3 Sept 2021

Proofs of Correctness

AL-FON(K) % KLN

return something

generic reconsive fen

FCN (N) -

stuff

crux: defining your invariants.

-> something that is "always" the.

-7 for POC, we can think of these as assert statements.

-7 Statement, must evalued to TRUE or FALJE.

Initialization

Maintence

End (Termination)

*Be courties - this

is not showing that it

terminates! d

END: If Fou(N) returns, the right thing.

Maintence: If calls to smaller auses return

the right thing, then I return

the right thing.

generic serial for after after after y line 3 FCNB(A,B,C) Proof that average (bia) 3: retoins something e.g.) overage (b, a) After line I, we have かとら a - 9 p = p After line 2, we return a +b also have a'= = what is returned is as was to be shown The tricky part comes when we encounter loops and recursion. then, our proofs of correctness Staff to look a lot like

induction!

Intriacization: FCN (1) returns the right value.

Tor whatever our base

ecuses are

trecursion invenient is the true

potten very simple to prove, upon return.

Like our base case in induction

HANOI (N, sre, dst, temp)

1: if INI = 1

2: I move disk from sre to dot.

3: else

4: | HANOI (N-1, arc, tmp, dst)

5: | more last disk from src to dst

6: | HANOI (N-1, tmp, dst), src)

7: end if ASSERTI

My recursion invariant: an assert at the end RI. there are currently no "violation" of smaller disks on lorger disks.

R20 the top "N disks that were on sre are now on dest. Consider n=1.

Hanoi (1, src, dst,tmp)

Then, in line?, the disk is moved

from the src to the det.

I can do this bic it is the smallest.

Lines 3-7 are in the else, so do not run

so, at line 8, when we return that

recursion invariant is 9 true!

Initial assumptions.

So when I call the 1st case,
all N disks are on sre. (ds+ + tmp
do not have any disks.

Maintence: If the recursion inv. holds for k, then it holds for k+1.

(note: this is just like induction!

Proof: MOORELOODE Note k+1>1, therefore we enter the else in Line 3. After line 4, by PT, I have After line 4, by PI, I have

O No violations

(2) the smallest may k+1-1=k disks that

that were on sre are now on the

(-thinking)

Why is this not the case in lines?

SIC dst tmp

Ans: We don't have everything we need in that recursion inv.
So add another classe to it!

R3 0