## Question 1:

U<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>5</sub> is a uranium silicide fuel being considered for use in light water reactors. It has a thermal conductivity of 12.5 W/(m K) and a density of Uranium metal of 7.5 g of U/cm<sup>3</sup>. Answer the following questions

a) What is the fissile isotope in U<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>5</sub>? What would be the enrichment of this isotope in the natural (unenriched) form of the fuel? (7 points)

b) What enrichment would be required for U<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>5</sub> to have the same energy release rate of U<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> enriched to 3% with a neutron flux of 3.2e13 n/(cm<sup>2</sup> s)? You can assume that  $U_{235}$  has a negligible impact on the total molar mass of U in the fuel (15 points) Assume Q 135:5 = Q135:2

$$= \frac{q_2 M_b S_{035;2}}{M_{f}}$$

$$q_1 = \frac{0.03(11.31)85\%}{(7.5)700} = \frac{5.02\%}{5.02\%}$$
 enriched

c) How would you rank U<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>5</sub> as a potential fuel compared to U<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>? Why? (8

U3Siz is a worse fuel than U3Siz because it requires a higher enrichment than U3Siz to have the same energy release rate.

-3, thermal conductivity?

## Question 2:

Consider a fuel rod with a pellet radius of 4.5 mm, an 80 micron gap, and a zircaloy cladding thickness of 0.6 mm. It is experiencing a linear heat rate of 250 W/cm with a coolant temperature of 580 K. The gap is filled with He and 5% Xe and the coolant conductance is  $2.5 \text{ W/(cm}^2 \text{ K)}$ .

The superstance is a superstance of the fuel roa? [15 points]  $T_{co} = \frac{LHR}{2\pi R_{c}} h_{co}l + T_{co}l \qquad h_{gap} = \frac{h_{gap}}{1-y^{4}c} y$   $T_{co} = \frac{250}{2\pi (0.45)(2.5)} + 580 = 6/5,37 K \qquad k_{gap} = \frac{h_{e} k_{e} k_{e}}{1-y^{4}c} y$   $k_{gap} = \frac{(16e^{-6}(646.57)^{0.74})^{0.74}}{(0.7e^{-6}(646.57)^{0.74})^{0.75}}$   $k_{gap} = \frac{(16e^{-6}(646.57)^{0.74})^{0.74}}{1-y^{4}c} y$   $k_{gap} =$ 

stress experienced by the pellet, given that uranium nitride has E = 246.7

Stress experienced by the pellet, given that uranium nitride has E GPa, v = 0.25, and  $\alpha = 7.5e-6 1/K$ ? (10 points)  $T_0 = \frac{L HR}{4\pi L} + T_5 \qquad T'' = \frac{\Delta E(T_0 - T_5)}{4(1-\nu)}$   $T_0 = \frac{250}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + 954.11 \qquad T'' = (7.5e-6 1/k)(346.7 GR)(1053.58 - 954.11) K$   $T_0 = \frac{1053.58}{4\pi L (0.2)} + \frac{105$ 

c) Would you expect this stress to be higher or lower if the pellet was UO2? Why? (5 points)

The stress would be higher for UO2 because it has a lower thermal conductivity which would make the centerline temperature much botter than the surface temperature and cause greater

d) What assumptions were made in your calculations for a) and b)? (5 points)

linear temperature profile aeross the gap

- Steady state

- axisy mmetre

- T is constant in Z

- Thermal conductivity is independent of temperature

## Question 3:

Consider the stress state in a zircaloy fuel rod pressurized to 6 MPa with an average radius of 5.6 mm and a cladding thickness of 0.6 mm.

a) What assumptions are made in the thin walled cylinder approximation for

the stress state? (5 points)
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{\rho R}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{\rho R}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s$$

b) Calculate all three components of the stress using the thin walled cylinder

approximation. (10 points)
$$\overline{\nabla}_{0} = \frac{6 M P_{0} (5.6 mm)}{0.6 mm} = 56 M P_{0}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_{2} = \frac{6 M P_{0} (5.6 mm)}{2(0.6 mm)} = 3 M P_{0}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_{r} = -\frac{1}{2} (6 M P_{0}) = -3 M P_{0}$$

c) Quantify how accurate the thin walled cylinder approximation is for the cladding. Would the thin walled cylinder approximation be conservative if

Not conservative because thin walked is smaller than the thick wall calculations, so you may predict that the cladding will not fail even though

d) Write the stress and strain tensors for the stress state in the thin walled cylinder, with F = 70 GPa and v = 0.41 (42)

The series and strain tensors for the stress strain tensor for the strain tensor for the strain tensor fo  $Err = \frac{1}{E} \left( \sigma_{rr} - V \left( \overline{\sigma_{0}} + \overline{\sigma_{2}} \right) \right) = -0.368$   $\left( E = \frac{1}{E} \left( \sigma_{rr} - V \left( \overline{\sigma_{0}} + \overline{\sigma_{2}} \right) \right) = -0.368$   $\left( E = \frac{1}{E} \left( \sigma_{rr} - V \left( \overline{\sigma_{0}} + \overline{\sigma_{2}} \right) \right) = -0.368$ Ego = = ( 000 - V (on +022)) = 0.691 (22 = 1 (522 - V/00 + Jrr)) = 0.0645

Dints)
$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla &= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{00} \\ \nabla_{72} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 56.16 \\ -6 \\ 25.08 \end{bmatrix} MP_{4} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{00} \\ \epsilon_{77} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.691 \\ -0.268 \\ 0.0645 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

-4, Show stress and strain in tensor form -1, math error in strain calculation