

Analysis of Posterior Distribution and Conjugate Priors

Ben Russell

March 27, 2024

1)

Given a likelihood function $p_X(x|\theta) = h(x) \exp(\theta t(x) - a(\theta))$, and a prior distribution $p_\theta(\theta) \propto \exp(\tau\theta - \gamma \cdot a(\theta))$, our goal is to find the posterior distribution of θ and to show that p_θ is a conjugate prior for the parameter θ .

The likelihood of observing $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_N = x_N$ given θ is

$$p_X(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_N = x_N|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^N h(x_i) \exp(\theta t(x_i) - Na(\theta))$$

This leads us to the posterior distribution of θ ,

$$p_\theta(\theta|X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_N = x_N) \propto \exp\left(\theta \left(\sum_{i=1}^N t(x_i) + \tau\right) - (N + \gamma)a(\theta)\right)$$

The form of this posterior distribution shows that $p_\theta(\theta)$ is indeed a conjugate prior for $p_X(x|\theta)$ with respect to θ , meaning that the posterior distribution is in the same family as the prior distribution.

2)

Given the information:

- The probability that an email is spam, $P(\text{Spam}) = 0.565$.
- The probability that an email is not spam, $P(\neg\text{Spam}) = 1 - P(\text{Spam}) = 0.435$.
- The probability that an email is flagged as spam given that it is actually spam, $P(\text{Flagged as Spam}|\text{Spam}) = 0.98$.
- The probability that an email is flagged as spam given that it is not spam (false positive), $P(\text{Flagged as Spam}|\neg\text{Spam}) = 0.05$.

We want to find the probability that an email is indeed spam given that it has been flagged as spam, $P(\text{Spam}|\text{Flagged as Spam})$.

Applying Bayes' theorem:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A) \cdot P(A)}{P(B)}$$

In our context:

$$P(\text{Spam}|\text{Flagged as Spam}) = \frac{P(\text{Flagged as Spam}|\text{Spam}) \cdot P(\text{Spam})}{P(\text{Flagged as Spam})}$$

Where $P(\text{Flagged as Spam})$ is calculated as:

$$P(\text{Flagged as Spam}) = P(\text{Flagged as Spam}|\text{Spam}) \cdot P(\text{Spam}) + P(\text{Flagged as Spam}|\neg\text{Spam}) \cdot P(\neg\text{Spam})$$

Substituting the given values:

$$P(\text{Spam}|\text{Flagged as Spam}) = \frac{0.98 \cdot 0.565}{(0.98 \cdot 0.565) + (0.05 \cdot 0.435)}$$

This calculation leads to $P(\text{Spam}|\text{Flagged as Spam}) \approx 0.9622$, meaning there is approximately a 96.22% chance that an email is indeed spam given that it has been flagged as spam by the software.

3) Given the mean and variance of the prior distribution of p , a Beta distribution is a suitable choice due to its conjugacy with the binomial likelihood function. The prior parameters α and β are derived based on the provided mean and variance. After observing 26 heads in 50 tosses, the posterior distribution parameters, α' and β' , are updated accordingly.

0.1 Posterior Distribution

The posterior distribution, being a Beta distribution, is updated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha' &= \alpha + k = 40.00, \\ \beta' &= \beta + n - k = 37.00.\end{aligned}$$

The posterior distribution is $\text{Beta}(\alpha', \beta')$.

0.2 Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Estimate

The MAP estimate of p is given by:

$$p_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{\alpha' - 1}{\alpha' + \beta' - 2} \approx 0.520.$$

The posterior distribution indicates our updated belief about the probability p of landing heads, incorporating both our prior belief and the evidence from the coin tosses. The MAP estimate provides the most likely value of p based on the observed data.

4)

Given a set of independent and identically distributed observations X_1, \dots, X_N with a probability density function (pdf) proportional to $x^{b-1} 1_{[0,1]}(x)$, where $b > 0$ is an unknown parameter and $1_{[0,1]}(x)$ is the indicator function defined as:

$$1_{[0,1]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1] \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For the parameter b , we consider as a prior the Gamma distribution, i.e.,

$$p_b(b) \propto b^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta b},$$

defined for $b > 0$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

To determine the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimator of b given observations $X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_N = x_N$, we use Bayes' theorem. The posterior distribution is proportional to the product of the likelihood function and the prior distribution of b .

The likelihood function is:

$$L(b|x_1, \dots, x_N) \propto \left(\prod_{i=1}^N x_i \right)^{b-1}$$

The log of the posterior distribution is:

$$\log p(b|x_1, \dots, x_N) = (b-1) \sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i + (\alpha-1) \log b - \beta b + \text{const}$$

Taking the derivative of the log posterior with respect to b , setting it to zero, and solving for b gives the MAP estimator:

$$b_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{\alpha-1}{\beta - \sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i}$$

This expression provides the MAP estimate of b based on the prior Gamma distribution parameters α and β , and the observed data through $\sum_{i=1}^N \log x_i$.