



Problem Solving and Engineering Design part 3

ESAT1A1

Max Beerten Brent De Bleser Wouter Devos Ben Fidlers Simon Gulix Tom Kerkhofs

Counting and recognizing nonmoving objects by means of image processing

PRELIMINARY REPORT

Co-titular Tinne Tuytelaars

> <u>Coaches</u> Xuanli Chen José Oramas

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019

Declaration of originality

We hereby declare that this submitted draft is entirely our own, subject to feedback and support given us by the didactic team, and subject to lawful cooperation which was agreed with the same didactic team.

Regarding this draft, we also declare that:

- Note has been taken of the text on academic integrity
 (https://eng.kuleuven.be/studeren/masterproef-en-papers/documenten/20161221-academischeintegriteit-okt2016.pdf).
- 2. No plagiarism has been committed as described on https://eng.kuleuven.be/studeren/masterproef-en-papers/plagiaat.
- 3. All experiments, tests, measurements, ..., have been performed as described in this draft, and no data or measurement results have been manipulated.
- ${\it 4.} \quad {\it All sources employed in this draft-including internet sources-have been correctly referenced.}$

Contents

| Co | Contents | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| Lis | List of Figures | | | |
| 1 | Introduction | 1 | | |
| 2 | Problem Description | 1 | | |
| 3 | Hardware 3.1 Hardware components | 2 2 3 | | |
| 4 | Software 4.1 Analysing different methods | 3 3 4 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 7 7 8 | | |
| 5 | Research | 8 | | |
| 6 | Further planning | 10 | | |
| 7 | Budget management | 11 | | |
| 8 | Course Integration | 11 | | |
| 9 | Conclusion | 11 | | |
| 10 | References | 12 | | |
| 11 | Appendices | 13 | | |

List of Figures

| 1 | Example of a possible result | 2 |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2 | A comparison between the 3 different methods | Ę |
| 3 | A comparison with the use of filters | 6 |
| 4 | The original RGB image (left) and the image after the Sobel-Feldman operator (right) . | 8 |
| 5 | The original image after using a Sobel-Feldman operator and a threshold filter | 8 |
| 6 | Problem with stopping criteria Moore-Neighbor tracing algorithm | 10 |

1 Introduction

Digital image processing has been a crucial part of the current digitalisation movement. From industrial machinery to customer amusement, the vision of computer-aided systems has become a given for most users. While image alteration and manipulation remain a core part of this field of study, nowadays other image related problems are being solved by artificial intelligence (AI). Most were considered to be an important part of digital image processing. Feature extraction, especially, has seen a surge in AI based methods. While being a great way for unravelling many problems, AI mostly provides general solutions. Certain cases are solved more efficiently by specific schemes. Such is the case with object counting: while deep learning algorithms need a big data set as training material, standard image processing only requires the image itself.

This paper's aim is to design a system which can count objects, without the use of AI, in an industrial environment. Such a system might be used on a production or packaging line. The specific explanation of this problem is given in section 2: Problem Description.

Regardless which way a method processes images, it needs a visual source. In this paper the focus is on live object counting, which is only possible with a camera. Evidently, the choice of hardware greatly impacts the methods that can be used. This choice will be covered in section 3.1: Hardware. By far the most important part of this task is the algorithm by which the items in the picture will be numbered. Classically, object counting algorithms have a standard group of steps: filtering, converting to an intensity matrix, edge detection, converting to a binary matrix, boundary boxing and the counting itself. These segments don't have a fixed order and can occur multiple times in the final method. Most of these steps can also be approached in different ways. A wide range of possible filters, kernels, edge detection methods, etc. exist, which all have their benefits and drawbacks (Burge & Burger, 2008). These choices will be discussed in section 3.2: Software.

These methods, while being the core of the solution, are fairly simple to implement with the use of libraries or built-in functions. Creating the system in this simpler way has the advantage that the algorithms themselves don't need to be understood. Which gives a clearer picture of the idea behind the process. Such an implementation is thus included in the report in section 4: Research. Since the self-implemented system isn't fully functional, section 4 can give an idea of the way the project's software side will continue to be developed.

From here on out the report contains a clearer and more practical picture of the continued work in section 5: Further planning. The financial side of the project is discussed in more detail in section 6: Budget management. In section 7: Course integration a list of courses will be given, which were used to create the current version of the system. Lastly the report will be summarized in section 8: Conclusion, which will run down the whole process, and point out some useful applications.

2 Problem Description

The object counting system described in this report is capable of counting non-moving objects in a basket. The project has to be based on a depth sensor and/or a camera. These objects can vary in shape, size and colour. Thus, the colour of both the basket and its contents are free from restrictions. In the primary stage of this paper, not all these variables are taken into account. The system is required to count the simplest objects, being rectangles, cylinders and circles, all with a uniform colour. If possible, the circumference of these objects can be outlined and measured as shown in Fig. 1. All of this is done in real-time and with a budget of $\in 250$.



Figure 1: Example of a possible result.

3 Hardware

The hardware to create a system as described above, is not complicated. In essence, it consists of a computer, a camera and a cable to transfer the data between the latter two. However, for a working recognition system, more parameters in prospect to the visual setup need to be defined. Each of these elements is discussed in the following section.

3.1 Hardware components

Choosing the camera is a vital primary component in this project. If chosen poorly, it can fiercely limit the outcome of the final algorithm. There are three main options for visual input: an ordinary webcam, an industrial camera or a camera with built-in depth sensors. Each with its pros and cons. A webcam is cheap and readily available but does not assure good image quality and easy access to its data. A camera for industrial usage is rather expensive, especially with a budget of €250. Industrial grade options which are cheap enough do exist, but these models deliver their images in greyscale. This greatly limits the possible methods which can be used. Thirdly, the depth sensing cameras are available in a reasonable price range and deliver, overall, good quality data. Moreover these models have the added benefit of depth sensor which, in contrast to the previous option, adds more possible ways to solve the problem.

Having considered all of the above, the best option is the latter one. More specifically, the system described in this report is based on a Kinect V2 from Microsoft. This camera has a color lens with a resolution of 1920 by 1080 pixels and a corresponding field of view of 84.1° by 53.8° (Smeenk, 11 Mar 2014). The high resolution ensures an accurate matrix representation of the real image. Each color frame pulled from the Kinect V2 is represented by an array structure of 1080x1920x3. Every element corresponds with a pixel of the image and varies between 0 and 255. Obviously it can be separated into three different matrices each belonging to \mathbb{R}^2 and based on a different colour: red, green or blue. Next to the colour camera, the Kinect also possesses a depth sensor. An infrared projector and camera

make this possible (Jiao, Yuan, Tang, & Wu, Nov 2017). It provides a 424x512 array making the depth image one of roughly 200000 pixels. The field of view of this function is 70.6° by 60°. Note that the depth camera provides data about parts of the environment that the color camera does not see, and vice versa. When the computer reads the depth data, every number in the matrix represents a distance in millimetres. Obviously there are some restrictions. This technology only provides correct information if the object is at a distance located in between 0.5m to 4m. This has to be taken into account for further implementation of this paper.

As second element of hardware the computer has a less important role. Preferably, OSX isn't used as operating system for this application, because the Kinect drivers do not exist for Macintosh computers. If the reader has a Mac, problems can be avoided by running either Windows or Ubuntu on a virtual machine. The algorithm should run in an acceptable time frame on every machine.

Thirdly, a brief word on the necessary transfer cable. Since a depth sensing camera is used, two types of data (depth and color) need to be transferred. The Microsoft OEM Kinect Adapter makes this possible. The special adapter is the only available option and consists of two general parts. One part for delivering current to the camera and the other to transfer both types of data to the connected computer.

3.2 Visual setup

To conclude this section, a brief elaboration on the visual setup. A few variables need to be declared and some restrictions of the system need to be addressed.

The option to only use one camera is made, this limiting the increasing difficulty of the algorithm. When using more cameras, thus obtaining pictures from different angles of the chosen viewing field, the data of all needs to be integrated and a coherent output has to be developed. This requires more intense matrix merging and in the scope of this project, one camera suffices. When using only one camera it is important to have an idea where this camera is located in comparison to the objects. Utilizing a tripod with an extension beam, the camera is placed in the middle of the inspected viewing field. This way, distortion is avoided the most and the depth sensor will return the most useful data. A different vital parameter is the angle of the camera in relation to the surface containing the objects. Due to the ease of processing the data, a parallel stance of the Kinect in respect to the surface is prefered.

4 Software

There are a lot of options when it comes to software and a wide range of different algorithms for image processing exist. The diagram in Appendix A shows a couple of different methods. But keep in mind that there is no 'right way' to count the number of objects in an image

4.1 Analysing different methods

Analysing RGB images is a creative process. Different approaches have their own advantages and disadvantages. The only general ideas that are common throughout most algorithms are:

- Converting the RGB image to greyscale
- Run filters over the image to remove noise
- Transforming the image to a binary image

In the next scope, three general methods are featured and briefly discussed. Each was investigated in prospect of this paper.

4.1.1 Method 1: Thresholding

The image first passes through an threshold algorithm. After that there is an algorithm to find the edges of an object.

This method is the most simple and straightforward to implement. the first step. Either the image can be converted to a greyscale one which is analysed or the image can be analysed in its three different spectra (red, green and blue) which are combined afterwards. In the next step the array is passed trough a thresholding algorithm with a pre-defined threshold value. The output is a binary matrix. Thus, this array only has 0's and 1's as elements, representing the colours black and white, respectively. When in possession of a truly black and white image, a simple edge detection program is run which makes the edges visible.

The key to solving the problem in this specific scheme is writing code that finds the threshold value based on environmental parameters. An example of such an algorithm is the imbinarize function from the processing toolbox (*Mathworks*, 2018). This function is based on the Otsu's method. An example of a program that uses this function can be found in Appendix B (?, ?).

Advantages: It's an easy and fast algorithm.

Disadvantages: With a pre-defined threshold value it just classifies pixels based on colour. A dynamic value is required.

4.1.2 Method 2: Edge detection

This method is the opposite of method 1. It starts with finding edges and than a threshold algorithm at last

The second method tackles the colour analysis in the opposite order than the first method, as it commences with an edge detection algorithm. Since the input image is still very complex, it is first converted to a filtered greyscale image. Still, this edge detection is more comprehensive than the corresponding code from method one. The output is still a greyscale image, contrary to the binary array the reader might expect. This is followed by some thresholding code with a pre-defined threshold value. The current image is now represented by a matrix where the edges are outlined using binary elements.

Advantages: It detects all kinds of objects, not based on colour or shape.

Disadvantages: The boundary between different objects needs to be clear for this to work.

4.1.3 Method 3: Background filter

This method compares the image to an image of the background and uses that to threshold the image and finding the outline of objects.

The third way takes a different approach to solving the analysis of the colour image. Instead of looking at the objects, the algorithm looks for the background. This is possible in two different ways and both make a compromise in functionality. The first way needs a picture of the empty background without any objects. The second way looks for a pre-defined range which contains more pixels than other ranges. The compromise of the second named perspective is the fact that there can't be too much objects in the image. After applying filters the program loops through the image pixel by pixel. This necessary but time consuming loop checks if the pixel on the image is more or less the same as the corresponding pixel of the background image. If located within a pre-determined range: that element of the array gets classified as background. The consequence is that the output is a binary image with clear-cut objects. An example of this implementation can be found in Appendix C.

Advantages: It is very good in detecting objects, not being based on colour or shape.

Disadvantages: There needs to be an image of the empty background or the image need to be a big part of your image and has to be consistent. On top of that the lighting conditions and shadow play a big part.

4.2 Choice of method

After comparing these different schemes, the second method comes out as the better of the three. See Fig. 2 for the comparison.

The actual code of this function can be found in Appendix D.

4.2.1 Step 1: Converting to greyscale

The first step, as discussed above, is to convert the image to greyscale (Unknown, n.d.-a). This is easily done by calculating a weighted average of the values of all three red, green and blue matrices as shown in the following equation.




Figure 2: A comparison between the 3 different methods.

$$greyscale_image(row, col) = 0.2989*RED + 0.5870*GREEN + 0.1140*BLUE \tag{1}$$

The used coefficients add up to 1. This has to be the case, if else some values could exceed the 0 to 255 range. All these values $greyscale_image(row, col)$ form the new image.

4.2.2 Step 2: Filtering the image

Before running the image through an edge detection algorithm, two filters are applied. Both blur the image to an extent such that noise after edge detection is considerably reduced. This effect is visualised in Fig. 3. Firstly a Gaussian blur(Unknown, n.d.-b) is applied. Most filters are a convolution of a kernel with the image. For a Gaussian blur the kernel G, found below, is used. This is just a weighted average. This has as consequence that the elements centred around the main pixel have bigger weights than those at the edges.




Figure 3: A comparison with the use of filters.

$$G = (1/159) * \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 9 & 12 & 9 & 4 \\ 5 & 12 & 15 & 12 & 5 \\ 4 & 9 & 12 & 9 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

The second blur is a mean blur (R. Fisher & Wolfart, n.d.-b). This is almost the same, the actions are just done with a different kernel. This kernel calculates the average of the values around the pixel.

$$M = (1/9) * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (3)

Note that both G and M have a norm of 1. If this wasn't the case, pixel values of the filtered image could exceed the boundary values of 0 to 255 for uint8 numbers.

4.2.3 Step 3: Edge detection

After both filters are applied, the image is ready to be run through an edge detection algorithm (R. Fisher & Wolfart, n.d.-a). This algorithm is on itself also a filter with kernel given by the matrix L shown below. It calculates the *spatial derivative* or in simpler words, it highlights regions of rapid intensity change.

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

Note now how the kernel uses the pixels next to the evaluated pixel to see how much intensity changes. If the image wouldn't have been filtered before convolution with L, more 'edges' would have been

drawn because more irregular areas exist.

Note also how this convolution returns a new image which can have negative values for its pixels. The more negative the value, the darker the image. To make this possible, a different number type is used.

4.2.4 Step 4: Threshold

The threshold algorithm, used in the following step, is based on this feature. This algorithm runs through the whole matrix and assigns each value to either a 0 or a 1. It decides this by assessing if the current value is either smaller than or bigger than a threshold value, respectively. After conducting multiple experiments and testing, a threshold value of 2 seems to do the trick. A more dynamic way of determining this value may be developed in the next weeks. After applying this edge detection, the matrix becomes a binary image with only the edges in white. Based on these edges, it is possible to outline the objects and count them. More on these functionalities can be found in section 4: Research.

4.3 Analysis Depth Sensor

Using only the RGB image does have some shortcomings. For example, it is rather difficult to distinguish an object from its shadow, a multicoloured object could be seen as multiple different objects and a decent amount of reflection could make an object undetectable. These are some of the reasons why enrichening the object counting algorithm with the usage of a depth sensor is advised. Like featured in the section about the hardware, each element of the input data represents a distance in millimetres. Firstly the code should be able to provide a clear difference in height between the objects and the background using the depth data. This is followed by a filter to get rid of the existing noise. Lastly, the filtered matrix will be used to detect the edges of the objects and thus detect the items themselves. The code that accompanies this description, can be found in appendix E.

4.3.1 Step 1: Detection of the difference in height

The goal is to see a clear difference between the objects and the background. This can be achieved in different ways: it is possible to use a threshold and label everything closer than this predetermined distance as an object. A disadvantage of this method is that the value will be different for various vertical positions of the Kinect v2. In addition, the image of the sensor contains some noise. For example: a picture of a large flat table will not be viewed as an equidistant surface. The elements of the matrix will be different. Another, and more preferred, method would be to use a Sobel-Feldman operator (Sobel, 2014). This operation approximates the gradient in each of the points of the matrix and gives an idea where there is a sudden difference in height (thus where there might be an object). It works by convolving 2 kernels with the image matrix A to become G_x and G_y : respectively one for the horizontal and one for the vertical change in height:

$$G_x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} * A$$

$$G_y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} * A$$

$$G = \sqrt{G_x^2 + G_y^2}$$

In the last equation, G is the magnitude of the total gradient as well as the value inserted in the new matrix. The result can be seen in Fig. 4.




Figure 4: The original RGB image (left) and the image after the Sobel-Feldman operator (right)

4.3.2 Step 2: Filtering of the noise

After adding all the different magnitudes of the gradients to an array, some anomalies still exist. There can be some impossible elements, including points that seem to be further away than the basket or fluctuations in areas that are supposed to be flat (noise). The simplest way to solve this problem would be to use a maximum and minimum threshold: The maximum threshold can be a value that is further away than the basket. These values are impossible and the corresponding values in the matrix can be set to zero. The minimum threshold can be decided by empirical research. Values lower than this value can be seen as noise and thus can be set to zero. The final result can be seen in Fig. 5.




Figure 5: The original image after using a Sobel-Feldman operator and a threshold filter

5 Research

An important part of a project is research. Without reading through previous experiences or discoveries within the domain of the project, it will be very hard to figure out a solution. Let alone being comfortable enough in the domain to try something drastically new and (hopefully) develop new ideas in the specific branch of the subject. Although image processing is a recent research domain, it is quite popular and already has a lot of foundations to built from. Even Matlab has some predefined functions and libraries to assist the development of image processing software. However, the goal of this project is to get insight in the programming and working of image processing. To achieve this goal as good as possible, in the end result, there should be little use of predefined functions or libraries. They will only be used if they are completely comprehensible or if they make the code more elegant by doing ordinary operations.

The next section goes over a few functions which could be used to build on the already existing program described above. All these functions are explained so they can be implemented manually at a later time. It starts by using functions to detect and count the objects and ends with surrounding the objects with a rectangle, as well as highlighting the edges. An example of these functions can be found in Appendix F.

Counting of the objects

The central objective of this paper is counting the amount of objects in a specific rectangular field of view. The general approach to this problem is converting the image to a binary image where black pixels represent the background and white pixels represent the objects. By counting the groups of pixels, it is possible to know how many objects the original image contains. In the image processing toolbox for matlab(Mathworks, 2018), a few functions exist that are very useful for this kind of tasks. One of these functions called bwlabel counts groups of pixels of at least 8 that are connected. The syntax of this function goes as follows:

$$[L, num] = bwlabel(BW) \tag{5}$$

where BW represents the binary (or black and white) image; num represents the number of objects in the BW image and where L represents a matrix were the first group of pixels are numbered 1, the second group 2 and so on. That way it's easier to get an overview of how many objects there are.

Boundary boxes

The image processing toolbox really simplifies the drawing of boundary boxes. Once a binary image is obtained, the function regionprops (*Mathworks*, 2018) can extract properties about image regions. Where image regions are defined as 8-connected components in a binary image. This means that each image region contains at least 8 interconnected white pixels, since the black pixels are registered as background. The property that's interesting for this part of the project is called 'boundingbox'. This property returns for every image region the smallest rectangle that contains this region. In two dimensions this is a vector with 4 values, the x-coordinate of the upper left corner, the y-coordinate of that corner, the width and the height. The function

$$rectangle('Position', pos)$$
 (6)

where 'Position' declares the input and where pos is the input obtained from regionprops, can easily display this boundingbox.

Edge detection

There are a lot of ways to implement edge detection. Edge detection algorithms as described in section 3.2.1 paragraph 4, exist for greyscale images. But if a binary image is available, this becomes much easier. To start, a function called byboundaries exists in the image processing toolbox (*Mathworks*, 2018). The syntax of that function goes as follows:

$$B = bwboundaries(BW) \tag{7}$$

where BW represents the input. This is a binary image which only consists out of black and white pixels; and B represents the output, which consist out of a cell array with N elements (number of image regions in the binary image), all these elements contain a list of the boundary pixels. Which in turn are fairly easy to draw. They can be inserted in the matrix of the image by replacing values, this is done by looping through the cell arrays. The advantage of this method is that the image with the boundary boxes on top of it can be exported. When they are drawn on top of the image with a

function like visboundaries, the values of the pixels in the original image stay unchanged. Two images are displayed: one with the image and another on top of it with the edges, which makes it more difficult to export the result.

The function by boundaries implements the Moore-Neighbor tracing algorithm (Ghuneim, n.d.). The algorithm loops through the entire matrix until it finds a white pixel (a pixel that belongs to an image region). This pixel is defined as the start pixel. Once it finds a start pixel it searches for the next connected white pixel. This means another white pixel in one of the eight regions around the start. The algorithm does this by examining the pixels in a clockwise direction. Once it finds a new white pixel, this pixel is added to the sequence B and becomes our new start pixel. This process keeps on running until the algorithm visits the first start pixel for a second time. The only problem with this algorithm is that sometimes the first start pixel is visited for a second time before all of the outline is visited. This is illustrated in Fig. 6).




Figure 6: Problem with stopping criteria Moore-Neighbor tracing algorithm.

This problem is resolved with the Jacob's stopping criterion. Which states that the algorithm can stop once the first start pixel is visited from the same direction as it initially was entered. This leaves four possibilities that need to be checked, from below, from the left, from above or from the right. With this additional criteria, every pixel at the edge of a connected region is visited. To find the edges of all the interconnected image regions this process is repeated until every pixel of the image matrix has been checked.

6 Further planning

Being halfway trough the project, a visual timeframe is created. The Gantt chart followed in the project can be found in Appendix G. This visualizes the current state of the solution for the described problem, as well as the schedule for the next few weeks. This project contains five milestones, two of them are already achieved. These have a minor value in prospect to the total paper though. The most important occupancy of the next weeks is implementing key elements of the final algorithm. Key components like filling the edges, creating the boundary boxes and eventually counting the number of objects still need the necessary attention. All of this while the given deadlines need to be respected. As it is possible to view in the chart, the decision to start rather early on the folder is made. A professional representation of the findings takes time. So planning it like this, ensures enough time to perfect the folder.

In general, the project is on schedule. From a critical point of view, too much time writing the report during the team sessions was wasted. For the final paper, more individual work is recommended and will happen.

7 Budget management

As seen above, the system explained in this paper primarily consists of software which on its own doesn't cost anything. The necessary hardware is rather costly. The current set-up consists of a tripod and the electronics. The tripod is lend for free by the faculty thus the only remaining costs are the Kinect v2 and its adapter to connect with a personal computer.

With a budget of ≤ 250 , this is feasible. Both the Kinect and the adapter have been ordered but as of writing, a fixed price isn't known. At the current market prices, the estimated cost is ≤ 200 . The remaining ≤ 50 are a safe backup for other small costs.

8 Course Integration

Solving the problem explained in this report is the main objective of the course P&O 3 (B-KUL-H01D4B). This course is part of the bachelor in engineering science curriculum of the KU Leuven. It is a course which uses concepts seen in others to solve problems. By now it should be apparent that, indeed, many courses were used to tackle the given problem.

Knowledge of linear algebra is applied extensively. Simple concepts like matrix multiplication to more advanced ones like matrix convolution form the core of the written algorithms. Numerical Mathematics is useful to get an idea what influence measurement errors have on calculations with large matrices. And this course, together with Applied Informatics, give the tools to investigate the time complexity of the algorithm. The latter course has also helped with grasping the Matlab programming language and environment since general programming concepts are a big part of this project.

9 Conclusion

After four weeks of group gatherings, a decent amount of of research has been done and a decent amount of progress was made. The project will be executed by using a Microsoft Kinect version 2. The combination of a depth and RGB sensor at a reasonable cost are some of the decisive arguments over a standard webcam or an industrial camera.

When obtaining the image from the RGB sensor, the three dimensional matrix is turned into a one dimensional matrix and thus into a greyscale image. A Gaussian blur and an edge detection algorithm are used to further reduce this matrix to a binary array where only the edges are highlighted. Meanwhile, using a Sobel-Feldman operator and a threshold filter, the image obtained from the depth sensor will become clean with a clearly visible outline around the differences in height.

Next, the goal is to, after merging the processed data from the RGB and depth sensor, perfect the positioning of the edges and fill in possible blank spots. Subsequently, a step towards counting the objects will be made. If the amount of progress made per day will stay consistent, all the deadlines should be accomplished in the given timeframe. However, perseverance and a critical point of view are needed to successfully finish this assignment.

10 References

Burge, M. J., & Burger, W. (2008). Digital image processing (1st ed.). Springer.

 $\label{lem:contour_contour_tracing} Ghuneim, A. G. (n.d.). \textit{Moore-neighbor tracing}. Retrieved (2018-10-26), from $http://www.imageprocessingplace.com/downloads_V3/root_downloads/tutorials/contour_tracing $_Abeer_George_Ghuneim/moore.html$$

Jiao, J., Yuan, L., Tang, W., & Wu, Q. (Nov 2017). Kinect v1 and kinect v2 fields of view compared. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Kinect-v2-sensor-working\-on-a-photographic-tripod_fig1_321048476/

Mathworks. (2018). Retrieved from https://nl.mathworks.com/help/

R. Fisher, A. W., S. Perkins, & Wolfart, E. (n.d.-a). *Laplacian of gaussian*. Retrieved from https://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/rbf/HIPR2/log.html

R. Fisher, A. W., S. Perkins, & Wolfart, E. (n.d.-b). *Mean filter*. Retrieved from https://homepages.inf.ed.ac.uk/rbf/HIPR2/mean.html

Smeenk, R. (11 Mar 2014). Kinect v1 and kinect v2 fields of view compared. Retrieved from http://smeenk.com/kinect-field-of-view-comparison/

Sobel, I. (2014, 02). An isotropic 3x3 image gradient operator.


Stackoverflow. (2018). Retrieved from https://stackoverflow.com

Unknown. (n.d.-a). Greyscale. Retrieved (2018-10-1), from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Grayscale

Unknown. (n.d.-b). Kernel (image processing). Retrieved (2018-10-1), from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kernel_(image_processing)

11 Appendices

A: Diagram



B: Matlab Threshold Functions

```
% Read image
  I = imread('test.jpg');
  imshow(I);
  % Rgb space
  rmat = I(:,:,1);
  gmat = I(:,:,2);
  bmat = I(:,:,3);
  subplot(2,2,1), imshow(rmat);
  title ('Red plane');
  subplot(2,2,2), imshow(gmat);
  title ('Green plane');
  subplot(2,2,3), imshow(bmat);
  title ('Blue plane');
  subplot(2,2,4), imshow(I);
  title ('Original image');
17
  % Convert to black and white
  rlevel = 0.5;
  glevel = 0.5;
21
  blevel = 0.5;
22
  rthresh = imbinarize(rmat, 'adaptive');
  %rthresh = im2bw(rmat, rlevel);
  gthresh = imbinarize(gmat, 'adaptive');
  %gthresh = im2bw(gmat, glevel);
  bthresh = imbinarize(bmat, 'adaptive');
  %bthresh = im2bw(bmat, blevel);
  Isum = (rthresh & gthresh & bthresh);
30
  subplot(2,2,1), imshow(rthresh);
32
  title ('Red plane');
  subplot(2,2,2), imshow(gthresh);
  title ('Green plane');
  subplot(2,2,3), imshow(bthresh);
  title ('Blue plane');
  subplot(2,2,4), imshow(Isum);
  title ('Sum');
39
  % Complement of image
41
  Icomp = imcomplement(Isum);
  imshow (Icomp);
43
  % Fill in holes
45
  Ifilled = imfill(Isum, 'holes');
  imshow (Ifilled);
47
  % Erasing noise
  se = strel('disk', 10);
```

```
Iopenned = imopen(Ifilled , se);
imshow(Iopenned);

Extract features
[labeled , numObjects] = bwlabel(Iopenned , 4);

We use feature analysis to count objects
figure , imshow(I);
title(['There are ', num2str(numObjects), ' objects in the picture']);
```

C: Matlab Erasing Background

```
% Read image
  I = imread('shapes.jpg');
  imshow(I);
  % Rgb space
  rmat = I(:,:,1);
  gmat = I(:,:,2);
  bmat = I(:,:,3);
  subplot(2,2,1), imshow(rmat);
   title ('Red plane');
  subplot(2,2,2), imshow(gmat);
  title ('Green plane');
  subplot(2,2,3), imshow(bmat);
   title ('Blue plane');
  subplot(2,2,4), imshow(I);
   title ('Original image');
17
18
  % Histogram
  nb_bins = 2;
   width_bins = 256/nb_bins;
21
22
  image\_size = size(rmat);
23
  nb_elements = image_size(1)*image_size(2);
24
  h1 = histogram (rmat, nb_bins);
  hold on;
  h2 = histogram (gmat, nb_bins);
  h3 = histogram (bmat, nb_bins);
  hold off;
30
  biggest\_bin\_red = 0;
32
   biggest_bin_green = 0;
33
  biggest_bin_blue = 0;
  nb_elems_red = h1. Values;
  nb_elems_green = h2. Values;
   nb_elems_blue = h3. Values;
37
38
   for i = 1:nb_bins
39
       if nb_elems_red(i) > biggest_bin_red
40
           biggest_bin_red = nb_elems_red(i);
41
           bin_red=i;
       end
43
       if nb_elems_green(i) > biggest_bin_green
           biggest_bin_green = nb_elems_green(i);
45
           bin_green=i;
46
47
       if nb_elems_blue(i) > biggest_bin_blue
           biggest_bin_blue = nb_elems_blue(i);
49
           bin_blue=i;
```

```
end
51
   end
52
53
   colour_value_red_min = (bin_red_1)*width_bins;
   colour_value_red_max = bin_red*width_bins;
55
   colour\_value\_green\_min = (bin\_green - 1)*width\_bins;
   colour_value_green_max = bin_green*width_bins;
57
   colour_value_blue_min = (bin_blue_{-1})*width_bins;
   colour_value_blue_max = bin_blue * width_bins;
   disp(colour_value_red_min);
   disp(colour_value_red_max);
61
   % Convert to black and white
   %red
   matrix\_size = size(rmat);
   MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
   MAX_{COLUMN} = matrix_{size}(2);
   rmat_bw = zeros (MAX_ROW, MAX_COLUMN);
   for row=1:MAX.ROW
        for col=1:MAX_COLUMN
70
            if (colour_value_red_min < rmat(row,col)) && (rmat(row,col) <
               colour_value_red_max)
                rmat_bw(row, col) = 255;
            else
                rmat_bw(row, col) = 0;
            end
75
       end
   end
77
   %green
   matrix\_size = size(gmat);
  MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
   MAX.COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
   gmat_bw = zeros(MAX_ROW, MAX_COLUMN);
   for row=1:MAXROW
84
       for col=1:MAX_COLUMN
            if (colour_value_green_min < gmat(row, col)) && (gmat(row, col) <
86
               colour_value_green_max)
                gmat_bw(row, col) = 255;
            else
                gmat_bw(row, col) = 0;
89
            end
       end
91
   end
93
   %blue
   matrix_size = size(bmat);
   MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
  MAX.COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
   bmat_bw = zeros (MAX_ROW, MAX_COLUMN);
   for row=1:MAXROW
       for col=1:MAX_COLUMN
100
```

```
if (colour_value_blue_min < bmat(row,col)) && (bmat(row,col) <
101
                colour_value_blue_max)
                bmat_bw(row, col) = 255;
102
            else
103
                bmat_bw(row, col) = 0;
104
            end
        end
106
   end
107
108
   Isum = (rmat_bw & bmat_bw & gmat_bw);
109
110
   %plot
111
   subplot(2,2,1), imshow(rmat_bw);
112
   title ('Red plane');
   subplot(2,2,2), imshow(gmat_bw);
   title ('Green plane');
   subplot(2,2,3), imshow(bmat_bw);
   title ('Blue plane');
117
   subplot(2,2,4), imshow(Isum);
   title ('Sum');
119
   % Complement of image
121
   Icomp = imcomplement(Isum);
   imshow (Icomp);
123
   % Fill in holes
125
   Ifilled = imfill(Icomp, 'holes');
   imshow (Ifilled);
127
   % Erasing noise
129
   se = strel('disk',1);
130
   Iopenned = imopen(Ifilled, se);
131
   imshow (Iopenned);
132
133
   % Extract features
134
   [labeled, numObjects] = bwlabel(Iopenned);
   stats = regionprops(labeled, 'Eccentricity', 'Area', 'BoundingBox');
136
   eccentricities = [stats.Eccentricity];
137
138
   W Use feature analysis to count objects
   idxOfObjects = find (eccentricities);
140
   figure, imshow(I);
142
   hold on;
   for idx = 1 : length(idxOfObjects)
144
       h = rectangle ('Position', stats(idx).BoundingBox);
145
        set (h, 'EdgeColor', [.75 0 0]);
146
        set(h, 'LineWidth',2);
147
148
149
   title (['There are ', num2str(numObjects), 'objects in the picture']);
150
   hold off;
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} ^{152} \\ ^{153} \ \% \ Draw \ boundaries \\ ^{154} \ B = bwboundaries (Iopenned); \\ ^{155} \ imshow(I); \\ ^{156} \ hold \ on; \\ ^{157} \ visboundaries(B); \\ ^{158} \ hold \ off; \end{array}
```

D: Matlab Code RGB Sensor

```
clearvars
2 img = imread('kinect/foto RGB 3.png'); % Load picture
  A = greyscale(img); % Convert image to grayscale
A = \text{symImgCrop}(A, 50); % Crop image so it's the same size.
  A = gaussian_blur(mean_blur(A)); \% Filters
  W Method 1: First greyscale, then blur, then threshold, then edge
      detection.
  B = threshold(A); % Threshold image
  C = \operatorname{edge2\_detect}(B, 3);
  D = remove\_boundary(C, 25);
  subplot(2,2,1), imshow(A, []);
  title ("Input (after blur)");
  subplot(2,2,2), imshow(B, []);
  title("After thresholding");
  subplot(2,2,3), imshow(D, []);
  title ("After edge detection & boundary removed");
  W Method 2: First greyscale, then trheshold with background, than edge
      detection
  bg = imread('kinect/foto RGB 1.png'); % Load background image
  bg = greyscale(bg); % Convert image to grayscale
  bg = symImgCrop(bg, 50); % CROP IMAGE SO IT's the same size.
  bg = gaussian_blur(mean_blur(bg)); % Filters
  B = threshold_ivm_background(A, bg); % Threshold with background
  C = \text{`edge2\_detect}(B, 3); \% \text{ Detect edges}.
  D = remove_boundary(C, 25); % Remove boundary around image.
  subplot(2,2,1), imshow(A, []);
  title ("Input (after blur)");
  subplot(2,2,2), imshow(B, []);
  title ("After thresholding");
  subplot(2,2,3), imshow(D, []);
  title ("After edge detection & boundary removed");
  W Method 3: First greyscale, then blur, then edge detect then threshold
      and then noise removal
  first_edge_detect = edge_detect(A); % Laplacian edge detection
  without_noise_removal = threshold_edge(remove_boundary(first_edge_detect,
       15)); % Remove boundary around image & threshold the edges.
  with_noise_removal = noise_deletion(without_noise_removal, 3); % Noise
37
      removal
  subplot(2,2,1), imshow(A, []);
  title ("Input (after blur)");
  subplot(2,2,2), imshow(first\_edge\_detect, []);
  title ("After edge detection");
  subplot(2,2,3), imshow(without_noise_removal, []);
  title ("Threshold without noise removal");
  subplot(2,2,4), imshow(with_noise_removal, []);
  title ("Method 2 with gaussian and mean blur");
```

```
46
   function result = threshold_ivm_background(img, bg)
48
       \% DIMENSIONS MUST MATCH
49
       % Compare pixel at img(row, col) with bg(row, col).
50
      \% if bg(row, col) - D \le img(row, col) \le bg(row, col) + D
                The pixels are defined as background!! (= white)
52
       D = 10;
       WHITE = 1;
       BLACK = 0;
56
57
       matrix_size = size(img);
      MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
59
      MAX.COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
60
       result = zeros (MAXROW, MAX_COLUMN, 1);
       for row=1:MAXROW
63
           for col=1:MAX_COLUMN
64
               if img(row, col) \le bg(row, col) + D \&\& img(row, col) >= bg(
                  row, col) – D
                   % Classified as background
66
                   result(row, col) = WHITE;
               else
                   % Not background
                   result(row, col) = BLACK;
70
               end
           end
72
       end
74
  end
75
76
   function nes = noise_deletion (img, window)
77
       matrix_size = size(img);
78
       MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
79
       MAX_COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
       side = floor(window/2);
81
       nes = img;
       for col=side+1:MAX_COLUMN-side
           for row=side+1:MAXROW-side
85
                list = zeros (window);
                q=1;
                for i=-side:side
                    for j=-side: side
89
                        list(q) = img(row+i, col+j);
                        q = q+1;
                    end
92
               end
93
                list=sort(list);
94
                nes(row, col) = list(floor((window^2)/2)+1);
95
           end
96
```

```
end
97
   end
99
   function result = remove_boundary(img, remove_size)
100
        matrix_size = size (img);
101
        MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
        MAX.COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
103
104
        result = zeros(MAX_ROW, MAX_COLUMN, 1);
105
        for row=1:MAXROW
             for col=1:MAX_COLUMN
107
                if row < remove_size || col < remove_size || row > (MAXROW -
108
                    {\tt remove\_size}) \ || \ {\tt col} > ({\tt MAX\_COLUMN-remove\_size})
                    % Inside boundary => needs to be white (= 1)
109
                     result(row, col) = 1;
110
111
                     result(row, col) = img(row, col);
                end
113
114
             end
115
        \quad \text{end} \quad
   end
117
118
   function thresholded_img = threshold_edge(img)
119
        threshold_value = 2;
        %most\_occurring = mode(img) + 100;
121
        %threshold_value = most_occuring(1);
123
        matrix\_size = size(img);
        MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
125
        MAX.COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
126
        THICKNESS = 3;
127
128
        thresholded_img = zeros (MAX_ROW, MAX_COLUMN, 1);
129
        for row=1:MAX.ROW
130
             for col=1:MAX_COLUMN
                 if img(row, col) > threshold_value
132
                      value = 1;
133
                      for i = 1:THICKNESS
134
                          % Create thicker edges (edges of THICKNESS pixels
                              thick)
                           if (col - i) > 0
136
                               thresholded_img(row, col-i) = 0;
137
                          end
                      end
139
                 else
140
                      value = 0;
141
142
                 thresholded_img(row, col) = value;
143
             end
144
        end
145
   end
146
```

```
147
   function mean_blurred = mean_blur(img)
148
        mean = (1/9) * [1 1 1; 1 1 1; 1 1];
149
        mean\_blurred = conv2(img, mean);
   end
151
   function gaussian_blurred = gaussian_blur(img)
153
        gaussian = (1/159) * [2 4 5 4 2; 4 9 12 9 4; 5 12 15 12 5; 4 9 12 9]
154
           4; 2 4 5 4 2; ];
        gaussian_blurred = conv2(img, gaussian);
   end
156
157
   function edge2 = edge2_detect(img, intolerance)
158
        matrix\_size = size(img);
159
       MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
160
       MAX_COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
161
        edge2 = zeros(MAX_ROW, MAX_COLUMN, 1);
162
       THICKNESS = 2;
163
164
       % Horizontaal laten checken voor edges.
165
        previous_value = img(1,1);
        for row=1:MAXROW % We gaan elke rij af
167
            for col=1:MAX.COLUMN
168
                i = 1:
169
                flag = 0;
                if img(row, col) = 1 && previous_value = 0
171
                    % DUS: Het begin van een object. (hele tijd wit, nu zwart
                        ), flag voor intolerantie controle aanzetten.
                     flag = 1;
                elseif img(row, col) == 0 \&\& previous\_value == 1
174
                     % DUS: Het einde van een object (hele tijd zwart, nu wit
175
                         ), flag voor intolerantie controle aanzetten.
                     flag = 1;
176
                end
177
178
                %Intolerantie controle
                while i \le intolerance && flag && col+i \le MAX.COLUMN
180
                     if img(row, col-1+i) = img(row, col+i)
181
                         flag = 0;
182
                     end
                     i = i + 1;
184
                end
185
186
                % Eertse maal edgematrix vullen
                if flag
188
                     edge2(row, col) = 1;
189
190
                     for i = 1:THICKNESS
191
                         % Create thicker edges (edges of THICKNESS pixels
192
                             thick)
                         if (col - i) > 0
193
                             edge2(row, col-i) = 1;
194
```

```
end
195
                     end
196
                 else
197
                     edge2(row, col) = 0;
198
                 end
199
                 previous_value = img(row, col);
201
            end
202
203
        previous_value = img(row, 1);
        end
205
206
        % Verticaal controleren op edges.
207
        previous_value = img(1,1);
208
        for col=1:MAX.COLUMN % We gaan elke kolom af
209
           for row=1:MAX.ROW
210
                 i = 1:
211
                 flag = 0;
212
                 if img(row, col) = 1 \&\& previous\_value = 0
213
                     % DUS: Het begin van een object. (hele tijd wit, nu zwart
214
                         ), flag voor intolerantie controle aanzetten.
                     %value = 1;
215
                     flag = 1;
216
                 elseif img(row, col) = 0 \&\& previous\_value = 1
217
                      %DUS: Het einde van een object (hele tijd zwart, nu wit)
                          , flag voor intolerantie controle aanzetten.
                     %value = 1;
                     flag = 1;
220
                 end
222
                 % Intolerantie controle
223
                 while i <= intolerance && flag && row+i <= MAXROW
224
                      if img(row-1+i, col) = img(row+i, col)
225
                          flag = 0;
226
                     end
227
                     i=i+1;
                 end
229
230
                 % Enkel nullen overriden
231
                 if flag
                     edge2(row, col) = 1;
233
                     for i = 1:THICKNESS
                          % Create thicker edges (edges of THICKNESS pixels
235
                              thick)
                          if (row - i) > 0
236
                              edge2(row - i, col) = 1;
237
                          end
238
                     end
239
                 end
240
241
                 previous_value = img(row, col);
242
           end
243
```

```
244
        previous_value = img(1, col);
245
246
   end
248
   function edge = edge_detect(img)
250
        klaplace = [0 -1 0; -1 4 -1; 0 -1 0];
                                                              % Laplacian filter
251
            kernel
        edge=conv2(img, klaplace);
                                                                % convolve test img
             with
253
   end
254
   function thresholded_img = threshold(img)
255
        threshold_value = 125;
256
       %most_occurring =mode(img) +100;
257
       %threshold_value = most_occuring(1);
258
259
        matrix\_size = size(img);
260
       MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
261
       MAX_COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
263
        thresholded_img = zeros (MAX.ROW, MAX.COLUMN, 1);
        for row=1:MAX.ROW
265
            for col=1:MAX_COLUMN
                 if img(row, col) > threshold_value
267
                     value = 1;
268
269
                 else
                     value = 0;
271
                 end
272
                 thresholded_img(row, col) = value;
273
            end
274
        end
275
   end
276
   function grey = greyscale(img)
278
        matrix\_size = size(img);
279
       MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
280
       MAX.COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
282
        grey = zeros (MAX_ROW, MAX_COLUMN, 1);
283
        for row=1:MAXROW
284
            for col=1:MAX_COLUMN
                R = img(row, col, 1);
286
                G = img(row, col, 2);
287
                B = img(row, col, 3);
288
                 grey(row, col) = 0.2989 * R + 0.5870 * G + 0.1140 * B;
289
                %These are two methods for grayscaling.
290
                \%grey(row, col) = (R + G + B)/3;
291
            end
292
        end
293
```

```
end
294
295
      % Cropping and image rotation functions
296
297
       function cropped_img = symImgCrop(img, cutted_edge_size)
298
                 original_img_size = size(img);
                 original_max_row = original_img_size(1);
300
                 original_max\_column = original\_img\_size(2);
301
302
                 cropped_img = zeros(original_max_row - 2*cutted_edge_size,
                        original_max_column - 2*cutted_edge_size,1);
304
                 for row=cutted_edge_size:original_max_row - cutted_edge_size
305
                          for col=cutted_edge_size:original_max_column - cutted_edge_size
306
                                   cropped_img(row - cutted_edge_size + 1,col - cutted_edge_size
307
                                             +1) = img(row, col);
308
                          end
                end
309
       end
310
311
        function img_rot = four_point_img_rotation_crop(img, fourp)
                % A function which crops and rotates the given image so that the only
313
                % thing shown will be the pixels inside the four points, in
314
                        horizontal
                % or vertical position.
315
                   fourp_base = [0 \ fourp(1,2) - fourp(1,1) \ fourp(1,3) - fourp(1,1) \ fourp
316
                           (1,4)-fourp(1,1); 0 fourp(2,2)-fourp(2,1) fourp(2,3)-fourp(2,1)
                           fourp (2,4)-fourp (2,1);
                   if (fourp_base(4,1)-fourp_base(1,1)) > 4
                            sigma = atan((fourp_base(2,4)-fourp_base(2,1))/(fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1,4)-fourp_base(1
318
                                    fourp_base(1,1));
                   else
319
                            sigma = pi/2;
320
                  end
321
                   if sigma \leq pi/4
322
                            rot_mat = [cos(-sigma) - sin(-sigma); sin(-sigma) cos(-sigma)];
                   else
324
                            rot_mat = [cos(pi/2 - sigma) - sin(pi/2 - sigma); sin(pi/2 -
325
                                    sigma) cos(pi/2 - sigma);
                   end
327
       end
329
       function img_crop = generic_crop(img, fourp)
331
                % A function which crops the given image so that the edges are
332
                % definened by the four point given in fourp.
333
                XARRAY = [fourp(1,1) fourp(1,2) fourp(1,3) fourp(1,4)];
334
                Y\_ARRAY = [fourp(2,1) fourp(2,2) fourp(2,3) fourp(2,4)];
335
                MIN_X = \min(X_ARRAY);
336
                MAX_X = \max(X_ARRAY);
337
                MIN_Y = \min(Y_ARRAY);
338
```

```
MAX.Y = max(Y.ARRAY);

img_crop = zeros(MAX.X-MIN_X,MAX.Y-MIN_Y);

for row = MIN_X:MAX.X

for col = MIN_Y:MAX.Y

img_crop(row - MIN_X + 1,col - MIN_Y + 1) = img(row,col);

end

end

a46 end
```

E: Matlab Code Septh Sensor

```
%processing the image using the depthsensor
2
  %treshold values
  min_{tresh} = 30;
  max_tresh = 500;
  % get image from depth sensor
  depth = getsnapshot(depthVid);
  %run the sobel operator
  shapes = sobel_operator(depth);
13
  %run the treshold filter
  shapes = treshold(shapes, min_tresh, max_tresh);
15
  %look at the result
  image(depth);
18
19
  function shapes = sobel_operator(img)
20
21
       X = img;
22
       Gx = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & +2 & +1; & 0 & 0 & 0; & -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}; Gy = Gx';
23
       temp_x = conv2(X, Gx, 'same');
24
       temp_y = conv2(X, Gy, 'same');
       shapes = sqrt(temp_x.^2 + temp_y.^2);
26
  end
27
28
   function tresholded = treshold(img, min_tresh, max_tresh)
30
       matrix\_size = size(img);
31
32
       MAXROW = matrix_size(1);
33
34
       MAX.COLUMN = matrix_size(2);
35
       for row = 1 : MAXROW
            for col = 1: MAX_COLUMN
38
               if (img(row, col) > min_tresh) && (img(row, col) < max_tresh)
39
                   img(row, col) = 1;
               else
41
                   img(row, col) = 0;
               end
43
            end
       end
45
       tresholded = img;
  end
47
```

F: Matlab Library Functions

```
1 % Extract features
  [labeled, numObjects] = bwlabel(Image_black);
  stats = regionprops(labeled, 'Eccentricity', 'Area', 'BoundingBox');
  eccentricities = [stats.Eccentricity];
  W Use feature analysis to count objects
  idxOfObjects = find(eccentricities);
  figure , imshow(Image);
  hold on;
  for idx = 1 : length(idxOfObjects)
      h = rectangle ('Position', stats(idx).BoundingBox);
       set(h, 'EdgeColor', [.75 0 0]);
13
       set(h, 'LineWidth', 2);
14
  end
15
16
  title (['There are ', num2str(numObjects), 'objects in the picture']);
  hold off;
18
  % Draw boundaries
 B = bwboundaries (Image_black);
  imshow (Image);
  hold on;
  visboundaries (B);
25 hold off;
```

G: Gantt chart



Created with Free Edition

