Project 1 - FYS3150

René Ask and Benedicte Nyheim (Dated: August 26, 2019)

I. METHOD

We're going to solve the differential equation

$$-u''(x) = f(x), \quad x \in (0,1), \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0. \tag{1}$$

To this end, we'll derive an approximation to the second derivative of u(x) before we estimate the total error of the approximation.

A. Derivation of the approximation scheme and an upper-bound on its total error

We start by Taylor expanding about some point x

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2!}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{3!}f'''(x) + \frac{h^4}{4!}f^{(4)}(\xi_1), \tag{2}$$

and

$$f(x - hh) = f(x) - hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2!}f''(x) - \frac{h^3}{3!}f'''(x) + \frac{h^4}{4!}f^{(4)}(\xi_2), \tag{3}$$

where $\xi_1 \in (x, x+h)$ and $\xi_2 \in (x-h, x)$. Adding the two equations and rearranging a bit we get

$$f''(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2} - \frac{h^2}{4!} [f(\xi_1) - f(\xi_2)]. \tag{4}$$

To find the signed truncation error, we rewrite the equation as

$$f''(x) - \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2} = -\frac{h^2}{24} \left[f^{(4)}(\xi_1) - f^{(4)}(\xi_2) \right].$$
 (5)

Before we proceed with the error analysis, we might as well pick $\xi \in (x-h, x+h)$ such that $f(\xi) = \max\{f(\xi_1), f(\xi_2)\}$ and multiply it by a factor 2 at the RHS, that is

$$f''(x) - \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2} = -\frac{h^2}{12}f(\xi).$$
 (6)

Due to the fact that computers are unable to represent numbers exactly, the computed values can be written as $\bar{f}(x+h) = f(x+h)(1+\epsilon_1)$, $\bar{f}(x)(1+\epsilon_2)$ and $\bar{f}(x-h)(\epsilon_3)$. An upper-bound estimate of the total error, that is, the truncation error and the error due to loss of precision is

$$\epsilon = |f'(x) - \bar{f}'(x)|
= |f''(x) - \frac{\bar{f}(x+h) - 2\bar{f}(x) + \bar{f}(x-h)}{h^2} |
= |f''(x) - \frac{f(x+h)(1+\epsilon_1) - 2f(x)(1+\epsilon_2) + f(x-h)(1+\epsilon_3)}{h^2} |
= |f''(x) - \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2} - \frac{f(x+h)\epsilon_1 - 2f(x)\epsilon_2 + f(x-h)\epsilon_3}{h^2} |
\leq |-\frac{h^2}{12}f(\xi) - \frac{\epsilon_1 - 2\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3}{h^2}f(\zeta) |
\leq \frac{h^2}{12} \max_{\xi \in (x-h,x+h)} |f^{(4)}(\xi)| + \frac{|\epsilon_1| + 2|\epsilon_2| + \epsilon_3}{h^2} \max_{\zeta \in (x-h,x+h)} |f(\zeta)|
\leq \frac{h^2}{12} \max_{\xi \in (x-h,x+h)} |f^{(4)}(\xi)| + \frac{4\epsilon_M}{h^2} \max_{\zeta \in (x-h,x+h)} |f(\zeta)|$$

where $\zeta \in (x-h,x+h)$ and the fact as $h \to 0$, $f(x+h) \to f(x)$ and $f(x-h) \to f(x)$, so replaced them all with their common maximum $f(\zeta)$ at the point $\zeta \in (x-h,x+h)$. Furthermore, we set $\epsilon_M = \max\{|\epsilon_1|,|\epsilon_2|,|\epsilon_3|\}$. Now let $M_1 \equiv \max_{\xi \in (x-h,x+h)} |f^{(4)}(\xi)|$ and $M_2 \equiv \max_{\zeta \in (x-h,x+h)} |f(\zeta)|$. Then the upper-bound estimate of the total error can neatly be written as

$$\epsilon \le \frac{h^2}{12}M_1 + \frac{4\epsilon_M}{h^2}M_2. \tag{8}$$

Solving $d\epsilon/dh = 0$ with respect to h yields the optimal choice of step-size h^* given as

$$h^* = \left(\frac{48\epsilon_M M_2}{M_1}\right)^{1/4}. (9)$$

Approximate solution of the differential equation by the Thomas algorithm

We'll approximate this differential equation by a function $v(x) \approx u(x)$. By the derivation above, its clear that we can write the differential eq. for each x_i as

$$-\frac{-v_{i+1} + v_{i-1} - 2v_i}{h^2} = f_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n,$$
(10)

which may be rearranged into

$$2v_i - v_{i+1} - v_{i-1} = f_i h^2 \equiv \tilde{b_i}. \tag{11}$$

From (11) we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2v_1 - v_2 \\ -v_1 + 2v_2 - v_3 \\ \vdots \\ 2v_n - v_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b_1} \\ \tilde{b_2} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{b_n} \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

To this end we will develop an algorithm based on the LU-decomposition A = LU:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & c_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_1 & b_2 & c_2 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & a_2 & b_3 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n-2} & b_{n-1} & c_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{n-1} & b_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \ell_2 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_3 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \ell_{n-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \ell_{n-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \ell_{n-1} & u_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & d_n \end{pmatrix} = LU, \quad (13)$$

and performing matrix multiplication we get

$$LU = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 & u_1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \ell_2 d_1 & \ell_2 u_1 + d_2 & u_2 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_3 d_2 & \ell_3 u_2 + d_3 & u_3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \ell_{n-1} d_{n-2} & \ell_{n-1} u_{n-2} + d_{n-1} & u_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \ell_n d_{n-1} & \ell_n u_{n-1} + d_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(14)$$

which yields the following general relations:

$$b_i = d_i, \qquad c_i = u_i, \qquad \text{for} \qquad i = 1, \tag{15}$$

$$\ell_i = \frac{a_{i-1}}{d_{i-1}}, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i < n,$$
 (16)

$$d_i = b_i - \ell_i u_{i-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i < n, \tag{17}$$

$$b_{i} = d_{i}, \quad c_{i} = u_{i}, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1,$$

$$\ell_{i} = \frac{a_{i-1}}{d_{i-1}}, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i < n,$$

$$d_{i} = b_{i} - \ell_{i} u_{i-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i < n,$$

$$\ell_{n} = \frac{a_{n-1}}{d_{n-1}}, \quad d_{n} = b_{n} - \ell_{n} u_{n-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad i = n.$$

$$(15)$$

$$(16)$$

$$(17)$$

requiring 2n multiplications and n additions. In other words, the total floating point operations involved in finding LU is 3n.

We can then write $A\mathbf{v} = LU\mathbf{v} = L\mathbf{y} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ where $\mathbf{y} \equiv U\mathbf{v}$. Explicitly, we can write this as

$$L\mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \ell_{2} & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ell_{3} & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \ell_{n-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \ell_{n} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{1} \\ y_{2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1} \\ \ell_{2}y_{1} + y_{2} \\ \ell_{3}y_{2} + y_{3} \\ \vdots \\ \ell_{n-1}y_{n-2} + y_{n-1} \\ \ell_{n}y_{n-1} + y_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b_{1}} \\ \tilde{b_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{b_{n}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(19)$$

which yields the following procedure:

$$y_1 = \tilde{b_1},\tag{20}$$

$$y_1 = \tilde{b_1},$$
 (20)
 $y_i = \tilde{b_i} - \ell_i y_{i-1}, \quad \text{for} \quad i = 2, 3, ..., n.$ (21)

giving n-1 multiplications and n-1 additions. Thus the added computational cost is 2(n-1).

Finally, to determine v, we perform back-substitution by solving Uv = y. Writing it out explicitly yields

$$\begin{pmatrix}
d_1 & u_1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & d_2 & u_2 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & d_3 & u_3 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & d_{n-1} & u_{n-1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & d_n
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
v_1 \\
v_2 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
v_n
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
d_1v_1 + u_1v_2 \\
d_2v_2 + u_2v_3 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
d_{n-1}v_{n-1} + u_{n-1}v_n \\
d_nv_n
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
y_1 \\
y_2 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
y_{n-1} \\
y_n
\end{pmatrix},$$
(22)

which yields the following procedure:

$$v_n = \frac{y_n}{d_n},\tag{23}$$

$$v_n = \frac{v_n}{d_n},$$
 (23)
 $v_i = \frac{y_i - u_i v_{i+1}}{d_i},$ for $i = n - 1, n - 2, ..., 1.$ (24)

Here we got 2n-1 multiplications and n-1 additions, so the number of floating point operations are 3n-2. Putting all of these together we get $4(2n-1) \approx 8n$ floating point operations.

Now, assuming that $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_n \equiv b$ and $a_1 = c_1$, $a_2 = c_2, \cdots, a_{n-1} = c_{n-1}$. Furthermore, assume $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_n \equiv a$ and $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_n \equiv c$. But since a = c, we can ignore the last assumption. These assumptions implies certain simplifications of the algorithm for LU-decomposition:

$$d_1 = b, u_1 = c = a,$$
 (25)

$$u_i = c_i = a, \qquad \text{for} \qquad 1 < i \le n, \tag{26}$$

$$\ell_i = \frac{a_{i-1}}{d_{i-1}} = \frac{a}{d_{i-1}}, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i \le n,$$
 (27)

$$u_{i} = c_{i} = a, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i \le n,$$

$$\ell_{i} = \frac{a_{i-1}}{d_{i-1}} = \frac{a}{d_{i-1}}, \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i \le n,$$

$$(26)$$

$$d_{i} = b_{i} - \ell_{i} u_{i} = b - \ell_{i} a = b - \frac{a^{2}}{d_{i-1}} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 < i \le n.$$

$$(28)$$