

# Syntax for $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$

LOGIC I

Benjamin Brast-McKie

September 10, 2024

## Object Language and Metalanguage

*Object Language:*  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$  is the OBJECT LANGUAGE under study.

*Metalanguage:* Mathematical English is the METALANGAUGE with which we will conduct our study.

*Quotation:* To talk about  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$  we will take a quoted expression to be the CANONICAL NAME for the expression quoted.

*Use/Mention:* We MENTION expressions by putting them in quotes, whereas otherwise they are USED.

- 'Sue' is a nickname for Susanna.
- The complex sentence ' $A \rightarrow B$ ' includes the sentence letters ' $A$ ' and ' $B$ '.
- ' $A$ ' belongs to  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ , but " $A$ " and  $A$  do not.

## The Expressions of $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$

*Sentential Operators:* ' $\neg$ ', ' $\wedge$ ', ' $\vee$ ', ' $\rightarrow$ ', and ' $\leftrightarrow$ '.

- ' $\sim$ ', ' $\&$ ', ' $\cdot$ ', ' $|$ ', ' $\supset$ ', and ' $\equiv$ ' are also sometimes used.

*Punctuation:* '(' and ')'.

*Sentence Letter:* ' $A_0$ ', ' $A_1$ ', ..., ' $B_0$ ', ' $B_1$ ', ..., ' $Z_0$ ', ' $Z_1$ ', ...

**Question:** How can we specify all sentence letter explicitly?

- A SENTENCE LETTER is the result of subscripting a capital English letter with a numeral.

*Corner Quotes:* Let ' $\ulcorner \varphi_x \urcorner$ ' refer to the result of concatenating  $\varphi$  with  $x$ .

- ' $\ulcorner \varphi_x \urcorner$ ' is a SENTENCE LETTER for any capital letter  $\varphi$  and numeral for a natural number  $x$ .

*Primitive Symbols:* The sentential operators, punctuation, and sentence letters are the PRIMITIVE SYMBOLS of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .

*Expressions:* The EXPRESSIONS of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$  are defined recursively:

- The primitive symbol of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$  are expression of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .
- If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are expressions of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ , then so is ' $\ulcorner \varphi \psi \urcorner$ '.
- Nothing else is an expression of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .

## The Sentences of $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$

*Uninterpretable:* The expressions ' $\neg\neg\neg\neg$ ', ' $B_3A_0$ ', ' $\neg\neg\neg\neg$ ', and ' $A_4\vee$ ' cannot be assigned truth-values in a meaningful way.

- Compare 'MIT is in session' and ' $A_4 \wedge P_1$ '.

*Well-Formed Sentences:* Letting  $\varphi, \psi, \chi, \dots$  be variables with expressions for values, we may define the wfss of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$  as follows:

- Every sentence letter of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$  is a wfs of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .
- If the expressions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are wfss of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ , then:
  1.  $\neg\varphi$  is a wff of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ ;
  2.  $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$  is a wff of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ ;
  3.  $(\varphi \vee \psi)$  is a wff of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ ;
  4.  $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$  is a wff of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ ; and
  5.  $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$  is a wff of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .
- Nothing else is a wff of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .

*Sentential Variables:* We will often restrict ' $\varphi$ ', ' $\psi$ ', ' $\chi$ ', ... to the wfs of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .

*Main Operator:* The MAIN OPERATOR is the last operator used in the construction of a sentence.

*Arguments:* The inputs to a main operator are its ARGUMENTS.

*Scope:* The main operator has SCOPE over its arguments.

## Metalinguistic Conventions

*Subscripts:* We will suppress the subscript ' $_0$ ' to ease exposition.

**Task:** Build increasingly complex sentences from just A.

*Naming:* We will refer to the NEGAND in a NEGATION, the CONJUNCTS in a CONJUNCTION, the DISJUNCTS in a DISJUNCTION, the ANTECEDENT and CONSEQUENT in a MATERIAL CONDITIONAL, and the ARGUMENTS in a MATERIAL BICONDITIONAL.

*Quotation:* We will sometimes drop quotes and corner quotes when the intended meaning is clear from the context.

- We will only do so when this improves readability.

*Punctuation:* We will drop outermost parentheses for ease.

- Compare  $A \wedge B$ ,  $A \vee B \vee C$ , and  $A \vee B \wedge C$ .

*Therefore:* We will use ' $\therefore$ ' for inline arguments.

*Metalinguistic:* These abbreviations all happen in the metalanguage.

## Truth Functionality

*Interpretations:* Improving on last time, an INTERPRETATION  $\mathcal{I}$  is an assignment of truth-values to sentence letters of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .

*Valuation:* We may then define a VALUATION function  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}$  which assigns truth-values to every sentence of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$  by way of the following semantic clauses:

- $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi)$  if  $\varphi$  is a sentence letter of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .
- $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\neg\varphi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = 0$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) \neq 1$ ).
- $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi \wedge \psi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\psi) = 1$ .
- $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi \vee \psi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = 1$  or  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\psi) = 1$  (or both).
- $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = 0$  or  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\psi) = 1$  (or both).
- $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) = 1$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\psi)$ .

**Observe:** These clauses resemble the composition rules for  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ .

*Homophonic Semantics:* The clauses for  $\neg$ ,  $\wedge$ , and  $\vee$  use analogous operators in the metalanguage, but not so for  $\rightarrow$  and  $\leftrightarrow$ .

*Truth Tables:* Use the semantics to fill out the TRUTH TABLES below:

$\varphi$	$\neg\varphi$	$\varphi$	$\psi$	$\varphi \wedge \psi$	$\varphi \vee \psi$	$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$	$\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
		0	1	0	1	1	0
		0	0	0	0	1	1

*Truth Functions:* The sentential operators express truth-functions, and so are often called TRUTH-FUNCTIONAL OPERATORS.

**Question:** How many unary/binary truth-functions are there?

*Adequacy:* Given these limitations, what should we hope to be able to adequately regiment in  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$ ?

*Logical Truths:*  $\varphi$  is a LOGICAL TRUTH of  $\mathcal{L}^{\text{PL}}$  iff  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi) = 1$  for all  $\mathcal{I}$ .