1 MATTMILLOSKEY 3/3/17 P. 1	
Exam /	
PROFESSOR TONKS	
Nuce 497! Fuel Performance -8, 22/30	
1.) Given	
U35:3, LUR, K=12.5[W/MK], Bu= 7.59/cm3]	
FindiA fissile zsatope in U3 515	
·	
BENSILHMENT My. FOR 31. U/ neutron flow= 3.2 x10'3 [Mines]	
501:	
A) Uranjum 235 = fissile isotope	
Enrichment: ,7%	
B) Q!	
Q=Ef Np35 05 44	
U33:2->37. Enrichment	
N4735= 3x(.03)x(NA)x(7.5) Atq 770 [/mai] 17435:2=770	
Nas: = 5.7741020 = 47 (m3)	
$Q_i^*Q_i$	
TINGT WE ST NOT DAL	
Nuzz - Nosis for same energy output	
5 27 10 <sup>20</sup> = 2 (5 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
5.77x1020 = 3x(En)xNax7.5 (Musig 854/Hal)	
= 29 20( H) ] Sec. 41	
3x(NACaymel) (15/2m3)	
= - 37"	
Enrichment for Uzsis is us. 64%	
( Mrichmont tel 073; 5 15 43.64/	
5) 1/25 (25 , 1/ 1 )	
C) Uz512 is favorable due to its lover Eurichment requirement	
Judging by the Chart of melting points, by 5: 2 = wear easily produce	ed
point, a deficiency for the '5: fuels3, thermal conductivity?	
point ) Got Lichly Tel the 5: tue 133, thermal conductivity?	

3) D) It is assumed that the change in temp through the gap, < lad, P
fuel is linear, Assumed that Kis independent of gap throwness
gap temperature and that the gap is not changing. Assumed the auct.
i's constant, the fuel, < lad are uniform and in steddy state and
that there is only todial < hanges in the radial temperature
profile.

-9, 26/35

3) Fuel to I, P= 6 EMPa) , R= 205.56 (cm), to =.06 (cm)

A) thin walled approx assumptions,

- Wall is very thin relative to radius / other diminstres

- geometry & pressure pading is axisymmetric

- Isotropic material response

- supports, end effects ignored. (Gravity too)

B)
$$\overline{F} = \frac{PR}{S} = \frac{6(.56)}{.06} = 56EMPa)$$

$$\overline{O_2} = \frac{1}{12}\overline{O_0} = \frac{78EMPa}{3}$$

$$\overline{O_R} = -\frac{1}{12}P = -\frac{3}{3}EMPa$$

c) lufo Approximation

Averages 
$$(R_{0}/\bar{r})^{2}-1 = (\frac{5.9}{5.6})^{2}-1 (-k) = [-2.75(MRa)]$$

 -4, Calculate stress at two radii and compare to see if it is constant across thickness

[52] P(/(R)/F)2-1)) {ZS.ICMPa]

All of the realistic values are lower than the thinwalled assumption, therefore it is not conservative if used to estimate cladding failure

