# 1 Problem statement

## 1.1 Version 1

Given a set of tasks  $\mathcal{T}$ , a set of resources  $\mathcal{R}$ , GPU capacity  $c^{(GPU)}$ , major frame length h and characteristics of each task  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ , the goal is to find a feasible schedule for tasks to be run on available resources which minimizes energy consumption. The process of finding such a schedule consists of two phases:

Phase 1: assigning tasks to resources.

Phase 2: scheduling tasks into isolation windows.

### 1.1.1 Phase 1

## Input:

- Set  $\mathcal{R} = (R_1, R_2, ..., R_m)$  representing available resources, where each resource  $R_k \in \mathcal{R}$  has capacity  $c_k$ .
- Value  $c^{(GPU)}$  representing GPU capacity.
- Set of tasks  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, ..., T_n)$ .
- Values  $p_{i,k}$  and  $E_{i,k}$  representing processing time and energy consumption of task  $T_i$  on resource  $R_k$ . If task  $T_i$  cannot be executed on resource  $R_k$ , then  $p_{i,k} = \infty$ .
- Values  $p_{i,k}^{(GPU)}$  and  $E_{i,k}^{(GPU)}$  representing processing time and energy consumption of task  $T_i$  on resource  $R_k$  if the task is offloaded to GPU. If task  $T_i$  cannot be executed on resource  $R_k$ , or cannot be offloaded to GPU, then  $p_{i,k}^{(GPU)} = \infty$ .
- Value h representing major frame length.

**Output:** The output consists of resource assignment function  $a_r: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{R}$  and GPU offloading function  $a_g: \mathcal{T} \to \{0,1\}$ .

# ILP Model:

$$\min \sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{R_k \in \mathcal{R}} (a_{i,k} \cdot E_{i,k} + a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \cdot E_{i,k}^{(GPU)}) \quad \text{subject to:}$$
 (1)

$$\sum_{R_k \in \mathcal{R}} (a_{i,k} + a_{i,k}^{(GPU)}) = 1 \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}$$
 (2)

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} (a_{i,k} \cdot p_{i,k} + a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \cdot p_{i,k}^{(GPU)}) \le h \cdot c_k \quad \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R}$$
 (3)

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{R_k \in \mathcal{R}} a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \cdot p_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \le h \cdot c^{(GPU)} \tag{4}$$

$$a_{i,k} = 0 \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R} : p_{i,k} = \infty$$
 (5)

$$a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} = 0 \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R} : p_{i,k}^{(GPU)} = \infty$$
 (6)

$$a_{i,k} \in \{0,1\}, a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R}$$
 (7)

Note that a feasible solution does not imply that it will be possible to find a feasible schedule in phase 2.

#### 1.1.2 Phase 2

### Input:

- Set  $\mathcal{R} = (R_1, R_2, ..., R_m)$  representing available resources, where each resource  $R_k \in \mathcal{R}$  has capacity  $c_k$ .
- Value  $c^{(GPU)}$  representing GPU capacity.
- Set  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, ..., T_n)$  representing tasks to be scheduled. Each task  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$  has processing time  $p_i$ .
- Resource assignment function  $a_r: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{R}$  and GPU offloading function  $a_q: \mathcal{T} \to \{0,1\}$ .
- Value h representing major frame length.

**Output:** Let  $W = (W_1, W_2, ..., W_\ell)$  be a set of isolation windows, where each window  $W_j \in \mathcal{W}$  has length  $l_j$ . The goal is to find a triplet  $S = (\ell, \boldsymbol{l}, a_w)$ , where  $\ell$  is the number of windows,  $\boldsymbol{l}$  is the vector capturing the windows' length, and  $a_w : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{W}$  is the window assignment function. Solution S is feasible, if the following constraints are satisfied:

$$l_j \ge \frac{\max_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}: a_w(T_i) = W_j}(p_i)}{0.6} \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}$$
 (8)

$$\sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} l_j \le h \tag{9}$$

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}: a_w(T_i) = W_j} \mathbb{1}_{[a_r(T_i) = R_k]} \le c_k \quad \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R}, \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}$$
(10)

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}: a_w(T_i) = W_j} a_g(T_i) \le c^{(GPU)} \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}$$
 (11)

Solution S is optimal, if it minimizes the total length of the schedule  $\sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} l_j$ . **ILP Model:** 

$$\min \sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} l_j \quad \text{subject to:} \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} l_j \le h \tag{13}$$

$$l_j \ge \frac{p_i \cdot w_{i,j}}{0.6} \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}$$
 (14)

$$\sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} w_{i,j} = 1 \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}$$
 (15)

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} w_{i,j} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[a_r(T_i) = R_k]} \le c_k \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}, \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R}$$
 (16)

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} w_{i,j} \cdot a_g(T_i) \le g \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}$$
 (17)

$$l_j \in \mathbb{N} \ \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}$$
 (18)

$$w_{i,j} \in \{0,1\} \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}$$
 (19)