



Problem Solving and Engineering Design part 3

ESAT1A1

Max Beerten Brent De Bleser Wouter Devos Ben Fidlers Simon Gulix Tom Kerkhofs

Counting and recognizing nonmoving objects by means of image processing

META - ANALYSIS

Co-titular
Tinne Tuytelaars

<u>Coaches</u> Xuanli Chen José Oramas

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019

Declaration of originality

We hereby declare that this submitted draft is entirely our own, subject to feedback and support given us by the didactic team, and subject to lawful cooperation which was agreed with the same didactic team. Regarding this draft, we also declare that:

- 1. Note has been taken of the text on academic integrity (https://eng.kuleuven.be/studeren/masterproef-en-papers/documenten/20161221-academischeintegriteit-okt2016.pdf).
- 2. No plagiarism has been committed as described on https://eng.kuleuven.be/studeren/masterproefen-papers/plagiaat.
- 3. All experiments, tests, measurements, ..., have been performed as described in this draft, and no data or measurement results have been manipulated.
- ${\it 4.} \quad {\it All sources employed in this draft-including internet sources-have been correctly referenced.}$

1 Strengths of the report

A majority of the core elements that are necessary in a good scientific report were already present in the uploaded preliminary report. The most important elements will be discussed in the following section. They will be handled in the order like found in the text.

Firstly, the introduction already had a, maybe not so pronounced, funnel shape. It started with the broader perspective and connections with implementations were made. Subsequently, it slowly narrowed down to the true domain of our paper. Secondly, the middle part existed of a clear structure in which the different topics were addressed in a logical order. Figures were used to give a visual representation of the text, and were equipped with a short caption to make clear what was visible. These pictures really enhance the ability to comprehend the abstract mathematical expressions. Also, different types of appendices and other literature were carefully referred to according to the regulations. Lastly, the style used to write the report was impersonal and formal. Informal language and difficult sentence structures were avoided and differences between American and British English were taken into account.

2 Weak points of the report

To begin with, the funnel shape of the introduction, although it was already visible, needs to be even more visible. The example needed to be broaden and there has to be a clear separate section that addresses the structure of the report.

Furthermore,

3 Improvements

4 What have we learned