

# The Metaphysics of Time

PARADOX AND INFINITY

Benjamin Brast-McKie

April 8, 2024

## Ameliorating Intuitions

*Time:* Calling something a theory of time does not make it a theory of time.

- Must fill the appropriate theoretical role, conforming to a significant extent with our intuitions.
- Compare a theory of sets that rejects extensionality.
- Or a theory of identity that rejects reflexivity.

*Pre-Theory:* Intuitions correspond to common ways of speaking about time.

- These ways of speaking serve our practical aims.
- Nothing as systematic as talk of sets used naively in mathematics.
- Our aim is to improve on this situation.

## The B-Series

*Earlier-Than:* An asymmetric and transitive relation over events.

- The ordering of events by the earlier-than relation is called the B-series.

*Events:* Queen Anne's death; the poker is hot.

- Events may be understood roughly as instantaneous configurations.
- Does not capture a natural way of speaking about extended events.
- Could replace 'events' with 'states' or 'propositions'.

*Russell:* An event is past, present, or future only in relation to an event in time.

- Typically it is the event of assertion that we intend to relate.
- Queen Anne's death is past in relation to the present assertion event.
- But events are never past, present, or future *simpliciter*.

*No Change:* If  $e_1$  is earlier than  $e_2$ , then  $e_1$  is *always* earlier than  $e_2$ .

- The B-series does not change.
- Can a change be  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$  where  $e_1$  is earlier than  $e_2$ ?
- The poker being hot ( $e_1$ ) is earlier than the poker being cool ( $e_2$ ).

*Space:* Compare "change" over space.

- The tip of the poker is hot; the handle of the poker is not hot.
- But the poker need not change for this to be true.
- How does change over time differ from "change" over space?

## The A-Series

*Change:* Events change from being future, then present, then past.

- A-series: *past, present, future*.
- Without the A-series there is no change at all.
- Everything in time must have each of the A-series properties.

*Relational Properties:* Some properties include other objects.

- *Being North of London* is a relational property (includes London).
- Non-relational properties may be called *absolute*.

*Atemporal:* At most, A-series properties relate events to something outside of time.

- If *past* is a relational property, it does not relate two events in time.
- Let ' $P(e, x)$ ' read '*e is past relative to x*'.
- If  $x$  is an event,  $P(e, x)$  is always or never the case, so cannot change.

*Spotlight:* What do the A-series properties include if not other events?

- *Was in, is in, will be in* "the spotlight."
- B-series as moving through the A-series.
- A-series as moving over the B-series.
- Film projector metaphor: was projected, is projected, will be projected.

*Absolute:* A-series properties may just as well be taken to be absolute.

- Either way, the A-series properties are incompatible.
- $Pe \vdash \neg Ne \wedge \neg Fe; Ne \vdash \neg Pe \wedge \neg Fe; Fe \vdash \neg Pe \wedge \neg Ne$ .

## Paradox

*Argument 1:* The A-series is essential to the reality of time.

- P1** If time is real, then events change.
- P2** If an event changes, then its A-series properties are what change.
- P3** If an event's A-series properties change, events have A-series properties.
- C1** Therefore, if time is real, then events have A-series properties.

*Argument 2:* Events do not have A-series properties.

- P4** If an event has an A-series property, it has every A-series property.
- P5** The A-series properties are incompatible.
- C2** There are no events that have A-series properties.

*Argument 3:* Putting these first two arguments together, McTaggart concludes:

- C3** Time is not real.