014)	3
12	Hu and Fedorov (2018)	4
13	Jia et al. (2019)	4
14	TODO Jiménez-Muñoz et al. (2016)	4
15	TODO Kay et al. (2015)	4
16	Kestin et al. (1998)	4
17	TODO Kim et al. (2014)	4
18	TODO Kohyama et al. (2018)	4
19	Levine et al. (2017)	4
20	Lorenz (1963)	4

21	TODO Liu and Alexander (2007)	5
22	Lübbecke and McPhaden (2014)	5
23	Maher et al. (2018)	5
24	Nowack et al. (2017)	5
25	TODO Pachauri et al. (2014)	5
26	Phillips et al. (2014)	5
27	TODO Rashid et al. (2016)	5
28	TODO Ropelewski and Halpert (1987)	5
29	TODO Son et al. (2010)	5
30	TODO Stevenson et al. (2010)	5
31	TODO Stevenson et al. (2012)	5
32	Stevenson (2012)	5
33	Stevenson et al. (2017)	6
34	Torrence and Compo (1998)	6
35	TODO Vecchi et al. (2006)	7
36	Vega-Westhoff and Sriver (2017)	7
37	TODO Wang et al. (2016)	7
38	TODO Yeo et al. (2016)	7
39	TODO Zhang et al. (2019)	7
40	TODO Zheng et al. (2016)	7
41	Zheng et al. (2017)	7

1 An et al. (2017)

- Used SVD (Singular Value Decomposition) together with the Mixed Layer Heat Budget Analysis to look at which feedbacks contributed most to ENSO's variation between models
- Influence of thermocline feedback is determined by how strongly equatorial horizontal winds affect the slope of the thermocline.

2 Bjerknes (1969)

- First big paper on ENSO having a big impact
- connected changes in ocean currents to Walker Circulation
- ENSO phase affects behavior of the Indian Ocean monsoon.

3 TODO Boer et al. (2000)

4 Cai et al. (2018)

- Increased ENSO variance in most CMIP5 models in EP ENSO center.
- Likely caused by greenhouse gases
- Higher ocean stratification allows for stronger communication between atmospheric and oceanic temperatures.
- Used EOF analysis.

5 TODO Chen et al. (2015)

6 Chen et al. (2017)

- Models are disagreeing on ENSO in the future because they have different representations of the mechanics and mean state of the Pacific subtropical cell

7 TODO Deser et al. (2020)

- Main documentation for CESM1 Single Forcing Ensemble

8 TODO Dewitte et al. (2012)

9 Emile-Geay et al. (2007)

- Analyzed wavelet power spectrum of ENSO variability in models forced by sunspot and orbital changes
- Orbital changes increase long-term ENSO variability
- It is possible that ENSO was the mechanic that allowed prehistoric solar/orbital changes to control the earth's climate

10 Team et al. (2019)

- citation for GISTEMP dataset

11 Graham et al. (2014)

- tested how accurate the Bjerknes Stability Index is at measuring the mechanics of ENSO in a couple models

- BJ index overestimates the importance of the Thermocline feedback.
- BJ index assumes that terms should be linear when combined, but they actually aren't.

12 Hu and Fedorov (2018)

- Observed and model data used
- Strengthening in cross-equatorial winds changes ITCZ position, weakening ENSO.

13 Jia et al. (2019)

- My first paper!
- Atlantic Niño/Niña is correlated/causes opposite ENSO form
- Global warming is weakening this connection, impairing prediction efforts
- CESM5 coupled models used
- Correlation coefficient

14 TODO Jiménez-Muñoz et al. (2016)

15 TODO Kay et al. (2015)

- CESM1 LE citation

16 Kestin et al. (1998)

- apply wavelet and Fourier analysis to ENSO in observed data
- 2-3 year ENSO reduced from 1920-1960
- Stochastic model used to simulate ENSO agrees with analysis of observed data
- Concluded that models are reasonably accurate for simulating decadal ENSO variability

17 TODO Kim et al. (2014)

18 TODO Kohyama et al. (2018)

19 Levine et al. (2017)

- Observed ICOADS data
- Inverse relationship between AMO index and ENSO SPB strength
- Coupled model simulation

20 Lorenz (1963)

- Nonlinear differential equations have solutions that must be solved numerically
- When graphed in phase space, these solutions form attractors that never repeat themselves
- Many natural phenomena, including weather, are chaotic
- Accurate long-term weather prediction is likely impossible

21 TODO Liu and Alexander (2007)

22 Lübbecke and McPhaden (2014)

- Change in ENSO characteristics after 2000
- Lower thermocline slope reduces TH feedback, making it harder for strong ENSO to form

23 Maher et al. (2018)

- Use two different large ensembles
- ENSO has lots of internal variability in models
- 30-40 members of an ensemble are needed to detect significant changes to ENSO in the ensemble mean
- Increase in ENSO amplitude in worst case (RCP8.5) scenario

24 Nowack et al. (2017)

- Model simulations that are forced with differing levels of greenhouse and aerosol emissions
- Concluded that aerosol forcing decreases greenhouse strengthening of ENSO

25 TODO Pachauri et al. (2014)

- IPCC report 2014

26 Phillips et al. (2014)

- Citation for CVDP data

27 TODO Rashid et al. (2016)

28 TODO Ropelewski and Halpert (1987)

29 TODO Son et al. (2010)

30 TODO Stevenson et al. (2010)

31 TODO Stevenson et al. (2012)

32 Stevenson (2012)

- CMIP5 dataset
- No significant change in ENSO amplitude for multi-model mean during 20-21st century
- Some significant changes in certain models
- Larger ensembles are needed

33 Stevenson et al. (2017)

- ENSO diversity: difference between CP and EP ENSO events
- CESM Last Millennium ensemble
- Less forced impact on ENSO amplitude, some impact on diversity
- Lots of natural factors have an impact too

34 Torrence and Compo (1998)

- How to use wavelets to estimate power spectrum in timeseries.
- Uses ENSO data *very niceee*
- Windowed Fourier Transform sucks butt because it is dependent on a time step parameter that can muck with the results depending on which value you choose.
- A wavelet is a short **blirp** of a wave with a mean of zero and finite amplitude/frequency and limited time domain.
- To get an ex. Morlet Wavelet take a regular wave and multiply it by a Gaussian (normal bell curve) so that it drops off over time.
- Will be using continuous methods, but discrete also works.
- Use mathematical transforms to vary scale and translation of wavelet as it slides across the time series.
- Integrate wavelet multiplied by the timeseries while varying scale and shift to generate a power spectrum.
- Applied wavelet spectrum analysis to Nino 3 timeseries
- strong variance in 2-8 year frequency area, but with slight changes between 1900 and 1990
- However, results are highly dependent on which mother wavelet you choose because they all have quite different properties.
- Trying power spectrum from a DOG (Mexican Hat) wavelet gives overall similar answer as Morelett wavelet, but it is slightly different (more detailed in time, less detailed in frequency.)
- Use formula to pick scale limits
- Add zeroes around the timeseries so that the wavelet equation does not misunderstand the data by thinking it is cyclical
- Create a cone of influence to mark where the edge confusion is able to interfere with the results.
- Make sure you convert between the wavelet scale to the Fourier period when you make your axes
- You can also reverse the wavelet transform to get back the timeseries from the power chart if you really want to (I dont think I will).
- Time for significance analysis!
- take a background spectrum that serves as the null hypothesis: all spikes in the power spectrum are due to chance, the underlying signal is really random.
- Comparing to red noise shows that the peaks of ENSO in 2-8 years are statistically significant
- Calculate 95% confidence interval by taking 95% confidence χ^2 statistic and multiplying by red noise spectrum.
- Nino3 SST wavelet power from 2-8year frequency is sometimes significantly different from red noise expectations.
- “The confidence interval is defined as the probability that the true wavelet power at a certain time and scale lies within a certain interval about the estimated wavelet power.”

- χ^2 test is advantageous because it applies to a lot of situations in wavelet analysis.
- Averaging the wavelet spectrum across the whole time range gives the overall power spectrum which can be significance tested and approximates the Fourier spectrum.
- Smoothing/averaging increases DOF, allowing to greater significance for the peaks
- After that, only main ENSO frequency band is shown to be statistically significant.
- Similar to time averaging, scale averaging is sometimes a good idea
- Wavelet analysis can be used to denoise an image/timeseries by throwing away the zones who's amplitude does not meet a certain level of significance.
- Wavelet analysis across spatial and temporal domains when squashed by frequency allows for a great analysis of spatial and temporal variability.

35 TODO Vecchi et al. (2006)

36 Vega-Westhoff and Srivier (2017)

- Control and global warming forced simulations
- Lots of internal variability

37 TODO Wang et al. (2016)

38 TODO Yeo et al. (2016)

39 TODO Zhang et al. (2019)

40 TODO Zheng et al. (2016)

41 Zheng et al. (2017)

- CESM-LE ensemble
- Lots of internal variability
- At least 15 models are necessary to separate forced from internal variability

References

- An, S.-I., Heo, E. S., and Kim, S. T. (2017). Feedback process responsible for intermodel diversity of enso variability. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(9):4272–4279.
- Bjerknes, J. (1969). Atmospheric teleconnections from the equatorial pacific. *Monthly Weather Review*, 97(3):163–172.
- Boer, G. J., Flato, G., and Ramsden, D. (2000). A transient climate change simulation with greenhouse gas and aerosol forcing: projected climate to the twenty-first century. *Climate Dynamics*, 16(6):427–450.
- Cai, W., Wang, G., Dewitte, B., Wu, L., Santoso, A., Takahashi, K., Yang, Y., Carréric, A., and McPhaden, M. J. (2018). Increased variability of eastern pacific el niño under greenhouse warming. *Nature*, 564(7735):201–206.

- Chen, L., Li, T., and Yu, Y. (2015). Causes of strengthening and weakening of ENSO amplitude under global warming in four CMIP5 models*. *Journal of Climate*, 28(8) : 3250 – 3274.
- Chen, L., Li, T., Yu, Y., and Behera, S. K. (2017). A possible explanation for the divergent projection of ENSO amplitude change under global warming. *Climate Dynamics*, 49(11-12):3799–3811.
- Deser, C., Phillips, A. S., Simpson, I. R., Rosenbloom, N., Coleman, D., Lehner, F., Pendergrass, A. G., DiNezio, P., and Stevenson, S. (2020). Isolating the evolving contributions of anthropogenic aerosols and greenhouse gases: A new CESM1 large ensemble community resource. *Journal of Climate*, 33(18):7835–7858.
- Dewitte, B., Yeh, S.-W., and Thual, S. (2012). Reinterpreting the thermocline feedback in the western-central equatorial pacific and its relationship with the ENSO modulation. *Climate Dynamics*, 41(3-4):819–830.
- Emile-Geay, J., Cane, M., Seager, R., Kaplan, A., and Almasi, P. (2007). El niño as a mediator of the solar influence on climate. *Paleoceanography*, 22(3):n/a–n/a.
- Graham, F. S., Brown, J. N., Langlais, C., Marsland, S. J., Wittenberg, A. T., and Holbrook, N. J. (2014). Effectiveness of the bjerknes stability index in representing ocean dynamics. *Climate Dynamics*, 43(9-10):2399–2414.
- Hu, S. and Fedorov, A. V. (2018). Cross-equatorial winds control el niño diversity and change. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(9):798–802.
- Jia, F., Cai, W., Wu, L., Gan, B., Wang, G., Kucharski, F., Chang, P., and Keenlyside, N. (2019). Weakening atlantic niño–pacific connection under greenhouse warming. *Science Advances*, 5(8):eaax4111.
- Jiménez-Muñoz, J. C., Mattar, C., Barichivich, J., Santamaría-Artigas, A., Takahashi, K., Malhi, Y., Sobrino, J. A., and van der Schrier, G. (2016). Record-breaking warming and extreme drought in the amazon rainforest during the course of el niño 2015–2016. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1).
- Kay, J. E., Deser, C., Phillips, A., Mai, A., Hannay, C., Strand, G., Arblaster, J. M., Bates, S. C., Danabasoglu, G., Edwards, J., Holland, M., Kushner, P., Lamarque, J.-F., Lawrence, D., Lindsay, K., Middleton, A., Munoz, E., Neale, R., Oleson, K., Polvani, L., and Vertenstein, M. (2015). The community earth system model (CESM) large ensemble project: A community resource for studying climate change in the presence of internal climate variability. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 96(8):1333–1349.
- Kestin, T. S., Karoly, D. J., Yano, J.-I., and Rayner, N. A. (1998). Time–frequency variability of enso and stochastic simulations. *Journal of Climate*, 11(9):2258–2272.
- Kim, S. T., Cai, W., Jin, F.-F., Santoso, A., Wu, L., Guilyardi, E., and An, S.-I. (2014). Response of el niño sea surface temperature variability to greenhouse warming. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(9):786–790.
- Kohyama, T., Hartmann, D. L., and Battisti, D. S. (2018). Weakening of nonlinear ENSO under global warming. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(16):8557–8567.
- Levine, A. F. Z., McPhaden, M. J., and Frierson, D. M. W. (2017). The impact of the AMO on multidecadal ENSO variability. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(8):3877–3886.

- Liu, Z. and Alexander, M. (2007). Atmospheric bridge, oceanic tunnel, and global climatic teleconnections. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 45(2).
- Lorenz, E. N. (1963). Deterministic nonperiodic flow. *Journal of atmospheric sciences*, 20(2):130–141.
- Lübbecke, J. F. and McPhaden, M. J. (2014). Assessing the twenty-first-century shift in ENSO variability in terms of the bjerknes stability index*. *Journal of Climate*, 27(7) : 2577 – –2587.
- Maher, N., Matei, D., Milinski, S., and Marotzke, J. (2018). ENSO change in climate projections: Forced response or internal variability? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(20).
- Nowack, P. J., Braesicke, P., Abraham, N. L., and Pyle, J. A. (2017). On the role of ozone feedback in the ENSO amplitude response under global warming. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(8):3858–3866.
- Pachauri, R. K., Allen, M. R., Barros, V. R., Broome, J., Cramer, W., Christ, R., Church, J. A., Clarke, L., Dahe, Q., Dasgupta, P., et al. (2014). *Climate change 2014: synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Ipcc.
- Phillips, A. S., Deser, C., and Fasullo, J. (2014). Evaluating modes of variability in climate models. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 95(49):453–455.
- Rashid, H. A., Hirst, A. C., and Marsland, S. J. (2016). An atmospheric mechanism for ENSO amplitude changes under an abrupt quadrupling of CO₂ concentration in CMIP5 models. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(4):1687–1694.
- Ropelewski, C. F. and Halpert, M. S. (1987). Global and regional scale precipitation patterns associated with the el niño/southern oscillation. *Monthly weather review*, 115(8):1606–1626.
- Son, S.-W., Gerber, E. P., Perlwitz, J., Polvani, L. M., Gillett, N. P., Seo, K.-H., Eyring, V., Shepherd, T. G., Waugh, D., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Baumgaertner, A., Bekki, S., Braesicke, P., Brühl, C., Butchart, N., Chipperfield, M. P., Cugnet, D., Dameris, M., Dhomse, S., Frith, S., Garny, H., Garcia, R., Hardiman, S. C., Jöckel, P., Lamarque, J. F., Mancini, E., Marchand, M., Michou, M., Nakamura, T., Morgenstern, O., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Pyle, J., Rozanov, E., Scinocca, J. F., Shibata, K., Smale, D., Teyssède, H., Tian, W., and Yamashita, Y. (2010). Impact of stratospheric ozone on southern hemisphere circulation change: A multimodel assessment. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 115.
- Stevenson, S., Capotondi, A., Fasullo, J., and Otto-Bliesner, B. (2017). Forced changes to twentieth century ENSO diversity in a last millennium context. *Climate Dynamics*, 52(12):7359–7374.
- Stevenson, S., Fox-Kemper, B., Jochum, M., Neale, R., Deser, C., and Meehl, G. (2012). Will there be a significant change to el niño in the twenty-first century? *Journal of Climate*, 25(6):2129–2145.
- Stevenson, S., Fox-Kemper, B., Jochum, M., Rajagopalan, B., and Yeager, S. G. (2010). ENSO model validation using wavelet probability analysis. *Journal of Climate*, 23(20):5540–5547.
- Stevenson, S. L. (2012). Significant changes to ENSO strength and impacts in the twenty-first century: Results from CMIP5. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39(17):n/a–n/a.

- Team, G. et al. (2019). Giss surface temperature analysis (gistemp), version 4. *NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies*.
- Torrence, C. and Compo, G. P. (1998). A practical guide to wavelet analysis. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological society*, 79(1):61–78.
- Vecchi, G. A., Soden, B. J., Wittenberg, A. T., Held, I. M., Leetmaa, A., and Harrison, M. J. (2006). Weakening of tropical pacific atmospheric circulation due to anthropogenic forcing. *Nature*, 441(7089):73–76.
- Vega-Westhoff, B. and Sriver, R. L. (2017). Analysis of ENSO’s response to unforced variability and anthropogenic forcing using CESM. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1).
- Wang, C., Deser, C., Yu, J.-Y., DiNezio, P., and Clement, A. (2016). El niño and southern oscillation (ENSO): A review. In *Coral Reefs of the Eastern Tropical Pacific*, pages 85–106. Springer Netherlands.
- Yeo, S.-R., Yeh, S.-W., Kim, K.-Y., and Kim, W. (2016). The role of low-frequency variation in the manifestation of warming trend and ENSO amplitude. *Climate Dynamics*, 49(4):1197–1213.
- Zhang, R., Sutton, R., Danabasoglu, G., Kwon, Y.-O., Marsh, R., Yeager, S. G., Amrhein, D. E., and Little, C. M. (2019). A review of the role of the atlantic meridional overturning circulation in atlantic multidecadal variability and associated climate impacts. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 57(2):316–375.
- Zheng, X.-T., Hui, C., and Yeh, S.-W. (2017). Response of ENSO amplitude to global warming in CESM large ensemble: uncertainty due to internal variability. *Climate Dynamics*, 50(11-12):4019–4035.
- Zheng, X.-T., Xie, S.-P., Lv, L.-H., and Zhou, Z.-Q. (2016). Intermodel uncertainty in ENSO amplitude change tied to pacific ocean warming pattern. *Journal of Climate*, 29(20):7265–7279.