

# The Semantics for QL

LOGIC I

Benjamin Brast-McKie

November 7, 2023

## Examples

*Monadic:* Casey is dancing.

*Dyadic:* Al loves Max.

*Triadic:* Kim is between Boston and New York.

## Constants and Referents

*Constants:* Constants are interpreted as referring to individuals.

*Existence:* Thus we need to know what things there are.

*Domain:* A *domain* is any nonempty set  $\mathbb{D}$ .

*Referents:* Interpretations assign constants to elements of  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Question 1:** How are we going to interpret predicates?

## Predicates and Extensions

*Example:* 'Al loves Max' is true *iff* Al bears the loves-relation to Max.

*Dyadic Predicates:* Dyadic predicates are interpreted by sets of *ordered pairs* in  $\mathbb{D}^2$ .

**Question 2:** How are we to interpret  $n$ -place predicates?

*Cartesian Power:*  $\mathbb{D}^n = \{\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle : \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{D}\}$ .

*Extensions:*  $n$ -place predicates are interpreted by subsets of  $\mathbb{D}^n$ .

*Singletons:* 1-place predicates are interpreted by subsets of  $\mathbb{D}^1 = \{\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{D}\}$ .

**Question 3:** How are we to interpret 0-place predicates? What is  $\mathbb{D}^0$ ?

*$n$ -Tuples:* Let  $\langle \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle = \{\langle 1, \mathbf{x}_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle n, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle\}$ .

*0-Tuple:*  $\langle \rangle = \emptyset$ .

*Truth-Values:* 0-place predicates are interpreted by subsets of  $\mathbb{D}^0 = \{\emptyset\}$ .

*Ordinals:* Let  $1 = \{\emptyset\}$  and  $0 = \emptyset$  be the first two von Neumann ordinals.

## QL Models

*Interpretations:*  $\mathcal{I}$  is an QL interpretation over  $\mathbb{D}$  iff both:

- $\mathcal{I}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{D}$  for every constant  $\alpha$  in QL.
- $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{F}^n) \subseteq \mathbb{D}^n$  for every  $n$ -place predicate  $\mathcal{F}^n$ .

**Question 4:** What happens if  $\mathbb{D} = \emptyset$ ?

*Model:*  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{D}, \mathcal{I} \rangle$  is a model of QL iff  $\mathcal{I}$  is a QL interpretation over  $\mathbb{D} \neq \emptyset$ .

**Task 1:** Regiment and interpret the sentences above.

- $Dc, Lam, Bkbn$ .
- $\mathbb{D} = \{c, a, m, k, b, n\}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(D) = \{\langle c \rangle\}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(L) = \{\langle a, m \rangle\}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(B) = \{\langle k, b, n \rangle\}$ .
- $\mathcal{I}(c) = c, \mathcal{I}(a) = a, \dots$

*Lagadonian:* We often take constants to name themselves.

**Question 5:** Do models give us truth-values?

## Variable Assignments

*Assignments:* A variable assignment  $\hat{a}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{D}$  for every variable  $\alpha$  in QL.

*Singular Terms:* We may define the referent of  $\alpha$  in  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{D}, \mathcal{I} \rangle$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a constant} \\ \hat{a}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a variable.} \end{cases}$$

*Variants:* A  $\hat{c}$  is an  $\alpha$ -variant of  $\hat{a}$  iff  $\hat{c}(\beta) = \hat{a}(\beta)$  for all  $\beta \neq \alpha$ .

*Example:* Let  $\mathbb{D} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  where  $\hat{a}(x) = 1, \hat{a}(y) = 2$ , and  $\hat{a}(z) = 3$ .

**Task 2:** If  $\hat{c}$  is a  $y$ -variant of  $\hat{a}$ , what is  $\hat{c}(1), \hat{c}(2)$ , and  $\hat{c}(3)$ ?

## Example

*Universal:* Al loves everything, i.e.,  $\forall x Lax$ .

*Existential:* Someone is dancing, i.e.,  $\exists x (Px \wedge Dx)$ .

*Mixed:* Everyone loves someone, i.e.,  $\forall x (Px \supset \exists y Lxy)$ .

*Complex:* Everything everything loves loves something, i.e.,  $\forall x (\forall y Lyx \supset \exists z Lxz)$ .

## Semantics for QL

- (A)  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\mathcal{F}^n \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 1$  iff  $\langle \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\alpha_1), \dots, \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\alpha_n) \rangle \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{F}^n)$ .
- ( $\forall$ )  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\forall \alpha \varphi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{c}}(\varphi) = 1$  for every  $\alpha$ -variant  $\hat{c}$  of  $\hat{a}$ .
- ( $\exists$ )  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\exists \alpha \varphi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{c}}(\varphi) = 1$  for some  $\alpha$ -variant  $\hat{c}$  of  $\hat{a}$ .
- ( $\neg$ )  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\neg \varphi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi) = 0$ .
- ( $\vee$ )  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi \vee \psi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi) = 1$  or  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\psi) = 1$  (or both).
- ( $\wedge$ )  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi \wedge \psi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\psi) = 1$ .
- ( $\supset$ )  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi \supset \psi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi) = 0$  or  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\psi) = 1$  (or both).
- ( $\equiv$ )  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi \equiv \psi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\psi)$ .

## Truth and Entailment

*Truth:*  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}^{\hat{a}}(\varphi) = 1$  for some  $\hat{a}$  where  $\varphi$  is a sentence of QL.

*Satisfaction:*  $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathbb{D}, \mathcal{I} \rangle$  satisfies  $\Gamma$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = 1$  for every  $\varphi \in \Gamma$ .

*Singletons:* As before  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\varphi$  iff  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $\{\varphi\}$ .

*Entailment:*  $\Gamma \models \varphi$  just in case every model  $\mathcal{M}$  that satisfies  $\Gamma$  also satisfies  $\varphi$ .

*Tautology:*  $\varphi$  is a tautology iff  $\models \varphi$ .

*Contradiction:*  $\varphi$  is a contradiction iff  $\models \neg \varphi$ .

*Contingent:*  $\varphi$  is contingent iff  $\models \varphi$  and  $\not\models \neg \varphi$ .

*Consistent:*  $\Gamma$  is consistent iff  $\Gamma$  is satisfiable.

## Minimal Models

**Task 3:** Provide minimal models in which the examples above are true/false.

## Regimentation

- Every rose has its thorn.
- At least the guests that remained were pleased with the party.
- I haven't met a cat that likes Merra.
- Kate found a job that she loved.
- Everybody everybody loves loves somebody.
- No set is a member of itself.
- There is a set with no members.

## Arguments

*Love:* Regiment the following argument:

- Cam doesn't love anyone who loves him back.
  - May loves everyone who loves themselves.
- ∴ If Cam loves himself, he doesn't love May.

*Bigger:* Regiment the following argument:

- Whenever something is bigger than another, the latter is not bigger than the former.
- ∴ Nothing is bigger than itself.

## Relations

*Domain:* Let the domain  $D$  be any set.

*Relation:* A relation  $R$  on  $D$  is any subset of  $D^2$ .

*Reflexive:* A relation  $R$  is *reflexive* on  $D$  iff  $\langle x, x \rangle \in R$  for all  $x \in D$ .

*Non-Reflexive:* A relation  $R$  is *non-reflexive* on  $D$  iff  $R$  is not reflexive on  $D$ .

**Question 1:** What is it to be *irreflexive*?

*Irreflexive:* A relation  $R$  is *irreflexive* on  $D$  iff  $\langle x, x \rangle \notin R$  for all  $x \in D$ .

*Symmetric:* A relation  $R$  is *symmetric* iff  $\langle y, x \rangle \in R$  whenever  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ .

**Question 2:** Why don't we need to specify a domain?

**Question 3:** Why is a relation reflexive or irreflexive with respect to a domain?

*Asymmetric:* A relation  $R$  is *asymmetric* iff  $\langle y, x \rangle \notin R$  whenever  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ .

**Question 4:** What is it to be non-symmetric? How about non-asymmetric?

**Task 1:** Show that every asymmetric relation is irreflexive.

*Transitive:* A relation  $R$  is *transitive* iff  $\langle x, z \rangle \in R$  whenever  $\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, z \rangle \in R$ .

*Intransitive:* A relation  $R$  is *intransitive* iff  $\langle x, z \rangle \notin R$  whenever  $\langle x, y \rangle, \langle y, z \rangle \in R$ .

**Question 5:** Is every symmetric transitive relation reflexive? (No:  $R = \emptyset$ )

**Task 2:** Show that every transitive irreflexive relation asymmetric?

*Euclidean:* A relation  $R$  is *euclidean* iff  $\langle y, z \rangle \in R$  whenever  $\langle x, y \rangle, \langle x, z \rangle \in R$ .

**Task 3:** Show that every transitive symmetric relation is euclidean.