

## Chapter 1, Exercise 2

The answer is  $p(A = 1|B = 1) = 0.8$ , because to have independence  $p(A = 1|B = 1) = p(A = 1|B = 0)$ .

## Chapter 1, Exercise 4 Inspector Clouseau

Inspector Clouseau arrives at the scene of a crime. The Butler ( $B$ ) and Maid ( $M$ ) are his main suspects. The inspector has a prior belief of 0.6 that the Butler is the murderer, and a prior belief of 0.2 that the Maid is the murderer. These probabilities are independent in the sense that  $p(B, M) = p(B)p(M)$ . (It is possible that both the Butler and the Maid murdered the victim or neither). The inspector's *prior* criminal knowledge can be formulated mathematically as follows:

$$\Omega_b = \Omega_m = \{\text{murderer, not murderer}\}$$

$$\Omega_k = \{\text{knife used, knife not used}\}$$

$$p(b = \text{murderer}) = 0.6, \quad p(m = \text{murderer}) = 0.2$$

$$p(\text{knife used}|b = \text{not murderer}, \quad m = \text{not murderer}) = 0.3$$

$$p(\text{knife used}|b = \text{not murderer}, \quad m = \text{murderer}) = 0.2$$

$$p(\text{knife used}|b = \text{murderer}, \quad m = \text{not murderer}) = 0.6$$

$$p(\text{knife used}|b = \text{murderer}, \quad m = \text{murderer}) = 0.1$$

The victim lies dead in the room and the inspector quickly finds the murder weapon, a Knife ( $K$ ). What is the probability that the Butler is the murderer? (Remember that it might be that neither is the murderer).

Using  $b$  for the two states of  $B$  and  $m$  for the two states of  $M$ ,

$$p(B|K) = \sum_m p(B, m|K) = \sum_m \frac{p(B, m, K)}{p(K)} = \frac{p(B) \sum_m p(K|B, m)p(m)}{\sum_b p(b) \sum_m p(K|b, m)p(m)}$$

Plugging in the values we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(B = \text{murderer}|\text{knife used}) &= \frac{\frac{6}{10}(\frac{2}{10} \times \frac{1}{10} + \frac{8}{10} \times \frac{6}{10})}{\frac{6}{10}(\frac{2}{10} \times \frac{1}{10} + \frac{8}{10} \times \frac{6}{10}) + \frac{4}{10}(\frac{2}{10} \times \frac{2}{10} + \frac{8}{10} \times \frac{3}{10})} \\ &= \frac{300}{412} \approx 0.73 \end{aligned}$$

Hence knowing that the knife was the murder weapon strengthens our belief that the butler did it.

## Chapter 2, Exercise 2

By Bayes' rule

$$p(class = 0|color = c) = \frac{p(color = c|class = 0)p(class = 0)}{p(color = c)}$$

where

$$p(color = c) = p(color = c|class = 0)p(class = 0) + p(color = c|class = 1)p(class = 1)$$

Therefore, for the first row in the dataset we have: so

$$p(Poisonous|Red) = \frac{p(Red|Poisonous)p(Poisonous)}{p(Red)} = 0.608$$

and, since  $0.608 > 0.5$ , our prediction is Poisonous. For the second row

$$p(Poisonous|White) = \frac{p(White|Poisonous)p(Poisonous)}{p(White)} = 0.307$$

and so our prediction is Edible.

$$p(Poisonous|Brown) = \frac{p(Brown|Poisonous)p(Poisonous)}{p(Brown)} = 0.143$$

and so our prediction is Edible. The probability  $p(Brown|Poisonous)$  has be obtained as:

$$p(Brown|Poisonous) = 1 - p(Red|Poisonous) - p(White|Poisonous)$$

Therefore, our prediction is  $[0, 1, 1]$ , while the true class is  $[0, 0, 1]$ . The accuracy is

$$\frac{\text{number of correctly classified instances}}{\text{number instances}} = \frac{2}{3}.$$