

Problem Solving and Engineering Design part 3

ESAT1A1

*Max Beerten
Brent De Bleser
Wouter Devos
Ben Fidlers
Simon Gulix
Tom Kerkhofs*

Counting and recognizing non-moving objects by means of image processing

PRELIMINARY REPORT

Co-titular
Tinne Tuytelaars

Coach(es)
Xuanli Chen
José Oramas

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019

Declaration of originality

We hereby declare that this submitted draft is entirely our own, subject to feedback and support given us by the didactic team, and subject to lawful cooperation which was agreed with the same didactic team.

Regarding this draft, we also declare that:

- 1. Note has been taken of the text on academic integrity (<https://eng.kuleuven.be/studeren/masterproef-en-papers/documenten/20161221-academischeintegriteit-okt2016.pdf>).*
- 2. No plagiarism has been committed as described on <https://eng.kuleuven.be/studeren/masterproef-en-papers/plagiaat>.*
- 3. All experiments, tests, measurements, ..., have been performed as described in this draft, and no data or measurement results have been manipulated.*
- 4. All sources employed in this draft – including internet sources – have been correctly referenced.*

Abstract

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Description of the problem	1
3	Problem Description	1
4	Design	1
4.1	Hardware	1
4.2	Software	2
4.2.1	Analysis colour image	2
4.2.2	Depth sensor	4
4.2.3	Analysis Depth Sensor	4
5	Implementation	4
6	Budget management	5
7	course integration	5
8	Conclusion	5
9	List of references	5
10	Appendix	5

List of Tables

List of Figures

1 Introduction

iiiiii HEAD

2 Description of the problem

===== Digital image processing has been a crucial part of the current digitalisation movement. From industrial machinery to customer amusement, the vision of computer-aided systems has become a given for most users. While image alteration and manipulation remain a core part of this image processing, nowadays other image related problems are being solved by artificial intelligence. Most were considered to be an important part of digital image processing. In this category sits the problem considered in this paper: feature extraction. The counting of objects in an image to be more exact. So why use 'traditional' methods to solve this problem? While being a great way for unravelling many problems, artificial intelligence, mostly provides general solutions. However, certain cases are solved more efficiently by specific methods. Such is the case with object counting, while deep learning algorithms need a big data set as training material, standard image processing only requires the image itself.

Evidently, regardless which way a method processes images, it needs a visual source. In this paper the focus is on live object counting, which is only possible with a camera. The choice of hardware greatly impacts the methods that can be used. This choice will be covered in !TITEL HARDWARE HERE!. By far the most important part of this task is the algorithm by which the the objects will be counted. Classically, object counting algorithms have a standard group of steps: filtering, converting to an intensity matrix, edge detection, converting to a binary matrix, boundary boxing and the counting itself. These segments don't have a fixed order and can occur multiple times in the final method. Most of these steps can also be approached in different ways. A wide range of possible filters, kernels, edge detection methods, etc. exist, which all have there upsides and drawbacks. These choices will be discussed in !TITEL SOFTWARE HERE!.

3 Problem Description

~~~~~ 274b2b56e6f35ed23361a3c78a1b52e4f10f8a5b

Given is a basket with a standard rectangular shape with fixed dimensions. The objective is to count every object in this basket. If possible, the objects can be outlined by the system.

The system used should be based on color and depth images.

## 4 Design

### 4.1 Hardware

The hardware needed to solve the described problem is not complicated. In essence, it just consists of a computer, a camera and a cable to transfer the data between the prior named necessities. Each hardware element is discussed in the following section.

Choosing the camera is a vital element in this project. If chosen poorly, it can fiercely limit the outcome of the final algorithm. There are three main options in the visual department: a webcam, an industrial camera or a camera with a built-in depth sensor. Each has its pros and cons. A webcam is cheap but doesn't insure good quality and easy acces to its data. A camera for industrial usage is rather expensive. Note that those at the cheaper end of the industrial spectrum only deliver greyscale images. Thirdly, the depth sensing cameras are at a mediocre price point and deliver good quality data. In thought of this specific project the latter is preferred. More specifically is this paper based on a Kinect V2 made by Microsoft. This camera has a color lens with a resolution of 1920 by 1080

and a corresponding field of view of 84.1 by 53.8 degrees. The high resolution ensures an accurate matrix representation of the real image. Each color frame pulled from the Kinect v2 is represented by an array structure of 1080 x 1920 x 3. Every element corresponds with a pixel of the image and varies between 0 and 255. Obviously it can be separated into three different matrices each in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and based on a different color of the RGB spectrum. Next to the color camera, the Kinect also possesses a depth sensor. An infrared projector and camera make this possible. It provides a 424 x 512 array making the depth image one of roughly 200 000 pixels. The field of view of this function is 70.6 by 60 degrees. Note that the depth camera provides data about parts of the environment that the color camera does not see, vice versa also. When the computer reads the depth data, every number in the matrix represents a distance in millimeters. Obviously there are some restrictions. This technology only provides correct information if the object is at a distance located in between half a meter and 4 meters. This has to be taken into account for further implementation of this paper.

As second element of hardware has the computer a less important role. Preferably, OSX isn't used as operating system for this application because the Kinect drivers don't exist for Macintosh computers. If the reader has a Mac, problems can be avoided by running Windows/Ubuntu via a virtual machine. Speed of the used computer system isn't anything to worry about. The algorithm should run in an acceptable timeframe on every machine.

To conclude this section a brief word on the necessary transfer cable. Since a depth sensing camera is used, two types of data (depth and color) need to be transferred. The Microsoft OEM Kinect Adapter makes this possible. The special adapter consists of two general parts. One part in function of delivering current to the camera and the other to transfer both types of data to the connected computer.

## 4.2 Software

iiiiiii HEAD

### 4.2.1 Analysis colour image

JMLJKDMLFKJMLSKJDFLMJKSDFLJSDLMF SIMON TEST There are a lot of options when it comes to software and a wide range of different algorithms exist for image processing. The diagram on FIG...XX... shows a couple of different methods. There is no 'right-way' to count objects in an image. Different approaches have their own advantages and disadvantages. The only things that are common for most algorithms are:

- Converting the RGB image to grayscale
- Run filters over the image to remove noise

These elements are also visible in the diagram.

#### Method 1

This method is the most simple and easy to implement. As input, it takes a filtered grayscale image. This is run through a thresholding-algorithm with a pre-defined threshold value. The output is a binary matrix. This array only has 0's and 1's, respectively representing the colours white and black. The key to solving the problem in this way is writing code that finds the threshold value based on environmental parameters. When in possession of a real black and white image, a simple edge detection program is run which makes the edges visible.

Advantages: It's an easy and fast algorithm.

Disadvantages: With a pre-defined threshold value it just classifies pixels based on colour.



## Method 2

Method 2 tackles the colour analysis in the opposite order than method 1, as it starts with an edge detection algorithm. Since the input image is still very complex, this edge detection is way more comprehensive. The output is a greyscale image, contrary to the binary array the reader might expected. This is followed by some tresholding code with a pre-defined treshold value. The current image is now represented by a matrix where the edges are represented in binary. Viewing the fact that there is a lot of noise using this sequence of steps, it's recommended to include noise reduction code.

Advantages: It detects all kind of objects, not based on colour or shape.

Disadvantages: The boundary between different objects needs to be clear for this to work.

## Method 3

The third way takes a different approach to solving the analysis of the colour image. When using this, a compromise in functionality is made. Since it needs a picture of the empty background without any objects, the user experience is worsened. After getting a background image, the picture of the situation with objects gets filtered and the algorithm converts it into a grayscale image. Using this less complex matrix, the code loops through the image pixel by pixel. This necessary but time consuming loop checks if the pixel on the image is more or less the same as the corresponding pixel on the background image. If located within a pre-determined range, that element of the array gets classified as background. The consequence is that the output is a binary image with clear-cut objects.

Advantages: It is very good in detecting objects, not being based on colour or shape.

Disadvantages: There needs to be an image of the empty background. Note that the lighting conditions have to be unaffected inbetween taking the needed pictures for this algorithm.

## Implementation

After a lot of testing different methods, the three methods above seemed the most interesting. After comparing these methods with each other, it seems that method 2 is the best choice. See figure XXXX for the comparison. As mentioned above, the fist thing that needs to be done with the image is converting it to grayscale. An RGB image exists from colors: red, green and blue. Thus an RGB image is a 3-dimensional matrix. The grayscale image is calculated by multiplying the individual color values with a color-specific weight. So loop through every pixel and combine the 3-dimensional RGB matrix to a 1-dimensional grayscale image.

$$grayscale\_image(row, col) = 0.2989 * RED + 0.5870 * GREEN + 0.1140 * BLUE \quad (1)$$

All the weights count up to 1 so the values in the grayscale image can vary from 0 to 255.

This method uses two filters to remove noise from the image. Figure XXXX shows why those two filters are necessary. At first the gaussian blur, this is an easy convolution on the image matrix.

$$G = (1/159) * \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 9 & 12 & 9 & 4 \\ 5 & 12 & 15 & 12 & 5 \\ 4 & 9 & 12 & 9 & 4 \\ 2 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

The blur can be applied by doing a convolution of the G matrix on the image matrix. The second blur is a mean blur. This is another matrix to do the convolution with.

$$M = (1/9) * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Then comes the edge detection algorithm, this basically just a filter. So it is possible to calculate another convolution. It calculates the *spatial derivative*, this refers to how much the image intensity

values change per change in image position. It highlights regions of rapid intensity change.

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

A convolution with this returns a matrix the same size as the input image matrix, but the values can now be negative. More negative is darker. The threshold algorithm is based off this feature. After a lot of experimenting it seemed that a threshold of value 2 was the sweet spot. This means that every pixel greater than or equal to that threshold value becomes an edge, and the rest gets classified as background. After applying that algorithm, the image becomes a binary image with only the edges in black. Finding the edge of an object is one thing, counting objects is another. Based on these edges it's possible to outline the objects and count them. Further programming has to be done to reach our goal and complete this algorithm.

iiiiiii HEAD

#### 4.2.2 Depth sensor

=====

#### 4.2.3 Analysis Depth Sensor

Using only the RGB image does have some shortcomings: it is rather difficult to distinguish an object from its shadow, a multicoloured object could be seen as multiple different objects or a lot of reflection could make an object undetectable. These are some of the reasons why enriching the object counting algorithm with the usage of a depth sensor is advised.

The data enters the system in a matrix in which every number stands for the distance between the sensor and the object (in milimeters). Firstly ...

## 5 Implementation

Throughout the project a lot of different approaches were tested and discarded. But in essence, they all do the same thing. They convert the original image to a binary image. Afterwards, this binary array is analysed and a simple algorithm suffices to count the objects. In this phase of the program the same code is applicable. This code consists of a few important parts: the actual counting and the drawing of the boundary boxes.

### Counting of the objects

The central objective of this paper is counting the amount of objects in a specific rectangular field of view. The general approach to this problem is converting the image to a binary image where black pixels represent the background and white pixels represent the objects. By counting the groups of pixels it is possible to know how many objects the original image contains. In the image processing toolbox for matlab there exist a few functions that come in really handy for this kind of tasks. One of these functions `bwlabel` actually counts group of pixels of at least 8 that are connected. The syntax of this function goes as follows: `[L, num] = bwlabel(BW);` where `BW` represents the binary (or black and white) image; `num` represents the number of objects in the `BW` image and where `L` represents a matrix where the first group of pixels are numbered 1, the second group 2 etc. that way it's easier to get a count for how many objects there are.

### Boundary boxes

Test 123

LLLLLL 274b2b56e6f35ed23361a3c78a1b52e4f10f8a5b

- 6 Budget management
- 7 course integration
- 8 Conclusion
- 9 List of references
- 10 Appendix