Feedback 1

Name of reviewer: Sean O'Donnell

Date: 2020-11-23 **Time:** 10:30 AM

Feedback

• Strong intro

• Nice powerpoint design

• Liked how easy to follow the research goals are

• Maybe add figure to CESM LE slide?

 $\bullet\,$ Data figures were explained really well

Feedback 2

Name of reviewer: Michael Goldman

Date: 2020-11-24 **Time:** 8:00 PM

Feedback

• Clarify the gap/inconclusivity of research

• Clarify what is NCAR

• Spelling error in methods 1 slide

• Interesting

• Well-explained

Feedback 3

Name of reviewer: Michael Goldman

Date: 2020-12-07 **Time:** 6:15 PM

Feedback

• Lots of improvement

ullet Better introduction

• Define stratification more prominently

Feedback 4

Name of reviewer: Jimena Perez-Tetwan

Date: 2020-12-10 **Time:** 4:30 PM

Feedback

Explain the subplots in the correlation graphClarify correlation graph, labels are too small

Good paceGood layout

Feedback 5

Name of reviewer: Rhoda Troobof

Date: 2021-01-07 **Time:** 7:45 PM

Feedback

• Go slower

• Clarify figure 6

• Make figure 6 only full forcing

• Explain setup of each ensemble more clearly

Feedback 6

Name of reviewer: Jimena Perez-Tetwan

Date: 2021-01-11 **Time:** 7:30 PM

Feedback

• Add normal conditions for fig.1

• Liked emphasis on difference between timescales

• Define control and "forcing"

• Introduce definition of xABC data

• Nice flowchart

• Add titles to 9,10

Feedback 6

Name of reviewer: Marcus

Date: 2021-01-14 **Time:** 7:00 PM

Feedback

• liked graphs

• interesting

• talk slower, especially in the introduction