

# **Chapter 9. Records**

This chapter describes the means by which the programmer may define new data types, or *records types*, each distinct from all other types. A record type determines the number and names of the fields each instance of the type has. Records are defined via the define-record-type form or the make-record-type-descriptor procedure.

form and procedure的区别是什么?

form应该是用define-syntax来扩展的

## **Section 9.1. Defining Records**

A define-record-type form defines a record type and, along with it, a constructor procedure for records of the type, a type predicate that returns true only for records of the type, an access procedure for each field, and an assignment procedure for each mutable field. For example, the definition

```
(define-record-type point (fields x y))
```

creates a point record type with two fields, x and y, and defines the following procedures:

```
(make-point x y) constructor名字: 约定大于配置(point? obj)predicate(point-x p)accessor for field x(point-y p)accessor for field y
```

With this definition in place, we can use these procedures to create and manipulate records of the point type, as illustrated below.

```
(define p (make-point 36 -17))

(point? p) \Rightarrow #t

(point? '(cons 36 -17)) \Rightarrow #f

(point-x p) \Rightarrow 36

(point-y p) \Rightarrow -17
```

Fields are immutable by default, but may be declared mutable. In the alternate definition of point below, the x field is mutable while y remains immutable.

```
(define-record-type point (fields (mutable x) y))
```

In this case, define-record-type defines a mutator for the x field in addition to the other products shown above.

```
(point-x-set! p x) mutator for field x
```

The mutator can be used to change the contents of the x field.

```
(define p (make-point 36 -17))
(point-x-set! p (- (point-x p) 12))
(point-x p) \Rightarrow 24
```

A field may be declared immutable explicitly for clarity; the definition of point below is equivalent to the second definition above.

```
(define-record-type point (fields (mutable x) (immutable y)))
```

The names of the procedures defined by define-record-type follow the regular naming convention illustrated by the examples above, by default, but the programmer can override the defaults if desired. With the following definition of point, the constructor is mkpoint, the predicate is ispoint?, and the accessors for x and y are x-val and y-val. The mutator for x is set-x-val!.

By default, a record definition creates a new type each time it is evaluated, as illustrated by the example below.

```
(define (f p)
  (define-record-type point (fields x y))
  (if (eq? p 'make) (make-point 3 4) (point? p)))
(f (f 'make)) ⇒ #f
```

The first (inner) call to f returns a point p, which is passed to f in the second (outer) call, which applies point? to p. This point? is looking for points of the type created by the second call, while p is a point of the type created by the first call. So point? returns #f.

定义了两次,所以拿第二个point?来测原来的point

This default *generative* behavior may be overridden by including a nongenerative clause in the record definition.

```
(define (f p)
  (define-record-type point (fields x y) (nongenerative))
  (if (eq? p 'make) (make-point 3 4) (point? p)))
(define p (f 'make))
(f p) ⇒ #t
```

Record types created in this manner are still distinct from record types created by a definition appearing in a different part of the program, even if the definitions are syntactically identical:

```
(define (f)
  (define-record-type point (fields x y) (nongenerative))
  (make-point 3 4))
(define (g p)
```

```
(define-record-type point (fields x y) (nongenerative)) (point? p))
(g (f)) \Rightarrow #f
```

Even this can be overridden by including a uid (unique id) in the nongenerative clause:

```
(define (f)
  (define-record-type point (fields x y)
        (nongenerative really-the-same-point))
  (make-point 3 4))
(define (g p)
  (define-record-type point (fields x y)
        (nongenerative really-the-same-point))
  (point? p))
(g (f)) ⇒ #t
```

The uid may be any identifier, but programmers are encouraged to select uids from the RFC 4122 UUID namespace [20], possibly with the record-type name as a prefix.

A record type may be defined as a subtype of an existing "parent" type with a parent clause that declares the name of the existing record type. If a parent is specified, the new "child" record type inherits the parent record's fields, and each instance of the child type is considered to be an instance of the parent type, so that accessors and mutators for the parent type may be used on instances of the child type.

```
(define-record-type point (fields x y))
(define-record-type cpoint (parent point) (fields color))
```

The child type has all of the fields of the parent type, plus the additional fields declared in the child's definition. This is reflected in the constructor for <code>cpoint</code>, which now takes three arguments, with the parent arguments followed by the child argument.

```
(define cp (make-cpoint 3 4 'red))
```

A record of the child type is considered a record of the parent type, but a record of the parent type is not a record of the new type.

类型的继承

```
(point? (make-cpoint 3 4 'red)) ⇒ #t
(cpoint? (make-point 3 4)) ⇒ #f
```

Only one new accessor is created for cpoint, the one for the new field color. The existing accessors and mutators for the parent type may be used to access and modify the parent fields of the child type.

```
1. 取字段不是很方便,
(define cp (make-cpoint 3 4 'red)) 要弄清楚当前字段所属具体类型。
(point-x cp) ⇒ 3
(point-y cp) ⇒ 4
(cpoint-color cp) ⇒ red

1. 取字段不是很方便,
要弄清楚当前字段所属具体类型。
2. 构造时当属性字段太多时不是很方便
```

As the examples given so far illustrate, the default constructor defined by define-record-type accepts as many arguments as the record has fields, including parent fields, and parent's parent fields, and so on. The programmer may override the default and specify the arguments to the constructor for the new type and how it determines the initial values of the constructed record's fields, via the protocol clause. The following definition creates a point record with three fields: x, y, and d, where d represents the displacement from the origin. The constructor still takes only two arguments, the x and y values, and initializes d to the square root of the sum of the squares of x and y.

```
(point-y p) \Rightarrow 4 (point-d p) \Rightarrow 5
```

The procedure value of the expression within the protocol clause receives as an argument a primitive constructor new and returns a final constructor c. There are essentially no limits on what c is allowed to do, but if it returns, it should return the result of calling new. Before it does so, it may modify the new record instance (if the record type has mutable fields), register it with some external handler, print messages, etc. In this case, c accepts two arguments, x and y, and applies new to x, y, and the result of computing the origin displacement based on x and y.

If a parent record is specified, the construction protocol becomes more involved. The following definition of cpoint assumes that point has been defined as shown just above.

```
(define-record-type cpoint
  (parent point)
  (fields color)
  (protocol
      (lambda (pargs->new)
            ((pargs->new x y) c)))))
(define cp (make-cpoint 'red 3 4))
  (point-x cp) ⇒ 3
  (point-y cp) ⇒ 4
  (point-d cp) ⇒ 5
  (cpoint-color cp) ⇒ red
```

Because a parent clause is present, the procedure value of the expression within the protocol clause receives a procedure pargs->new that, when applied to parent arguments, returns a new procedure. The new procedure, when passed the values of the child fields, returns the result of applying the parent protocol to an appropriate new procedure of its own. In this case, pargs->new is passed the values of the child constructor's second and third arguments (the x and y values) and the resulting new procedure is passed the value of the child constructor's first argument (the color). Thus, the protocol supplied in this example effectively reverses the normal order of arguments in which the parent arguments come before the child arguments, while arranging to pass along the arguments needed by the parent protocol.

The default protocol is equivalent to

```
(lambda (new) new)
```

for record types with no parents, while for record types with parents, the default protocol is equivalent to the following

—开始猜错了,我还以为函数默认支持curry呢

```
(lambda (pargs->new) 
 (lambda (x_1 ... x_n y_1 ... y_m) 
 ((pargs->new x_1 ... x_n) y_1 ... y_m)))
```

where n is the number of parent (including grandparent, etc.) fields and m is the number of child fields.

Use of the protocol clause insulates the child record definition from some changes to the parent record type. The parent definition may be modified to add or remove fields, or even add, remove, or change a parent, yet the child protocol and constructor need not change as long as the parent protocol does not change.

Additional details and options for define-record-type are given in its formal description below.

```
syntax: (define-record-type record-name clause ...)
syntax: (define-record-type (record-name constructor pred) clause ...)
libraries: (rnrs records syntactic), (rnrs)
```

A define-record-type form, or *record definition*, is a definition and may appear anywhere other definitions may appear. It defines a record type identified by *record-name*, plus a predicate, constructor, accessors, and mutators for the record type. If the record definition takes the first form above, the names of the constructor

and predicate are derived from record-name: make-record-name for the constructor and record-name? for the predicate. If the record definition takes the second form above, the name of the constructor is constructor and the name of the predicate is pred. All names defined by a record definition are scoped where the record definition appears.

The clauses clause ... of the record definition determine the fields of the record type and the names of their accessors and mutators; its parent type, if any; its construction protocol; whether it is nongenerative and, if so, whether its uid is specified; whether it is sealed; and whether it is opaque. The syntax and impact of each clause is described below.

sealed 和 opaque 是什么呢?

None of the clauses is required; thus, the simplest record definition is

```
(define-record-type record-name)
```

which defines a new, generative, non-sealed, non-opaque record type with no parent and no fields, plus a constructor of no arguments and a predicate.

At most one of each kind of clause may be present in the set of clauses, and if a parent clause is present, a parent-rtd clause must not be present. The clauses that appear may appear in any order.

**Fields clause.** A (fields field-spec ...) clause declares the fields of the record type. Each field-spec must take one of the following forms:

```
field-name
(immmutable field-name)
(mutable field-name)
(immmutable field-name accessor-name)
(mutable field-name accessor-name mutator-name)
```

where field-name, accessor-name, and mutator-name are identifiers. The first form, field-name, is equivalent to (immutable field-name). The value of a field declared immutable may not be changed, and no mutator is created for it. With the first three forms, the name of the accessor is rname-fname, where rname is the record name and fname is the field name. With the third form, the name of the accessor is rname-fname-set!. The fourth and fifth forms explicitly declare the accessor and mutator names.

If no fields clause is present or the list field-spec ... is empty, the record type has no fields (other than parent fields, if any). 根据这个,我猜出来了,sealed recored type应该不能被继承,所以叫封闭的结构类型

**Parent clause.** A (parent parent-name) clause declares the parent record type; parent-name must be the name of a non-sealed record type previously defined via define-record-type. Instances of a record type are also considered instances of its parent record type and have all the fields of its parent record type in addition to those declared via the fields clause.

**Nongenerative clause.** A nongenerative clause may take one of two forms:

```
(nongenerative)
(nongenerative uid)
这个特性超强大哦
```

where *uid* is a symbol. The first form is equivalent to the second, with a uid generated by the implementation at macro-expansion time. When a define-record-type form with a nongenerative clause is evaluated, a new type is created if and only if the uid is not the uid of an existing record type.

If it is the uid of an existing record type, the parent, field-names, sealed property, and opaque property must match as follows.

- If a parent is specified, the existing record type must have the same parent rtd (by eqv?). If a parent is not specified, the existing record type must not have a parent.
- The same number of fields must be provided, with the same names and in the same order, and the mutability of each field must be the same.

- If a (sealed #t) clause is present, the existing record type must be sealed. Otherwise, the existing record type must not be sealed.
- If an (opaque #t) clause is present, the existing record type must be opaque. Otherwise, the existing record type must be opaque if and only if an opaque parent type is specified.

If these constraints are met, no new record type is created, and the other products of the record-type definition (constructor, predicate, accessors, and mutators) operate on records of the existing type. If these constraints are not met, the implementation may treat it as a syntax violation, or it may raise a run-time exception with condition type &assertion.

With the first form of nongenerative clause, the generated uid can be the uid of an existing record type only if the same definition is executed multiple times, e.g., if it appears in the body of a procedure that is invoked multiple times.

If *uid* is not the uid of an existing record type, or if no nongenerative clause is present, a new record type is created.

**Protocol clause.** A (protocol *expression*) determines the protocol that the generated constructor uses to construct instances of the record type. It must evaluate to a procedure, and this procedure should be an appropriate protocol for the record type, as described on page <u>326</u>.

**Sealed clause.** A sealed clause of the form (sealed #t) declares that the record type is *sealed*. This means that it cannot be extended, i.e., cannot be used as the parent for another record definition or make-record-type-descriptor call. If no sealed clause is present or if one of the form (sealed #f) is present, the record type is not sealed.

Opaque clause. An opaque clause of the form (opaque #t) declares that the record type is *opaque*. Instances of an opaque record type are not considered records by the record? predicate or, more importantly, the rtd-extraction procedure record-rtd, which are both described in Section 9.3. Thus, it is not possible for code that does not have access to the record-name, accessors, or mutators to access or modify any of the fields of an opaque record type. A record type is also opaque if its parent is opaque. If no opaque clause is present or if one of the form (opaque #f) is present, and the parent, if any, is not opaque, the record type is not opaque.

**Parent-rtd clause.** A (parent-rtd parent-rtd parent-rcd) clause is an alternative to the parent clause for specifying the parent record type, along with a parent record constructor descriptor. It is primarily useful when the parent rtd and rcd were obtained via calls to make-record-type-descriptor and make-record-constructor-descriptor.

parent-rtd must evaluate to an rtd or #f. If parent-rtd evaluates to #f, parent-rcd must also evaluate to #f. Otherwise, parent-rcd must evaluate to an rcd or #f. If parent-rcd evaluates to an rcd, it must encapsulate an rtd equivalent (by eqv?) to the value of parent-rtd. If the value of parent-rcd is #f, it is treated as an rcd for the value of parent-rtd with a default protocol. 这段话霹雳扒拉说啥看不懂

The define-record-type form is designed in such a way that it is normally possible for a compiler to determine the shapes of the record types it defines, including the offsets for all fields. This guarantee does not hold, however, when the parent-rtd clause is used, since the parent rtd might not be determinable until run time. Thus, the parent clause is preferred over the parent-rtd clause whenever the parent clause suffices.

syntax: fields
syntax: mutable
syntax: immutable
syntax: parent
syntax: protocol
syntax: sealed
syntax: opaque

syntax: nongenerative

### parent-rtd干什么用不还清楚,有可能是运行时继承?

syntax: parent-rtd

libraries: (rnrs records syntactic), (rnrs)

These identifiers are auxiliary keywords for define-record-type. It is a syntax violation to reference these identifiers except in contexts where they are recognized as auxiliary keywords.

#### Section 9.2. Procedural Interface

The procedural (make-record-type-descriptor) interface may also be used to create new record types. The procedural interface is more flexible than the syntactic interface, but this flexibility can lead to less readable and efficient programs, so programmers should use the syntactic interface whenever it suffices.

```
procedure: (make-record-type-descriptor name parent uid s? o? fields) returns: a record-type descriptor (rtd) for a new or existing record type libraries: (rnrs records procedural), (rnrs)
```

name must be a symbol, parent must be #f or the rtd of a non-sealed record type, uid must be #f or a symbol, and fields must be a vector, each element of which is a two-element list of the form (mutable field-name) or (immutable field-name). The field names field-name ... must be symbols and need not be distinct from each other.

If uid is #f or is not the uid of an existing record type, this procedure creates a new record type and returns a record-type descriptor (rtd) for the new type. The type has the parent type (page 325) described by parent, if nonfalse; the uid specified by uid, if nonfalse; and the fields specified by fields. It is sealed (page 330) if s? is nonfalse. It is opaque (page 330) if opaque is nonfalse or the parent (if specified) is opaque. The name of the new record type is name and the names of the fields are field-name ....

If uid is nonfalse and is the uid (page 325) of an existing record type, the parent, fields, s?, and o? arguments must match the corresponding characteristics of the existing record type. That is, parent must be the same (by eqv?); the number of fields must be the same; the fields must have the same names, be in the same order, and have the same mutability; s? must be false if and only if the existing record type is sealed; and, if a parent is not specified or is not opaque, o? must be false if and only if the existing record type is opaque. If this is the case, make-record-type-descriptor returns the rtd for the existing record type. Otherwise, an exception with condition type &assertion is raised.

Using the rtd returned by make-record-type-descriptor, programs can generate constructors, type predicates, field accessors, and field mutators dynamically. The following code demonstrates how the procedural interface might be used to create a point record type and associated definitions similar to those of the second point record definition in Section 9.1, with a mutable x field and an immutable y field.

See the additional examples given at the end of this section.

```
procedure: (record-type-descriptor? obj)
returns: #f if obj is a record-type descriptor, otherwise #f
libraries: (rnrs records procedural), (rnrs)
```

See the examples given at the end of this section.

```
procedure: (make-record-constructor-descriptor rtd parent-rcd protocol)
returns: a record-constructor descriptor (rcd)
```

libraries: (rnrs records procedural), (rnrs)

An rtd alone is sufficient to create predicates, accessors, and mutators. To create a constructor, however, it is first necessary to create a record-constructor descriptor (rcd) for the record type. An rcd encapsulates three pieces of information: the rtd of the record type for which the rcd has been created, the parent rcd (if any), and the protocol.

The parent-rcd argument must be an rcd or #f. If it is an rcd, rtd must have a parent rtd, and the parent rtd must be the same as the rtd encapsulated within parent-rcd. If parent-rcd is false, either rtd has no parent or an rcd with a default protocol is assumed for the parent.

The *protocol* argument must be a procedure or #f. If it is #f, a default protocol is assumed. Protocols are discussed on page 326.

See the examples given at the end of this section.

syntax: (record-type-descriptor record-name)
returns: the rtd for the record type identified by record-name
syntax: (record-constructor-descriptor record-name)
returns: the rcd for the record type identified by record-name

libraries: (rnrs records syntactic), (rnrs)

Each record definition creates, behind the scenes, an rtd and rcd for the defined record type. These procedures allow the rtd and rcd to be obtained and used like any other rtd or rcd. record-name must be the name of a record previously defined via define-record-type.

**procedure**: (record-constructor rcd) **returns:** a record constructor for the record type encapsulated within rcd

libraries: (rnrs records procedural), (rnrs)

The behavior of the record constructor is determined by the protocol and parent rcd (if any) also encapsulated within rcd.

See the examples given at the end of this section.

procedure: (record-predicate rtd)

returns: a predicate for rtd

libraries: (rnrs records procedural), (rnrs)

This procedure returns a predicate that accepts one argument and returns #t if the argument is an instance of the record-type described by rtd, #f otherwise.

See the examples given at the end of this section.

procedure: (record-accessor rtd idx)

returns: an accessor for the field of rtd specified by idx

libraries: (rnrs records procedural), (rnrs)

idx must be a nonnegative integer less than the number of fields of rtd, not counting parent fields. An idx value of 0 specifies the first field given in the define-record-type form or make-record-type-descriptor call that created the record type, 1 specifies the second, and so on.

A child rtd cannot be used directly to create accessors for parent fields. To create an accessor for a parent field, the record-type descriptor of the parent must be used instead.

See the examples given at the end of this section.

procedure: (record-mutator rtd idx)

returns: a mutator for the field of rtd specified by idx libraries: (rnrs records procedural), (rnrs)

idx must be a nonnegative integer less than the number of fields of rtd, not counting parent fields. An idx value of 0 specifies the first field given in the define-record-type form or make-record-type-descriptor call that created the record type, 1 specifies the second, and so on. The indicated field must be mutable; otherwise, an exception with condition type &assertion is raised.

A child rtd cannot be used directly to create mutators for parent fields. To create a mutator for a parent field, the record-type descriptor of the parent must be used instead.

The following example illustrates the creation of parent and child record types, predicates, accessors, mutators, and constructors using the procedures described in this section.

```
(define rtd/parent
  (make-record-type-descriptor 'parent #f #f #f #f
    '#((mutable x))))
(record-type-descriptor? rtd/parent) ⇒ #t
(define parent? (record-predicate rtd/parent))
(define parent-x (record-accessor rtd/parent 0))
(define set-parent-x! (record-mutator rtd/parent 0))
(define rtd/child
  (make-record-type-descriptor 'child rtd/parent #f #f #f
    '#((mutable x) (immutable y))))
(define child? (record-predicate rtd/child))
(define child-x (record-accessor rtd/child 0))
(define set-child-x! (record-mutator rtd/child 0))
(define child-y (record-accessor rtd/child 1))
(record-mutator rtd/child 1) ⇒ exception: immutable field
(define rcd/parent
  (make-record-constructor-descriptor rtd/parent #f
    (lambda (new) (lambda (x) (new (* x x))))))
(record-type-descriptor? rcd/parent) ⇒ #f
(define make-parent (record-constructor rcd/parent))
(define p (make-parent 10))
(parent? p) \Rightarrow #t
(parent-x p) \Rightarrow 100
(set-parent-x! p 150)
(parent-x p) \Rightarrow 150
(define rcd/child
  (make-record-constructor-descriptor rtd/child rcd/parent
    (lambda (pargs->new)
      (lambda (x y)
        ((pargs->new x) (+ x 5) y)))))
(define make-child (record-constructor rcd/child))
(define c (make-child 10 'cc))
(parent? c) \Rightarrow #t
(child? c) ⇒ #t
(child? p) \Rightarrow #f
(parent-x c) \Rightarrow 100
(child-x c) \Rightarrow 15
(child-y c) \Rightarrow cc
(child-x p) ⇒ exception: invalid argument type
```

## **Section 9.3. Inspection**

This section describes various procedures for asking questions about or extracting information from record-type descriptors (rtds). It also describes the record-rtd procedure, with which the rtd of a non-opaque record instance may be extracted, allowing the record type of the instance to be inspected and, via record accessors and mutators generated from the rtd, the record itself to be inspected or modified. This is a powerful feature that permits the coding of portable record printers and inspectors.

The record-type descriptor cannot be extracted from an instance of an opaque record type; this is the feature that distinguishes opaque from non-opaque record types. 看来opaque的含义也明了了, opaque时,数据结构中将不包含一些record的类型信息 procedure: (record-type-name rtd) returns: the name associated with rtd 因此反射不出来了 libraries: (rnrs records inspection), (rnrs) (define record->name record-rtd应该是指向一个record的结构信息 (lambda (x) (and (record? x) (record-type-name (record-rtd x))))) (define-record-type dim (fields w l h)) (record->name (make-dim 10 15 6)) ⇒ dim (define-record-type dim (fields w l h) (opaque #t))  $(record - name (make - dim 10 15 6)) \Rightarrow #f$ procedure: (record-type-parent rtd) returns: the parent of rtd, or #f if it has no parent libraries: (rnrs records inspection), (rnrs) (define-record-type point (fields x y)) (define-record-type cpoint (parent point) (fields color)) (record-type-parent (record-type-descriptor point)) ⇒ #f (record-type-parent (record-type-descriptor cpoint)) ⇒ #<rtd> procedure: (record-type-uid rtd) returns: the uid of rtd, or #f if it has no uid libraries: (rnrs records inspection), (rnrs) Whether a record type created without a programmer-supplied uid actually has one anyway is left up to the implementation, so this procedure is never guaranteed to return #f. (define-record-type point (fields x y)) (define-record-type cpoint (parent point) (fields color) (nongenerative e40cc926-8cf4-4559-a47c-cac636630314)) (record-type-uid (record-type-descriptor point)) ⇒ unspecified (record-type-uid (record-type-descriptor cpoint)) ⇒ e40cc926-8cf4-4559-a47c-cac636630314 procedure: (record-type-generative? rtd) returns: #t if the record type described by rtd is generative, #f otherwise procedure: (record-type-sealed? rtd) returns: #t if the record type described by rtd is sealed, #f otherwise procedure: (record-type-opaque? rtd) **returns:** #t if the record type described by rtd is opaque, #f otherwise libraries: (rnrs records inspection), (rnrs) (define-record-type table (fields keys vals) (opaque #t)) (define rtd (record-type-descriptor table)) (record-type-generative? rtd) ⇒ #t

(record-type-sealed? rtd) ⇒ #f
(record-type-opaque? rtd) ⇒ #t

```
(define-record-type cache-table
  (parent table)
  (fields key val)
  (nongenerative))
(define rtd (record-type-descriptor cache-table))
(record-type-generative? rtd) ⇒ #f
(record-type-sealed? rtd) ⇒ #f
(record-type-opaque? rtd) ⇒ #t

procedure: (record-type-field-names rtd)
returns: a vector containing the names of the fields of the type described by rtd
libraries: (rnrs records inspection), (rnrs)
```

The vector returned by this procedure is immutable: the effect on *rtd* of modifying it is unspecified. The vector does not include parent field names. The order of the names in the vector is the same as the order in which the fields were specified in the define-record-type form or make-record-type-descriptor call that created the record type.

```
(define-record-type point (fields x y))
(define-record-type cpoint (parent point) (fields color))
(record-type-field-names
   (record-type-descriptor point)) ⇒ #(x y)
(record-type-field-names
   (record-type-descriptor cpoint)) ⇒ #(color)

procedure: (record-field-mutable? rtd idx)
returns: #t if the specified field of rtd is mutable, #f otherwise
libraries: (rnrs records inspection), (rnrs)
```

idx must be a nonnegative integer less than the number of fields of rtd, not counting parent fields. An idx value of 0 specifies the first field given in the define-record-type form or make-record-type-descriptor call that created the record type, 1 specifies the second, and so on.

```
(define-record-type point (fields (mutable x) (mutable y)))
(define-record-type cpoint (parent point) (fields color))

(record-field-mutable? (record-type-descriptor point) 0) ⇒ #t
(record-field-mutable? (record-type-descriptor cpoint) 0) ⇒ #f

procedure: (record? obj)
returns: #t if obj is a non-opaque record instance, #f otherwise
libraries: (rnrs records inspection), (rnrs)
```

When passed an instance of an opaque record type, record? returns #f. While an instance of an opaque record type is, in essence, a record, the point of opacity is to hide all representation information from the parts of a program that should not have access to the information, and this includes whether an object is a record. Furthermore, the primary purpose of this predicate is to allow programs to check whether it is possible to obtain from the argument an rtd via the record-rtd procedure described below.

```
(define-record-type statement (fields str))
(define q (make-statement "He's dead, Jim"))
(statement? q) \Rightarrow #t
(record? q) \Rightarrow #t

(define-record-type opaque-statement (fields str) (opaque #t))
(define q (make-opaque-statement "He's moved on, Jim"))
(opaque-statement? q) \Rightarrow #t
(record? q) \Rightarrow #f

procedure: (record-rtd record)
returns: the record-type descriptor (rtd) of record
libraries: (rnrs records inspection), (rnrs)
```

The argument must be an instance of a non-opaque record type. In combination with some of the other procedures described in this section and Section 9.2, record-rtd allows the inspection or mutation of record instances, even if the type of the instance is unknown to the inspector. This capability is illustrated by the procedure print-fields below, which accepts a record argument and writes the name and value of each field of the record.

```
(define print-fields
  (lambda (r)
    (unless (record? r)
      (assertion-violation 'print-fields "not a record" r))
    (let loop ([rtd (record-rtd r)])
      (let ([prtd (record-type-parent rtd)])
        (when prtd (loop prtd)))
      (let* ([v (record-type-field-names rtd)]
             [n (vector-length v)])
        (do ([i 0 (+ i 1)])
            ((=in))
          (write (vector-ref v i))
          (display "=")
          (write ((record-accessor rtd i) r))
          (newline))))))
With the familiar definitions of point and cpoint:
(define-record-type point (fields x y))
(define-record-type cpoint (parent point) (fields color))
the expression (print-fields (make-cpoint -3 7 'blue)) displays the following three lines.
x=-3
y=7
color=blue
```

R. Kent Dybvig / The Scheme Programming Language, Fourth Edition Copyright © 2009 The MIT Press. Electronically reproduced by permission. Illustrations © 2009 Jean-Pierre Hébert ISBN 978-0-262-51298-5 / LOC QA76.73.S34D93 to order this book / about this book

http://www.scheme.com