5.4 Question 7

Matthew Bradley, Ben Howell, Ayanna Fisher, Hayley Zorkic

3/13/2022

```
a:
library(ISLR2)
## Warning: package 'ISLR2' was built under R version 4.0.5
data("Weekly")
logistic <- glm(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2, data = Weekly, family = binomial)</pre>
summary(logistic)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2, family = binomial, data = Weekly)
## Deviance Residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -1.623 -1.261
                    1.001
                            1.083
                                    1.506
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 0.22122
                           0.06147
                                     3.599 0.000319 ***
               -0.03872
                           0.02622 -1.477 0.139672
## Lag1
                           0.02655
## Lag2
               0.06025
                                     2.270 0.023232 *
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 1496.2 on 1088 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1488.2 on 1086 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1494.2
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
b:
newLogisitc <- glm(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2, data = Weekly[-1,], family = binomial)</pre>
summary(newLogisitc)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2, family = binomial, data = Weekly[-1,
```

```
])
##
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
                 1Q
       Min
                      Median
                                   3Q
                                            Max
##
  -1.6258 -1.2617
                      0.9999
                               1.0819
                                         1.5071
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
                           0.06150
## (Intercept) 0.22324
                                      3.630 0.000283 ***
## Lag1
               -0.03843
                           0.02622
                                   -1.466 0.142683
## Lag2
                0.06085
                           0.02656
                                      2.291 0.021971 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 1494.6 on 1087
                                       degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1486.5 on 1085
                                       degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1492.5
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
\mathbf{c}:
predict.glm(newLogisitc, Weekly[1,], type = "response")
##
           1
## 0.5713923
```

This observation was not correctly classified. The real value of "Direction" for this observation is "Down", but our prediction was greater than 0.5, which corresponds to "Up".

d:

```
totalError = 0

for(i in 1:nrow(Weekly)){

    currentLogistic <- glm(Direction ~ Lag1 + Lag2, data = Weekly[-i,], family = binomial)
    prediction <- predict.glm(currentLogistic, Weekly[i,], type = "response")
    if(prediction>0.5){
        market = "Up"
    }
    if(prediction < 0.5){
        market = "Down"
    }

    if(market != Weekly[i,9]){
        totalError = totalError + 1
    }
}</pre>
```

```
print(totalError)

## [1] 490

e:
averageError = totalError/nrow(Weekly)
print(averageError)
```

[1] 0.4499541

The LOOCV is 44.995%, so our model is correct less than half of the time, which seems fairly unhelpful for predicting a binary variable.