

# 1 Problem statement

## 1.1 Version 1

Given a set of tasks  $\mathcal{T}$ , a set of resources  $\mathcal{R}$ , GPU capacity  $c^{(GPU)}$ , major frame length  $h$  and characteristics of each task  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$ , the goal is to find a feasible schedule for tasks to be run on available resources which minimizes energy consumption. The process of finding such a schedule consists of two phases:

**Phase 1:** assigning tasks to resources.

**Phase 2:** scheduling tasks into isolation windows.

### 1.1.1 Phase 1

**Input:**

- Set  $\mathcal{R} = (R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$  representing available resources, where each resource  $R_k \in \mathcal{R}$  has capacity  $c_k$ .
- Value  $c^{(GPU)}$  representing GPU capacity.
- Set of tasks  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$ .
- Values  $p_{i,k}$  and  $E_{i,k}$  representing processing time and energy consumption of task  $T_i$  on resource  $R_k$ . If task  $T_i$  cannot be executed on resource  $R_k$ , then  $p_{i,k} = \infty$ .
- Values  $p_{i,k}^{(GPU)}$  and  $E_{i,k}^{(GPU)}$  representing processing time and energy consumption of task  $T_i$  on resource  $R_k$  if the task is offloaded to GPU. If task  $T_i$  cannot be executed on resource  $R_k$ , or cannot be offloaded to GPU, then  $p_{i,k}^{(GPU)} = \infty$ .
- Value  $h$  representing major frame length.

**Output:** The output consists of resource assignment function  $a_r : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  and GPU offloading function  $a_g : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

**ILP model:**

$$\min \sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{R_k \in \mathcal{R}} (a_{i,k} \cdot E_{i,k} + a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \cdot E_{i,k}^{(GPU)}) \quad \text{subject to:} \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{R_k \in \mathcal{R}} (a_{i,k} + a_{i,k}^{(GPU)}) = 1 \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T} \quad (2)$$

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} (a_{i,k} \cdot p_{i,k} + a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \cdot p_{i,k}^{(GPU)}) \leq h \cdot c_k \quad \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R} \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{R_k \in \mathcal{R}} a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \cdot p_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \leq h \cdot c^{(GPU)} \quad (4)$$

$$a_{i,k} = 0 \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R} : p_{i,k} = \infty \quad (5)$$

$$a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} = 0 \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R} : p_{i,k}^{(GPU)} = \infty \quad (6)$$

$$a_{i,k} \in \{0, 1\}, a_{i,k}^{(GPU)} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R} \quad (7)$$

Note that a feasible solution does not imply that it will be possible to find a feasible schedule in phase 2.

### 1.1.2 Phase 2

**Input:**

- Set  $\mathcal{R} = (R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$  representing available resources, where each resource  $R_k \in \mathcal{R}$  has capacity  $c_k$ .
- Value  $c^{(GPU)}$  representing GPU capacity.
- Set  $\mathcal{T} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  representing tasks to be scheduled. Each task  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$  has processing time  $p_i$ .
- Resource assignment function  $a_r : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  and GPU offloading function  $a_g : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .
- Value  $h$  representing major frame length.

**Output:** Let  $\mathcal{W} = (W_1, W_2, \dots, W_\ell)$  be a set of isolation windows, where each window  $W_j \in \mathcal{W}$  has length  $l_j$ . The goal is to find a triplet  $S = (\ell, \mathbf{l}, a_w)$ , where  $\ell$  is the number of windows,  $\mathbf{l}$  is the vector capturing the windows' lengths, and  $a_w : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is the window assignment function. Solution  $S$  is feasible, if the following constraints are satisfied:

$$l_j \geq \frac{\max_{T_i \in \mathcal{T} : a_w(T_i) = W_j} \{p_i\}}{0.6} \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W} \quad (8)$$

$$\sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} l_j \leq h \quad (9)$$

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T} : a_w(T_i) = W_j} \mathbb{1}_{[a_r(T_i) = R_k]} \leq c_k \quad \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R}, \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W} \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T} : a_w(T_i) = W_j} a_g(T_i) \leq c^{(GPU)} \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W} \quad (11)$$

Solution  $S$  is optimal, if it minimizes the total length of the schedule  $\sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} l_j$ .

**ILP model:**

$$\min \sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} l_j \quad \text{subject to:} \quad (12)$$

$$\sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} l_j \leq h \quad (13)$$

$$l_j \geq \frac{w_{i,j} \cdot p_i}{0.6} \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W} \quad (14)$$

$$\sum_{W_j \in \mathcal{W}} w_{i,j} = 1 \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T} \quad (15)$$

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}: a_r(T_i) = R_k} w_{i,j} \leq c_k \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W}, \forall R_k \in \mathcal{R} \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{T_i \in \mathcal{T}} w_{i,j} \cdot a_g(T_i) \leq g \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W} \quad (17)$$

$$l_j \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W} \quad (18)$$

$$w_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall T_i \in \mathcal{T}, \forall W_j \in \mathcal{W} \quad (19)$$