

① a) The fissile isotope is Uranium-235.

Natural Uranium contains 0.7% Uranium-235

b) $Q = E_f N_f \sigma_f \Phi_{th}$; $E_f = 3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J/fission}$

$$\sigma_f = 550 \text{ b} = 550 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2$$

$$\Phi = 3.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ n (cm}^2\text{s)}^{-1}$$

$$M_u = g(235) + (1-g)238$$

$$= (0.03)(235) + (1-0.03)(238)$$

$$= 237.91 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$$

$$N_f = \frac{g \rho N_A}{M} = \frac{(0.03)(11.3 \text{ g cm}^{-3})(6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ atom mol}^{-1})}{(237.91 \text{ g mol}^{-1})}$$

$$= 8.588 \times 10^{20} \text{ atom U}^{235} \text{ /cm}^3$$

$$Q = (3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J fission}^{-1})(8.588 \times 10^{20} \text{ atom U}^{235} \text{ /cm}^3)(550 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2)(3.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ n (cm}^2\text{s)}^{-1})$$

$$= 453.467 \text{ W cm}^{-3}$$

$$N_f = \frac{Q}{E_f \sigma_f \Phi_{th}} = \frac{g \rho N_A}{M} \therefore g = \frac{Q M}{\rho N_A E_f \sigma_f \Phi_{th}}$$

$$g = \frac{(453.467 \text{ W cm}^{-3})(237.91 \text{ g mol}^{-1})}{(7.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3})(6.022 \times 10^{23} \text{ atom mol}^{-1})(3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J fission}^{-1})(550 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2)(3.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ n (cm}^2\text{s)}^{-1})}$$

$$= 0.04524 \times 100\%$$

$$= 4.524\% \text{ enrichment for U}_3\text{Si}_5$$

c) U_3Si_5 would be worse than U_3Si_2 for two reasons

→ You need more enrichment to get the same energy aka less cost efficient

→ The thermal conductivity of U_3Si_5 ($0.125 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1}$) is worse than that of U_3Si_2 ($0.23 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1}$).

Matthew J. Hanson

-1, 34/35

2) $R_p = 0.45 \text{ cm}$
 a) $g_{ap} = 80 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}$
 $t_{clad} = 0.06 \text{ cm}$

$LHR = 250 \text{ W cm}^{-1}$
 $T_{cool} = 580 \text{ K}$
 $h_{cool} = 2.5 \text{ W (cm}^2 \text{ K)}^{-1}$
 $E = 246.7 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa}$

$K_{clad} = 0.17 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1}$
 $x_e = 5\% = 0.05$
 $K_{un} = 0.2 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1}$
 $\nu = 0.25$ $\alpha = 7.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$

$$T_{co} = T_{cool} + \frac{LHR}{2\pi R_p h_{cool}} = (580 \text{ K}) + \frac{(250 \text{ W cm}^{-1})}{2\pi (0.45 \text{ cm})(2.5 \text{ W (cm}^2 \text{ K)}^{-1})} \therefore T_{co} = 615.368 \text{ K}$$

$$T_{ci} = T_{co} + \frac{LHR t_{clad}}{2\pi R_p K_{clad}} = (615.368 \text{ K}) + \frac{(250 \text{ W cm}^{-1})(0.06 \text{ cm})}{2\pi (0.45 \text{ cm})(0.17 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1})} \therefore T_{ci} = 646.575 \text{ K}$$

$$K_{He} = 16 \times 10^{-6} T_{ci}^{0.79} = 0.0026577 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1}$$

$$K_{Xe} = 0.7 \times 10^{-6} T_{ci}^{0.79} = 1.1628 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1}$$

$$K_{gap} = K_{He}^{(1-x_e)} K_{Xe}^{x_e} = (0.0026577)^{(1-0.05)} (1.1628 \times 10^{-4})^{(0.05)} \therefore K_{gap} = 0.002273 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1}$$

$$h_{gap} = \frac{K_{gap}}{\frac{t_{clad}}{g_{ap}}} = \frac{0.002273 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1}}{\frac{0.06 \text{ cm}}{80 \times 10^{-4}}} \therefore h_{gap} = 0.284098 \text{ W (cm}^2 \text{ K)}^{-1}$$

$$T_s = T_{ci} + \frac{LHR}{2\pi R_p h_{gap}} = (646.575 \text{ K}) + \frac{(250 \text{ W cm}^{-1})}{2\pi (0.45 \text{ cm})(0.284098 \text{ W (cm}^2 \text{ K)}^{-1})}$$

$$T_s = 957.80 \text{ K}$$

$$b) T_m = T_s + \frac{LHR}{4\pi K_{un}} = (957.80 \text{ K}) + \frac{(250 \text{ W cm}^{-1})}{4\pi (0.2 \text{ W (cm K)}^{-1})} \therefore T_m = 1057.276 \text{ K}$$

$$\sigma^* = \frac{\alpha E (T_m - T_s)}{4(1-\nu)} = \frac{(7.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1})(246.7 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa})(1057.276 \text{ K} - 957.80 \text{ K})}{4(1-0.25)}$$

$$\sigma^* = 61.352 \text{ MPa} \quad ; \text{ Maximum stress For hoop is at } r = R_p \therefore k = \frac{r}{R_p} = 1$$

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta}(k) = -\sigma^*(1-3k^2) = -\sigma^*(1-3(1)^2) = -\sigma^*(-2) = 2\sigma^* = 2(61.352 \text{ MPa})$$

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = 122.70 \text{ MPa}$$

Matthew J. Hanson

2) continued

c) UO_2 stress would be higher due to the temperature gradient in the fuel, this would cause the $(T_m - T_s)$ term to increase, therefore $\dot{\epsilon}_{\theta\theta}$ would increase.

d) \rightarrow We have a static Body
 \rightarrow Gravity is negligible
 \rightarrow The problem is Axisymmetric
 \rightarrow Isotropic Material Response

-1, there are several more assumptions

-6, 29/35

3)

-2, Isotropic and small strain

a) The thickness of the wall is so small compared to the radius that the stress does not change across the wall (constant)

b) $P = 6 \text{ MPa}$ $R_{\text{avg}} = 0.56 \text{ cm}$ $t_c = 0.06 \text{ cm}$

$$\sigma_{\theta} = \frac{PR}{t_c} = \frac{(6 \text{ MPa})(0.56 \text{ cm})}{(0.06 \text{ cm})} \therefore \boxed{\sigma_{\theta} = 56 \text{ MPa}}$$

$$\sigma_z = \frac{PR}{2t_c} = \frac{(6 \text{ MPa})(0.56 \text{ cm})}{2(0.06 \text{ cm})} \therefore \boxed{\sigma_z = 28 \text{ MPa}}$$

$$\sigma_r = -\frac{1}{2} P = -\frac{1}{2} (6 \text{ MPa}) \therefore \boxed{\sigma_r = -3 \text{ MPa}}$$

c) $\sigma_{\theta\theta} = P \frac{(R_o/R_i)^2 + 1}{(R_o/R_i)^2 - 1} = (6 \text{ MPa}) \frac{(\frac{0.59 \text{ cm}}{0.53 \text{ cm}})^2 + 1}{(\frac{0.59 \text{ cm}}{0.53 \text{ cm}})^2 - 1} \therefore \sigma_{\theta\theta} = 56.16 \text{ MPa}$

$$\sigma_{zz} = P \frac{1}{(R_o/R_i)^2 - 1} = \frac{(6 \text{ MPa})}{(\frac{0.59 \text{ cm}}{0.53 \text{ cm}})^2 - 1} \therefore \sigma_{zz} = 25.08 \text{ MPa}$$

$$\sigma_{rr} = -P \frac{(R_o/R_i)^2 - 1}{(R_o/R_i)^2 - 1} = -P \therefore \sigma_{rr} = -6 \text{ MPa}$$



$$R_{ci} = 0.56 - 0.06/2 = 0.53 \text{ cm}$$

$$R_{co} = 0.56 + 0.06/2 = 0.59 \text{ cm}$$

-4 Calculate stress at two radii and see if it is constant

$\sigma_{\theta\theta}$ $\frac{56.16 - 56}{56.16} \times 100 = 0.285\% \text{ error, Yes the thin wall is close \& conservative b/c it underestimated}$

σ_{zz} $\frac{25.08 - 28}{25.08} \times 100 = -11.643\% \text{ error, No the thin wall over estimated, therefore not conservative but liberal}$

σ_{rr} $\frac{(-6) - (-3)}{(-6)} \times 100 = 50\% \text{ error, Yes it was underestimated therefore conservative.}$

3) Continued

$$D) E = 70 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa} \quad \nu = 0.41 \quad \Delta T = 0$$

$$\epsilon_{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_{\theta\theta} - \nu(\sigma_{rr} + \sigma_{zz})) = \frac{1}{(70 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa})} \left[(56.16 \times 10^6 \text{ Pa}) - (0.41)[(-6 \times 10^6 \text{ Pa}) + (25.08 \times 10^6 \text{ Pa})] \right]$$

$$= 6.91 \times 10^{-4} = 691 \mu\epsilon$$

$$\epsilon_{zz} = \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_{zz} - \nu(\sigma_{\theta\theta} + \sigma_{rr})) = \frac{1}{(70 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa})} \left[(25.08 \text{ MPa}) - (0.41)[(56.16 \text{ MPa}) + (-6 \text{ MPa})] \right]$$

$$= 6.45 \times 10^{-5} = 64.5 \mu\epsilon$$

$$\epsilon_{rr} = \frac{1}{E} (\sigma_{rr} - \nu(\sigma_{\theta\theta} + \sigma_{zz})) = \frac{1}{(70 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa})} \left[(-6 \text{ MPa}) - (0.41)[(56.16 \text{ MPa}) + (25.08 \text{ MPa})] \right]$$

$$= -5.79 \times 10^{-4} = -579 \mu\epsilon$$

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\theta\theta} \\ \sigma_{zz} \\ \sigma_{rr} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 56.16 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 25.08 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ MPa}$$

$$\epsilon = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\theta\theta} \\ \epsilon_{zz} \\ \epsilon_{rr} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 691 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 64.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -579 \end{bmatrix} \mu\epsilon$$

→ Assuming No shear so θ_z, θ_r, z_r components of stress/strain should be zero.