Specification: Given two indices i and j, where i Lj, find the longuet increasing subseq of Alj...n] in union every et is longer than Alls.

if $A[i] \ge A[j]$ otherwise if j > n $\max \left\{ \frac{LISbigger(i, j+1)}{1 + LISbigger(j, j+1)} \right\}$ LISbigger(i, j+1)LISbigger(i, j) =Sel'n:

Alternatively, if you prefer pseudocode:

LISBIGGER(*i*, *j*):

if *j* > *n*2: return 0

3: else if A[*i*] ≥ A[*j*]

4: return LISBIGGER(*i*, *j* + 1)

5: else

6: skip ← LISBIGGER(*i*, *j* + 1)

take ← LISBIGGER(*i*, *j* + 1) + 1

ε: return max{skip, take}

Sept. 20th

the recurrence relations for the worst-case input · worst-case is if the input array is in overding order. :. out worst-case recurrence: T(n) = 2T(n-1) + O(1)=> runtime is \(\exists \(\text{Q}(2^n) \) · note: in the textbook, thy write T(n) < 2T(n-1) + O(1), which is also true. But only gives us T(n) is O(2"). Consider the input [15 114] Twe're using real-vam, so this is ok! 1 How many times is LISBIGGER (1,4) called? 102 What do I see multiple times (+ how many?) seq: [-00] take seq: [-00,1] #1 will never skip/ be the first value in this (1,3)subtreef of the seg: [-00,1] sey: [-00, 13/5] go back: recursion tree. So, I only see this one once. Take 4 A(1) & skip until I reach j=4(2)

(0,1) (0,2) (0,3) (2,3) (1,3) (2,3) (1,3) (2,3) (2,3) (1,4) (2,4) (1,4) (3,4) (2,4) (2,4) (4,5) (3,5) (4,5) (4,5) (4,5) (4,5) (4,5) (4,5)

(A2) (3.4) is going to be seen 2x (2,4) seen 2x (2,3) seen 2x What if A = [-0] [1]5] T 4 | ... Imillion other #5 Diving into this recursion many times is really bad (2x is twice as bad...) idea: lets save the values the first time we encounter them so that the next time we encounter thom, we just look them up! 15t: Determine the set of all subproblems. 2nd: Figure out the data structure to store them 20! The subproblems are indexed by i.j.
Specifically {(i,j) en2 | i < j} (1) lookup! where $\underline{n} := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ we propose to use a 2D [array!! (000).

-> note: we don't use half the cells, but that's or \$ (4)

LIS (A[1...n])

Create a global 2D array T, all non values

are "Nan"

A[0] — -00

rebun Lis Bigger (0,1)

Lis Bigger (i,j)

I if j?n

Lisebra (

LISBIGGER (i,j)

if j>n

I return 0

if $T[i,j] \neq NaN$ I return T[i,j]else if $A(i] \geq A(j)$ $V \leftarrow LISB(i,j+1)$ $T[i,j] \leftarrow V$ return Velse

Skip $\leftarrow LISB(i,j+1)$ $take \leftarrow LISB(j,j+1)+1$ $T[i,j] \leftarrow max \geq skip, take$ return T[i,j]

So, now, we're doing them on the fly. But, can we be more direct + know they are computed when we go to use them, thus using the table T and no recursions.

Coust 2

Why do we know such an order exists?
ie, why is there not a circular dependency?

Quest 21 Perine a decrementing for 1: 5 -> 1 N (i.j.h.] -> 2n-i-j

Quest 1]

