

Dr. Benjamin Davis

PHYSICS LABORATORY II (201570-PHYS2010-002(01)) - 2015 - Dec
 Enrollment = 22; No. of responses = 15; Percent Completers = 68.18%




Overall indicators

Global Index

About the Instructor

About the Course




Survey Results

1. Instructor/Course Evaluation:

1.1) The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject matter of this course.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=15
 av.=4.6
 md=5
 dev.=0.83

1.2) The instructor effectively presented the content of this course.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=15
 av.=4.6
 md=5
 dev.=0.63

1.3) The instructor was well prepared for class.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=15
 av.=4.73
 md=5
 dev.=0.59

1.4) The class time was valuable in helping my understanding.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=15
 av.=4.8
 md=5
 dev.=0.41

1.5) The instructor rarely canceled class.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=14
 av.=4.79
 md=5
 dev.=0.43
 ab.=1

1.6) The instructor generally began class on time and held class for the allotted time.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=15
 av.=4.8
 md=5
 dev.=0.41

1.7) The instructor acted in a professional manner and treated students with respect.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=15
 av.=4.87
 md=5
 dev.=0.35

1.8) The instructor was available during scheduled office hours.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=13
av.=4.77
md=5
dev.=0.44
ab.=2

1.9) The instructor provided feedback on my academic progress on a regular basis through grades on quizzes, exams, written reports, projects, presentations, etc.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=4.79
md=5
dev.=0.43
ab.=1

1.10) The instructor fairly evaluated my work in this course.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.41

1.11) The textbook required for the course was useful.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=4.71
md=5
dev.=0.61
ab.=1

1.12) The instructional aids (e.g. audio, visual, web, handouts, etc.) were beneficial.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=14
av.=4.79
md=5
dev.=0.43
ab.=1

1.13) The instructor is fluent in English.

Strongly Disagree




Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=4.87
md=5
dev.=0.35

1.14) The instructor's overall performance as a teacher was excellent.

Strongly Disagree



Strongly Agree

n=15
av.=4.8
md=5
dev.=0.56

Profile

Subunit: Dept NH-PHSC
 Name of the instructor: Dr. Benjamin Davis
 Name of the course: PHYSICS LABORATORY II
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Instructor/Course Evaluation:

1.1) The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject matter of this course.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.60 md=5.00 dev.=0.83
1.2) The instructor effectively presented the content of this course.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.60 md=5.00 dev.=0.63
1.3) The instructor was well prepared for class.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.73 md=5.00 dev.=0.59
1.4) The class time was valuable in helping my understanding.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.80 md=5.00 dev.=0.41
1.5) The instructor rarely canceled class.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.79 md=5.00 dev.=0.43
1.6) The instructor generally began class on time and held class for the allotted time.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.80 md=5.00 dev.=0.41
1.7) The instructor acted in a professional manner and treated students with respect.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.87 md=5.00 dev.=0.35
1.8) The instructor was available during scheduled office hours.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=13	av.=4.77 md=5.00 dev.=0.44
1.9) The instructor provided feedback on my academic progress on a regular basis through grades on quizzes, exams, written reports,	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.79 md=5.00 dev.=0.43
1.10) The instructor fairly evaluated my work in this course.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.80 md=5.00 dev.=0.41
1.11) The textbook required for the course was useful.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.71 md=5.00 dev.=0.61
1.12) The instructional aids (e.g. audio, visual, web, handouts, etc.) were beneficial.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=14	av.=4.79 md=5.00 dev.=0.43
1.13) The instructor is fluent in English.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.87 md=5.00 dev.=0.35
1.14) The instructor's overall performance as a teacher was excellent.	Strongly Disagree						Strongly Agree	n=15	av.=4.80 md=5.00 dev.=0.56

Comments Report

1. Instructor/Course Evaluation:

1.15) What do you consider to be the strengths of this course?

- Applying the lectures is always a great way to learn
- Labs were beneficial to understanding in the classroom.
- this is a lab course that was well maintained and instructed

1.16) What constructive suggestions do you have for improving this course?

- No improvements needed.
- Some new equipment would help
- Try to get better lab equipment, not necessarily new, but at least working. Also try to refine the lab manual to have better pictures of how to set up the labs so the TA and professor don't have to go around checking every group.
- the post lab quizzes were on a very short deadline and therefore easy to miss

1.17) Other comments?

- Great professor, really knowledgeable of the course.
- The professor had no idea how to use equipment and he was not very knowledgeable of our experiments. When we asked him questions he either acted confused and had to ask the TA to come over and help or he would give some long "monologue" on the theory that as far we knew was total b.s. He was just plain not helpful.
- none