

# Self Reference

PARADOX AND INFINITY

Benjamin Brast-McKie

February 28, 2024

## An Untyped Language

*Vicious Circle Principle:* “Whatever contains an apparent variable must not be a possible value of that variable.”

*Language:* Names  $c_1, c_2, \dots \in C$ , variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in V$ , predicates  $R_1, R_2, \dots \in P$ , operators  $\neg, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \forall\alpha, \exists\alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is any variable.

*Formulas:* The set of *formulas*  $F$  is defined recursively:

- $R(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a formula in  $F$  if  $R \in P$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in C \cup V$ .
- $z(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$  is a formula in  $F$  if  $z \in V$  and  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in C \cup V \cup F$ .
- $\neg\varphi, \varphi \vee \psi, \varphi \wedge \psi, \dots, \forall\alpha\varphi, \exists\alpha\varphi$  are formulas in  $F$  if  $\varphi, \psi \in F$  and  $\alpha \in V$ .
- Nothing else is a formula in  $F$ .

*Example:* In the following examples  $z$  is of higher type:

- Mathematical induction shows that for any property  $z$ , if  $z(0)$  and  $z(x')$  whenever  $z(x)$ , then  $z(x)$  for all numbers  $x$ .
- $\forall z(z \vee \neg z)$ .

*Self Reference:* Observe that  $\neg z(z)$  is a formula, but it will not have a type.

## Ramified Theory of Types

*Simple Types:* The simple types will be defined recursively.

- 0 is the simple type of *individuals*.
- If  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are simple types, then  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a simple type.
- Nothing else is a simple type.

*Example:* ‘Kim’ is type 0, ‘is running’ is type (0), and ‘Kim is running’ is type ().

*Ramified:* Also defined recursively:

- $0^0$  is a ramified type.
- If  $t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n}$  are ramified types,  $o \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $o > \max\{o_1, \dots, o_n\}$ , then  $(t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n})^o$  is a ramified type where  $o \geq 0$  if  $n = 0$ .
- Nothing else is a ramified type.

*Example:* ‘Kim’ is type  $0^0$ , ‘is running’ is type  $(0^0)^1$ , and ‘Kim is running’ is type  $()^1$ .

*Predicative Types:*  $t^o$  is *predicative* if  $o$  is as low as it can be, c.f.,  $(0^0)^2$ .

## A Typed Language

*Atomic Formulas:*  $R(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  is *atomic* if  $R$  is a predicate and  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are constants.

*Typed Expressions:* The expressions of the language will be typed recursively.

- $c : 0^0$  if  $c$  is a constant.
- $\varphi : ()^0$  if  $\varphi$  is atomic.
- If  $\varphi : (t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n})^a$  and  $\psi : (d_1^{r_1}, \dots, d_n^{r_n})^b$ , then:
  - $\neg\varphi : (t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n})^a$ .
  - $\varphi \vee \psi : (t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n}, d_1^{r_1}, \dots, d_n^{r_n})^{\max\{a,b\}}$ .
  - $\vdots$
- If  $(t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n})^a$  is predicative and  $\varphi_1 : t_1^{o_1}, \dots, \varphi_n : t_n^{o_n}$  for expressions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ , then  $z(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n) : ((t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n})^a, t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n})^{a+1}$ .
- If  $\varphi : (t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n})^a$ , then  $\forall x : t_i^{o_i} \varphi : (t_1^{o_1}, \dots, t_n^{o_n})^a$ .
- There is more that we won't get into...

*Typed Formulas:* A *typed formula* is a typed expression that is a formula.

- $\neg z(z)$  is a formula, but cannot be typed.
- $\forall z(z \vee \neg z)$  can be typed.

*Type Restrictions:* "Whatever contains an apparent variable must be of a different type from the possible values of that variable..."

## Axiom of Reducibility

*Identity of Indiscernibles:*  $x = y$  iff  $\forall z[z(x) \leftrightarrow z(y)]$ .

*Stratification:* For each order  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we may articulate a version of the principle above:  $x = y$  iff  $\forall z : (0^0)^n[z(x) \leftrightarrow z(y)]$ .

*Planets Example:* Do Hesperus and Phosphorus have the same first-order properties?

- But what if they differ on second-order properties?
- Maybe someone loves Hesperus but no one loves Phosphorus.

*Induction Example:* "A finite number is one which possesses *all* properties possessed by 0 and by the successors of all numbers possessing them."

- If something holds of all first-order properties, why think it holds for all higher-order properties?

*Axiom of Reducibility:* "[E]very propositional function is equivalent, for all its values, to some predicative function." (pp. 242-3)

*Translation:* Every typed formula is logically equivalent to some formula with a predicative type, and so:  $x = y$  iff  $\forall z : (0^0)^1[z(x) \leftrightarrow z(y)]$ .