

# Class Presentation

Evaluation of Sci. Info	(E)
Critical Analysis	(C)
Understanding	(U)
Organization	(O)
Presentation Style delivery	(P)

Ans

very good

- Lecture w/ XFEM

E 10 45/50

- more overview of XFEM + crack

C 8

- linked governing eqns

U 9

- phantom nodes,

O 9

P 9

- started w/ crack propagation instead of initiation

\* - what is the  $K_{IC}$  - critical stress intensity factor?

\* - in what ways does cracking affect fuel performance?

- benchmarked code, she liked this, spent

time why does hoop stress change from + to -

- crack length sensitivity
- they did not address how fracture through cracks, through ~~stress~~ ~~strain~~ ~~strain~~

- understands concept of  $K_{IC}$  from the paper
- got the hoop stress quite correct

Angelica L. Mundy

45%  
very good  
E 9  
C 8  
u 9  
O 10  
p 9

- $U_2Se_2$  modeling - Burani
- rate + intra granular bubbles swelling
- DFT + BCA to approximate lattice
- approximate first-principles resolution
- homogeneous resolution
- rate theory / cluster dynamics modeling
- parametrized this model
- calculated some data, used some references
- only looks @ hottest part of fuel pin
- good analysis of weakness of diffusion coefficients for  $O_{Xe}$
- RED is good, but
- $U_2Se_2$  is not a ~~good~~ candidate, so no

= swelling is a sum of intra + inter

-  $CO_2$ ,  $H_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $Si_2$

- had backup slides

- well prepared

- good answers to questions

---

Interod

- pretty good

E	9	42
C	8	50
U	9	
O	8	
P	8	

- AET from Tom Hale,

- covering geo- and micro scale

- averaging out small scale structures do far  
up to the macroscale

- benchmarked w/ different quantities

- examples of how this is important for  
real fuel systems?

- they are homogenizing dynamic constants

- what features can be incorporated?

\* - scale limits?

= showed an example for hydride concentration

= no difference @ middle point, why? -

- applied AET for  $CO_2$  for FG cables

# Quantum

- very good

E 8

- FeCrAl materials performance

C 10

- compare directly to FeCrAl

U 9

- normal operation

O 9

- good background on FeCrAl

P 8

43/50

\* - why normal time margin?

- use Script for non-linearities

- good analysis for long-term issues

- not all interhe T<sub>i</sub> identified

- issues w/ the thermal expansion

- used Zircaloy creep + no creep for FeCrAl

- they don't present the creep model

\* - do you expect FeCrAl to have more or less creep than Zircaloy

\* - why diff in thermal exp. for Zircaloy

- only presented two axial points for gap closure

\* - what axial points & how they would vary?

→ make a total criticism, but a review

→ gap closure

Fed:

~~that~~ above. - tough paper, but decent job

E 9

C 9

3. thermocouple, + O diffusion in  $UO_2$

U 9

→ established governing equations

O 8

P 8

→ poor quality graphs

→ + had through heat conduction + Q transport eqns

43/50

→ very mathematical paper

→ don't lose the form of the slides

- too much space, some no titles

\* → why does O diffusion impact the catalase temperature?

→ pretty good critique

→ not physical, but an evaluation of the

JFNVK

→ ok for the answering questions

→ expansion

Alex Chrystle

- small pretty good  
- excellent products really

- CANON fuel thermochemistry

E 9

- RNF TT

C 8

S 9

O 9

P 10

→ I like his background

- modelling model do include noble metals  $45/80$

- account for noble U/O ratio

= good analysis + description of experimental methods

= ~~change~~ looked O/M ratio ~ 1. for of the ,

\* why initial 217 O/M ratio?

\* JANF TT vs Thermochem?

\* what method or chemical system?

→ best is a very good

# Chelsea Hall

and ok, not great

- CARMA rx fuel development
- CARL has sy nixtraal fabrication
- NAW
  - nuclear reactor ventures
- MOX fuels
- SIMFUEL

E	9
C	9
u	9
O	6
P	8

41/50

- overview of state of Canadian experimental efforts
- overview of multiscale modeling
- Team, a bit disorganized, but did some background work
- Canadian codes
  - Ekessan, Elestres, Eleca
- put some effort, but might not fully understood
- reported on multiscale models
- was this just a review article?
- ran quite long
- did ok on questions



Cole Johnson:

- very good job

= 0 transport in LWR fuel

= similar pwr to Fel's - Alex's

- 0 transport, 0 chemical potential

- ORIGIN, Thermodynamics + GISON

E 9

C 9

u 9

0 10

p 9

46/50

- 0 flux

- used in FEM

- could have done on finite volume?

- 2 problem cases

\* - both had fixed temperature profile?

- 3 sub-lattice model

\* - why 3 sub-lattices?

- typically only two in  $UO_2$

\* - what is chgng of potential at periphery?

- good configures

= well organized

- great answers to questions



Fu-Yun

-  $\text{ThO}_2$  fuel performance

- (UTh) $\text{O}_2$  fuel development

-  $\text{Th}^{232}$

- FAST performance code

$\text{ThO}_2$  & Th-MOX

- SCWR application

-  $(\text{Th}, \text{Pu})\text{O}_2$  can be discussed for my  
SCWR slides

- good SCWR review!

- clearly did a lot of background work

- thermal cond. of  $\text{UO}_2$  vs  $\text{ThO}_2$ ?

- predict  $T_0$

-  $\text{ThO}_2$  has less diffusion than  $\text{UO}_2$

\* - what effects can this have?

\* don't have accurate elastic moduli for  $\text{ThO}_2$ ?

- I need hard questions

E 9

C 8

U 9

O 10

P 4

45/50