

a) uranium 235, 0.7% U_{235} naturally

-3, 27/30

b.) $Q = E_f N_f \sigma_f \phi_{th}$ $E_f = 3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ J/nucleus}$ $\sigma_f = 585.1 \text{ b}$

$\phi_{th} = 3.2 \times 10^{13} \frac{1}{\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}}$ $\rho_{U_3Si_5} = 7.5 \frac{\text{gU}}{\text{cm}^3}$ $\rho_{U_3Si_2} = 11.31 \frac{\text{gU}}{\text{cm}^3}$

$N_f U_3Si_2 = \rho \frac{\rho_{U_3Si_2} N_A}{M_{U_3Si_2}} = \frac{(11.31) \times (6.022 \times 10^{23})}{(235 \times 0.03) + (238 \times 0.97)} (0.03) = 8.58 \times 10^{20} \text{ atoms/cm}^3$

$Q = (3 \times 10^{-11}) (2.577 \times 10^{19}) (585.1 \times 10^{-24} \text{ cm}^2) (3.2 \times 10^{13} \frac{1}{\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}})$

$= 482.3 \text{ W/cm}^3$

$N_{U_3Si_5} = \rho \frac{\rho_{U_3Si_5} N_A}{M_{U_3Si_5}} = \frac{(8.58 \times 10^{20}) (238)}{(7.5) (6.022 \times 10^{23})} = 0.0446 = 4.46\%$

$\rho_{U_3Si_5} = 7.5 \frac{\text{gU}}{\text{cm}^3}$

c.) U_3Si_5 would rank below U_3Si_2 due to the higher enrichment necessary to produce the same power output.

-3, thermal conductivity?

Question 2

Page 2

a.) $R_f = 0.45 \text{ cm}$ $t_g = 0.008 \text{ cm}$ $t_{ci} = 0.06 \text{ cm}$

$LHR = 250 \text{ W/cm}$ $t_{cool} = 580 \text{ K}$ $\gamma_{ke} = 0.05$ $n_{cool} = 2.5 \text{ W/cm} \cdot \text{K}$

35.4 K

$$T_{co} = \frac{250 \text{ W/cm}}{2\pi(0.45)(2.5)} + 580 \text{ K} = 615.4 \text{ K}$$

$$T_{ic} = 615.4 + \frac{31.21 \text{ K}}{2\pi \cdot 0.45 \cdot 0.17} = 646.6 \text{ K}$$

$$T_s = \frac{311.6 \text{ K}}{2\pi \cdot R_f \cdot h_{gep}} + 646.6 \text{ K} = \boxed{958.16 \text{ K}}$$

$$h_{gep} = \frac{k_{He}^{(1-x)} k_{Xe}^{(x)}}{0.008} = \left[\frac{16 \times 10^{-6} (646.6)^{0.79(1-0.05)}}{(3.58 \times 10^{-3})} \right] \left[\frac{0.7 \times 10^{-6} (646.6)^{0.79(0.05)}}{0.635} \right]$$

$h_{gep} = 0.2838$ $\rightarrow = 7.2 \times 10^{-3}$

b.) $k_{un} = 0.2 \text{ W/cm K}$ $\nu = 0.25$ $E = 246.7 \text{ GPa}$

$$\Delta T = \frac{250}{4\pi \cdot 0.2} = 99.5 \text{ K} \quad \alpha = 7.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ 1/K}$$

$$\sigma^* = \frac{\alpha E (99.5 \text{ K})}{4(1-0.25)} = \frac{(7.5 \times 10^{-6})(246.7 \text{ GPa})}{4(0.75)} = 0.617 \text{ MPa}$$

$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = -\sigma^* (1-3\eta)$ Max stress at $\eta = \frac{R_f}{R_f} = 1$

$$\sigma_{\theta\theta} = -0.617 (1-3) = \boxed{1.234 \text{ MPa}}$$

c. One would expect this stress to be much higher in UO_2 due to the increased temperature difference as a result of a lower thermal conductivity

d. The thermal conductivity is constant

Steady state

Axisymmetric behavior

-2, There are many more assumptions than these

Question 3

page 4

$$P = 6 \text{ MPa} \quad \bar{R} = 0.56 \text{ cm} \quad t_c = 0.06 \text{ cm}$$

- a.) The wall thickness is small in comparison to the cylinder radius. This makes the stress constant along the radius.

-2, Isotropic and small strain

$$b. \quad \sigma_{\theta\theta} = \frac{pR}{t} = \frac{(6)(0.56)}{0.06} = 56 \text{ MPa}$$

$$\sigma_{\phi\phi} = \frac{pR}{2t} = \frac{(6)(0.56)}{2 \cdot 0.06} = 28 \text{ MPa}$$

$$\sigma_r = -\frac{1}{2}p = -\frac{1}{2}(6) = -3 \text{ MPa}$$

- c. The thickness to radius ratio is

$$\frac{0.06}{0.56} \times 100 = 10.7\%$$

-6, Calculate stress using thick walled equations at two radii and compare

Typically the thickness must be $\sim 1/10$ the radius, or less.

This would be ultimately inaccurate to use for this scenario.

$$d. \quad \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\theta\theta} \\ \sigma_{rr} \\ \sigma_{\phi\phi} \end{bmatrix} = E \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{\theta\theta} \\ \epsilon_{rr} \\ \epsilon_{\phi\phi} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 56 \\ 28 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 70 \begin{bmatrix} 6.18 \times 10^{-4} \\ 5.44 \times 10^{-5} \\ -4.49 \times 10^{-4} \end{bmatrix}$$

-2, small mistakes in strain calculation