

Compactifying Hypertoric Manifolds via Symplectic Cutting

Benjamin C. W. Brown

B.Brown@ed.ac.uk

Hodge Club Seminar



7th October 2020

1. TORIC MANIFOLDS
2. DELZANT'S CONSTRUCTION
3. HYPERTORIC MANIFOLDS
4. COMPACTIFICATION & SYMPLECTIC CUTTING
5. OUTLOOK
6. REFERENCES

Hamiltonian Group Actions

Group Actions

- ▶ G compact connect Lie group, $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$.
- ▶ $G \curvearrowright X$ gives rise to an infinitesimal action of \mathfrak{g} which associates to each $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ a vector field $\xi^\#$.

Hamiltonian Functions

- ▶ (X, ω) symplectic manifold, and $G \curvearrowright X$ preserves ω .
- ▶ Say that G acts in a **Hamiltonian way** on X if every $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ has a function $\phi^\xi \in C^\infty(X)$ such that

$$\iota_{\xi^\#} \omega = d\phi^\xi.$$

Definition

Dual notion is the **moment mapping** $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, defined by

$$(\mu(p), \xi) = \phi^\xi(p),$$

for all $p \in X$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Properties

- ▶ μ is G -equivariant when $G \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}^*$ by the dual coadjoint action.
- ▶ If G is abelian (*i.e.* a torus), μ is unique up to a constant since dual coadjoint action is trivial.

Transitive Actions

- ▶ Recall: action is **transitive** if for any pair $x, y \in X$, there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot x = y$.

Theorem (Kostant) [Gui94]: For compact G , all Hamiltonian G -spaces with transitive G -action are coadjoint orbits.

- ▶ For G abelian \implies no positive dimensional Hamiltonian G -spaces with transitive action.

Effective Actions

Theorem [Gui94]: If G acts effectively, then $\dim X \geq 2 \dim G$.

- ▶ So for G abelian and acting effectively, simplest examples are when $\dim X = 2 \dim G$.

Definition

Definition: A **symplectic toric manifold** is a compact connected symplectic manifold (X^{2n}, ω) with an effective Hamiltonian action of a torus T^n , with moment map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Example

$T^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ diagonally, with moment map

$$\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mu(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2 e_k.$$

Set-Up

- ▶ (X, ω) Hamiltonian G -space with moment map $\mu : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.
- ▶ $X_0 := \mu^{-1}(0)$, for $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$; X_0 is G -invariant as μ is G -equivariant.

Theorem: If $G \curvearrowright X_0$ freely, 0 regular value of μ , then $X_0 \subseteq X$ closed submanifold and $\dim X_0 = \dim X - \dim G$.

Marsden-Weinstein Reduction

Theorem: If $G \curvearrowright X_0$ freely, $X // G := X_0 / G$ is a symplectic manifold of dimension $\dim X - 2 \dim G$.

Example

- ▶ Let $S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ as $t \cdot z_k = tz_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n+1$.
- ▶ Choose the moment map $\mu : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be

$$\mu(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} |z_k|^2 - c^2, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- ▶ Then $X_0 = \mu^{-1}(0) = \{\|z\|^2 = c^2\} \cong S^{2n+1}$, so

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1} // S^1 := \mu^{-1}(0)/S^1 \cong S^{2n+1}/S^1 \cong \mathbb{CP}^n,$$

with residual $T^{n+1}/S^1 \cong T^n$ -action.

Example Continued

- ▶ Residual torus $T^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ diagonally, now with moment map

$$\bar{\mu}(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{|z_1|^2}{\|\mathbf{z}\|^2}, \dots, \frac{|z_n|^2}{\|\mathbf{z}\|^2} \right) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- ▶ Fixed-points for this action are the points with only one non-zero entry, e.g. $[1 : 0 : \dots, 0]$.
- ▶ Image of $\bar{\mu}$ is the convex hull of the images of the fixed-points.
- ▶ This is a result of the *Atiyah-Huillemin-Sternberg convexity theorem*.

Example

Definition

A **Delzant polytope** $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex polytope such that [Del88]:

- ▶ (simple): n edges meet at each vertex;
- ▶ (rational): edges meeting a vertex p are of the form $p + tu_i$, $t \geq 0$ and $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$;
- ▶ (smooth): for each p , the u_i form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathbb{Z}^n .

Examples

Delzant's Theorem [Del88]

Toric manifolds are classified by Delzant polytopes, *i.e.* there is a one-to-one correspondence:

$$\frac{\text{toric manifolds}}{T^n - \text{equiv. symplectomorphisms}} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\text{Delzant polytopes}}{\text{SL}(n; \mathbb{Z})}$$

Examples

Set-Up

- ▶ Start with a Delzant polytope, $\Delta = \bigcap_{k=1}^n H_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, where

$$H_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle x, u_k \rangle \geq \lambda_k\}, \quad \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$$

are inward-pointing half-spaces delimiting Δ .

- ▶ Define a surjective map $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\pi(e_k) = u_k$, where the e_k are basis vectors for \mathbb{R}^n .
- ▶ Define $\mathfrak{n} := \ker \pi$, and consider the inclusion $\iota : \mathfrak{n} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

Short Exact Sequence

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

More Short Exact Sequences

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

- Can exponentiate to get our tori:

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} T^n \xrightarrow{\pi} T^d \longrightarrow \{1\}.$$

- Or dualise:

$$\{0\} \longleftarrow \mathfrak{n}^* \xleftarrow{i^*} (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \xleftarrow{\pi^*} (\mathbb{R}^d)^* \longleftarrow \{0\}.$$

Subtorus Action

- ▶ If $T^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ diagonally, then $N \leq T^n$ also acts on \mathbb{C}^n via the inclusion, ι .
- ▶ Moment map for this action via inclusion is

$$\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \xrightarrow{J} (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \mathfrak{n}^*,$$

with $J : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ the usual moment map,

$$J(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|^2 e_k + (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n).$$

Symplectic Reduction

Finally for the Delzant $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$X_\Delta := \mathbb{C}^n // N := \mu^{-1}(0)/N$$

is the corresponding toric manifold, with residual $T^n/N \cong T^d$ -action.

Comments

- ▶ $\dim X_\Delta = 2n - 2 \dim N = 2(\dim T^n - \dim N) = 2 \dim T^d$.
- ▶ The λ 's determine the position of the half-spaces - translating λ by an element of $\ker \iota^* \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ gives the same result.
- ▶ The u 's determine their (inwards-pointing) directions.

Old Example

- ▶ $T^3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ and $S^1 \hookrightarrow T^3$ diagonally:

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow S^1 \xrightarrow{\iota} T^3 \xrightarrow{\pi} T^2 \longrightarrow \{1\}.$$

- ▶ $u_1 = (1, 0)$, $u_2 = (0, 1)$, $u_3 = (-1, -1)$, so $\ker \pi = (t, t, t)$.
- ▶ Thus $\iota(t) = (t, t, t) \implies \iota^*(x, y, z) = x + y + z$.
- ▶ Moment map

$$\mathbf{z} \xrightarrow{J} \frac{1}{2}(|z_1|^2, |z_2|^2, |z_3|^2) + \lambda \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3.$$

- ▶ Then $X_\Delta \cong \mathbb{CP}^2$ with T^2 -action, and moment map image $\mu(X_\Delta) = \{\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}_i \rangle \geq \lambda_i\}$.

Example

\mathbb{CP}^2 *Example*

Definition and Properties

- ▶ A **hyperkähler manifold** is a Riemannian manifold (M, g) with three orthogonal, parallel complex structures J_1, J_2, J_3 , that satisfy the quaternionic relations.
- ▶ Get three symplectic forms $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$, so each (g, J_i, ω_i) give a Kähler structure on M .
- ▶ Fixing J_1 , we can write

$$\omega_{\mathbb{R}} := \omega_1, \quad \omega_{\mathbb{C}} := \omega_2 + i \cdot \omega_3.$$

Examples

- ▶ Quaternionic space \mathbb{H}^n .
- ▶ Fixed J_1 , $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$ inherits a hyperkähler structure.

Hyperhamiltonian Actions

Induced Action

- ▶ For $M = T^*\mathbb{C}^n$, Hamiltonian G -action of \mathbb{C}^n extends to hyperhamiltonian action on $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$.
- ▶ Original $\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, induced maps are

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(z, w) = \mu(z) - \mu(w), \quad \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z, w)(v) = w(\hat{v}_z),$$

for $w \in T_z^*\mathbb{C}^n$, $v \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and $\hat{v}_z \in T_z\mathbb{C}^n$ induced by v .

Subtori Action

- ▶ If $N \xrightarrow{i} T^n$, get $N \hookrightarrow T^*\mathbb{C}^n$ via inclusion as before.
- ▶ Maps $\mu_{\mathbb{R}}, \mu_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}^*, \mathfrak{n}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ then are:

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}} = i^* \circ J_{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \mu_{\mathbb{C}} = i_{\mathbb{C}}^* \circ J_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Examples

Diagonal Torus Action

For $T^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \rightsquigarrow T^n \hookrightarrow T^*\mathbb{C}^n$ as $\tau \cdot (z, w) = (\tau z, \tau^{-1} w)$, thus

$$J_{\mathbb{R}}(z, w) = \sum_{k=1}^n (|z_k|^2 - |w_k|^2) e_k, \quad J_{\mathbb{C}}(z, w) = \sum_{k=1}^n (z_k w_k) e_k.$$

$$S^1 \hookrightarrow T^3 \hookrightarrow T^*\mathbb{C}^n$$

Example from before, with $S^1 \hookrightarrow T^3$ diagonally.

$$\mu_{\mathbb{R}}(z, w) = \sum_{k=1}^3 (|z_k|^2 - |w_k|^2), \quad \mu_{\mathbb{C}}(z, w) = \sum_{k=1}^3 (z_k w_k).$$

Hyperkähler Quotients [BD00]

- ▶ Nice hyperkähler quotient if G acts freely on $(\mu_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mu_{\mathbb{C}})^{-1}(\xi)$, for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ regular.
- ▶ Can assume that the $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ -component of ξ is zero, [BD00].

Recall: $\{1\} \longrightarrow N \xhookrightarrow{\iota} T^n \xrightarrow{\pi} T^d \longrightarrow \{1\}.$

Hyperkähler Analogues [Pro04]

For a toric $X = \mathbb{C}^n // N = \mu^{-1}(\lambda)/N$, its **hyperkähler analogue** is

$$M := T^*\mathbb{C}^n /// N := (\mu_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \mu_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0))/N,$$

and is a **hypertoric variety**.

- ▶ Residual $T^d = T^n/N$ acts on M , with moment maps

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}}[z, w] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (|z_k|^2 - |w_k|^2 - \lambda_k) \partial_k \in \ker(\iota^*) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d,$$

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{C}}[z, w] = \sum_{k=1}^n (z_k w_k) \partial_k \in \ker(\iota_{\mathbb{C}}^*) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d.$$

- ▶ Image $\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ given by a hyperplane arrangement:

$$F_k = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, u_k \rangle + \lambda_k \geq 0\},$$

$$G_k = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, u_k \rangle + \lambda_k \leq 0\},$$

$$H_k = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, u_k \rangle + \lambda_k = 0\} = F_k \cap G_k.$$

Example

 $T^*\mathbb{CP}^2$

- ▶ From before, $S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{CP}^2 = \mu^{-1}(\lambda)/S^1$ with residual T^2 -action and moment polytope $\bar{\mu}(\mathbb{CP}^2) = \Delta_2$.
- ▶ Same arrangement as toric case but now $\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is surjective, for hypertoric $T^*\mathbb{CP}^2$.

Real Image

Residual S^1 -Action

- ▶ $T^*\mathbb{C}^n$ has an S^1 -action from rotating cotangent fibres:
 $\tau \cdot (z, w) = (z, \tau w)$.
- ▶ Descends to $\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0)$ as $\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is S^1 -equivariant, so
 $M^{S^1} \subseteq \bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$.

Extended Core of M

- ▶ $\mathcal{E} := \bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(0) = \{[z, w] \in M : z_k w_k = 0, \forall k\}$.
- ▶ Breaks up further: for $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_A := \{[z, w] \in M : w_k = 0 \text{ for } k \in A, z_k = 0 \text{ for } k \notin A\}.$$

- ▶ $\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{E}_A) =: \Delta_A$, polyhedra from arrangement.

Example

$$\bar{\mu}_{\mathbb{R}}(T^*\mathbb{CP}^2)$$

- ▶ S^1 does not act as a subtorus of T^d globally, but does restricted to each \mathcal{E}_A :

$$\tau \cdot [z, w] = [z, \tau w] = [\tau_1^{-1} z_1, \dots, \tau_n^{-1} z_n; \tau_1 w_1, \dots, \tau_n w_n],$$

$$\text{where } \tau_i = \begin{cases} \tau, & \text{if } i \in A, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Restricted to \mathcal{E}_A , circle S^1 acts as a subtorus of *original* torus T^n .
- ▶ Moment map

$$\Phi|_A [z, w] = - \left\langle \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(z, w), \sum_{i \in A} u_i \right\rangle.$$

Set-Up

- ▶ Global moment map for this S^1 -action is $\Phi[z, w] = \|w\|^2$.
- ▶ Product $M \times \mathbb{C}$ with S^1 -action:

$$e^{i\theta} \cdot (m, \xi) = (e^{i\theta} \cdot m, e^{i\theta} \xi) \rightsquigarrow \rho(m, \xi) = \Phi[z, w] + |\xi|^2.$$

- ▶ Preimage at level ϵ for $(m, \xi) \in M \times \mathbb{C}$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{-1}(\epsilon) &= \{(m, \xi) : \Phi(m) \leq \epsilon, |\xi| = e^{i\theta} \sqrt{\epsilon - \Phi(m)}\} \\ &= \{(m, \xi) : \Phi(m) < \epsilon, |\xi| = e^{i\theta} \sqrt{\epsilon - \Phi(m)}\} \\ &\sqcup \{(m, 0) : \Phi(m) = \epsilon\} =: \Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

Definition

Quotient $M_{\epsilon\text{-cut}} := \rho^{-1}(\epsilon)/S^1 \cong (\Sigma_1 \sqcup \Sigma_2)/S^1$ is called the **symplectic cut** of M at level- ϵ [Ler95].

What does it look like?

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 &= \{(m, \xi) : \Phi(m) < \epsilon, |\xi| = e^{i\theta} \sqrt{\epsilon - \Phi(m)}\}, \\ \Sigma_2 &= \{(m, 0) : \Phi(m) = \epsilon\}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ $\Sigma_1 \cong \{m \in M : \Phi(m) < \epsilon\} \times S^1$, so $\Sigma_1/S^1 \cong \{\Phi(m) < \epsilon\}$;
- ▶ $\Sigma_2 \cong \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon)/S^1$.
- ▶ $\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is proper, so the symplectic cut $M_{\epsilon\text{-cut}}$ is compact.

Moment Polyptychs

- ▶ Recall: S^1 -action on \mathcal{E}_A depends combinatorially on $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- ▶ $M_{\epsilon\text{-cut}}$ is obtained by “throwing-away” the part of M with $\Phi(m) > \epsilon$ on each \mathcal{E}_A .
- ▶ Amounts to intersection each Δ_A with half-space [Pro04], with normal $-\sum_{i \in A} u_i$, from

$$\Phi|_{\mathcal{E}_A} [z, w] = -\left\langle \mu_{\mathbb{R}}(z, w), \sum_{i \in A} u_i \right\rangle.$$

- ▶ We call such an arrangement of polytopes a **moment polyptych**.

Examples

Example for $T^\mathbb{CP}^2$*

Examples

Example for $T^\mathbb{CP}^3$*

Verlinde Formula

- ▶ Let \mathcal{N} be the moduli space of stable SL_2 -bundles over Σ_2 ; it is isomorphic to \mathbb{CP}^3 [NR69].
- ▶ Geometric quantisation $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{N}) := H^0(\mathcal{N}; \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$; its dimension equals the **Verlinde formula** [Ver88]; [JW92],

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathrm{Ver}(k) &= \frac{k^3}{6} + k^2 + \frac{11k}{6} + 1 \\ &= \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(k+3)}{3!}.\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Named after Dutch physicist Erik Verlinde, who was working on conformal field theories.

Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch Theorem

For toric X , lattice point count of Δ_X equals to Euler characteristic,

$$\chi(X) = \int_X e^{c_1(\mathcal{O}(k))} \cdot \text{Td}(\mathcal{T}X).$$

Example

For $X = \mathbb{CP}^3$, have $e^{c_1(\mathcal{O}(k))} = 1 + kH + \frac{k^2}{2}H^2 + \frac{k^3}{6}H^3$, and $\text{Td}(\mathcal{T}\mathbb{CP}^3) = 1 + 2H + \frac{11}{6}H^2 + H^3$:

$$\chi(\mathbb{CP}^3) = \int_{\mathbb{CP}^3} \left(\frac{k^3}{6} + k^2 + \frac{11k}{6} + 1 \right) \cdot H^3 + \dots = \text{Ver}(k).$$

Lattice Points

- ▶ So $\text{Ver}(k) = \chi(\mathbb{CP}^3) = \#(k \cdot \Delta_3 \cap \mathbb{Z}^3)$.
- ▶ Anything similar for hypertoric manifolds?

Equivariant Verlinde Formula

- ▶ Recently, equivariant Verlinde formula for moduli spaces Higgs bundle, \mathcal{M} , popped up [GP17].
- ▶ $\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}) = \infty$, but \mathcal{M} has a \mathbb{C}^* -action.
- ▶ Decompose into \mathbb{C}^* -weight spaces:

$$\dim \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{M}) = \sum_n t^n \cdot \dim \mathcal{Q}_n(\mathcal{M}),$$

but now $\dim \mathcal{Q}_n(\mathcal{M}) < \infty$.

Fixed-Point Formula

$$\sum_{q \in \Delta} e^{\langle q, \phi \rangle} = \sum_{p \in M^T} \frac{e^{\langle p, \phi \rangle}}{\prod_{k=1}^n (1 - e^{\langle \alpha_k^p, \phi \rangle})},$$

with edge vectors α_k^p , and $\langle \alpha_k^p, \phi \rangle \neq 0$, [Bar93].

- ▶ Letting $\phi \rightarrow 0$ gets the lattice point count (for Delzant Δ).

Example for $T^\mathbb{CP}^3$*

For $(T^*\mathbb{CP}^3)_{\epsilon\text{-cut}}$, get

$$\frac{(\epsilon + 1)(\epsilon + 2)(\epsilon + 3)}{3!} \cdot \frac{(k + \epsilon + 1)(k + \epsilon + 2)(k + \epsilon + 3)}{3!}.$$

Observe that for $\epsilon = 0$, it becomes $\text{Ver}(k)$.

Other Hypertoric Manifolds

Non-Convex Core

Want to see what happens for hypertoric manifolds with non-convex cores.

Example

Questions?

Bibliography I

- ▶ Alexander I. Barvinok. ‘Computing the volume, counting integral points, and exponential sums’. In: *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 10.2 (1993).
- ▶ Roger Bielawski and Andrew S. Dancer. ‘The geometry and topology of toric hyperkähler manifolds’. In: *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 8.4 (2000).
- ▶ Thomas Delzant. ‘Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l’application moment’. In: *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 116.3 (1988).
- ▶ Sergei Gukov and Du Pei. ‘Equivariant Verlinde formula from fivebranes and vortices’. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 355.1 (2017).

Bibliography II

- ▶ Victor Guillemin. *Moment maps and combinatorial invariants of Hamiltonian T^n -spaces*. Vol. 122. Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- ▶ Lisa C. Jeffrey and Jonathan Weitsman. ‘Bohr-Sommerfeld orbits in the moduli space of flat connections and the Verlinde dimension formula’. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 150.3 (1992).
- ▶ Eugene Lerman. ‘Symplectic cuts’. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 2.3 (1995).
- ▶ M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan. ‘Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface’. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 89 (1969).

Bibliography III

- ▶ Nicholas James Proudfoot. *Hyperkahler analogues of Kahler quotients*. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, Berkeley. 2004.
- ▶ Erik Verlinde. ‘Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2D conformal field theory’. In: *Nuclear Phys. B* 300.3 (1988).