81/100

NucE 497 Fuel Performance Exam 1 covering modules 1 - 3

Question 1:

-6, 24/30

 U_3Si_5 is a uranium silicide fuel being considered for use in light water reactors. It has a thermal conductivity of 12.5 W/(m K) and a density of Uranium metal of 7.5 g of U/cm³. Answer the following questions

a) What is the fissile isotope in U₃Si₅? What would be the enrichment of this isotope in the natural (unenriched) form of the fuel? (7 points)

b) What enrichment would be required for U_3Si_5 to have the same energy release rate of U_3Si_2 enriched to 3% with a neutron flux of 3.2e13 n/(cm² s)? You can assume that U_{235} has a negligible impact on the total molar mass of U in the fiel (15 points)

in the fuel (15 points)
$$E_{s} = 3 \times 10^{-11} \frac{3}{5}, \quad \phi = 3.2 \times 10^{13} \frac{\Lambda}{cm^{2} \cdot 5}, \quad \delta = 0.03, \quad \sigma = 550b, \quad \int_{U_{3}5i_{5}} = 9.1 \frac{9}{cm^{3}}, \quad \int_{U_{3}5i_{5}} = 12.2 \frac{9}{cm^{3}}$$

$$A_{U_{3}5i_{5}} = 3(238) + 5(28.1) = 854.5, \quad A_{U_{3}5i_{2}} = 3(238) + 2(28.1) = 770.2$$

. Set both Q values equal to each other to determine enrichment $Q_{U_3Si_5} = Q_{U_3Si_3}$

-3, Just use U densities

$$\frac{\int_{U_3Si_5}^{1} \frac{V_A \cdot V_{U_3Si_5}}{A_{U_3Si_5}} = \frac{\int_{U_3Si_2}^{1} \frac{V_A \cdot V_{U_3Si_3}}{A_{U_3Si_2}} \Rightarrow \frac{(9.1) \cdot V_{U_3Si_5}}{854.5} = \frac{(12.2)(0.03)}{770.2} \Rightarrow V_{U_3Si_5} = 0.04 = 4\%$$

c) How would you rank U₃Si₅ as a potential fuel compared to U₃Si₂? Why? (8 points)

Ranking these two fuels, U3Siz is the better fuel when comparing its efficiency to U3Siz's efficiency. The efficiency of U3Siz is better due the amount of enrichment of U235 needed in order to have the same value for Q, which was demonstrated in part b.

-3, thermal conductivity?

Given: Rf = 0.45cm, tolad = 0.06cm, tgap = 0.008cm, LHR = 250 cm , Tcool = 580K, hood = 2.5 w , Kzr = 0.12 , k=0,2

-1,34/35

Question 2:

Consider a fuel rod with a pellet radius of 4.5 mm, an 80 micron gap, and a zircaloy cladding thickness of 0.6 mm. It is experiencing a linear heat rate of 250 W/cm with a coolant temperature of 580 K. The gap is filled with He and 5% Xe and the coolant conductance is 2.5 W/(cm² K).

a) What is the surface temperature of the fuel rod? (15 points)

a) What is the surface temperature of the fuel rod? (15 points)

$$T_{0c} = \frac{LHR}{2\pi R_{5} \cdot h_{cool}} + T_{cool}$$

$$= \frac{250}{2\pi (0.45)(2.5)} + 580$$

$$T_{0c} = \frac{250 \cdot 0.06}{2\pi (0.45)(0.15)} + 615.4$$

$$T_{0c} = \frac{250 \cdot 0.06}{2\pi (0.45)(0.15)} + 615.4$$

$$T_{0c} = \frac{615.4}{616} \times \frac{1.7}{616} \times \frac{1.7}{$$

stress experienced by the pellet, given that uranium nitride has E = 246.7

GPa, v = 0.25, and $\alpha = 7.5e-6 1/K$? (10 points) $\alpha = 7.5 \times 10^6 \text{ k}$, $\gamma = 0.25$, $\epsilon = 246.7$ GPA

$$\theta_{\theta\theta} = -0.06 \left(1-3(1)^2\right) = \left[0.126P_a\right]$$
 max stress occurs at $\eta=1$

c) Would you expect this stress to be higher or lower if the pellet was UO2? Why? (5 points)

The stress in vox would be larger because K of vox is less than the K of UN and Uoz has a larger d.

d) What assumptions were made in your calculations for a) and b)? (5 points)

gap size stayed constant, Steady State, constant K, Constant LHR -1, there are several more assumptions

Question 3:

Consider the stress state in a zircaloy fuel rod pressurized to 6 MPa with an average radius of 5.6 mm and a cladding thickness of 0.6 mm.

a) What assumptions are made in the thin walled cylinder approximation for the stress state? (5 points) -3, stress is constant through thickness

Fstress = Fpressure, wall thickness goes to 0, the stresses that act upon the cylinder would be parallel to it.

b) Calculate all three components of the stress using the thin walled cylinder approximation. (10 points)

$$P = 6MPa$$
, $R = 5.6mm$, $d = 0.6mm$. $O_0 = PR/S = \frac{6(5.6)}{0.6} = \frac{56MPa}{56MPa}$

$$O_1 = -\frac{P}{A} = -\frac{G}{A} = -3MPa$$

$$O_2 = \frac{PR}{26} = \frac{6(5.6)}{2(0.6)} = 28MPa$$

c) Quantify how accurate the thin walled cylinder approximation is for the cladding. Would the thin walled cylinder approximation be conservative if used to estimate if the cladding would fail? (10 points)

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Theta\Theta} = \frac{P\left(\left(\frac{R_{\Theta}}{C}\right)^{2}+1\right)}{\left(\frac{R_{\Theta}}{R_{i}}\right)^{2}-1} \Rightarrow \left(\Gamma = R_{i} \rightarrow \max_{\text{stress}}\right) \Rightarrow \frac{6\left(\left(\frac{5 \cdot 9}{6 \cdot 3}\right)^{2}+1\right)}{\left(\frac{5 \cdot 9}{5 \cdot 3}\right)^{2}-1} \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Theta\Theta} = 56.2 \text{ MPa}$$

-4, Calculate stress at two radii and compare to see if it is constant

error = $\frac{156 - 56.2}{56.2}$ = 0.0036 = 0.36% The thin walled cylinder approximation results in a smaller stress than the thick wall approx. If a stress occured equal to the thin wall approx, the cladding of the stress and strain tensors for the stress state in the thin walled it conservative.

cylinder, with E = 70 GPa and v = 0.41. (10 points)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{0} \\ \sigma_{0} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{E}{(1+v)(1-2v)} \begin{bmatrix} 1-v & v \\ v & 1-v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{0}, r \\ v_{0}/r \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 56 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{70}{(1+0.41)(1-2(0.41))} \begin{bmatrix} 1-0.41 & 0.41 \\ 0.41 & 1-0.41 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{0}/r \\ v_{0}/r \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve system

of equations,
$$-3 = 275.8 (0.59)(Ur,r) + 275.8 (0.41)(Ur/r)$$

$$56 = 275.8 (0.41)(Ur,r) + 275.8 (0.51)(Ur/r)$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} Ur,r & 0 \\ 0 & Ur/r \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} C = \begin{bmatrix} -0.49 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.69 \end{bmatrix}, & O = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 56 \end{bmatrix}$$

-2, Stress and strain are missing zz component -3, Compute strain from stress from part b