

Homework 5, Question 9

Matthew Bradley, Ben Howell, Hayley Zorkic, Ayanna Fisher

3/24/2022

Question 9

a:

```
set.seed(2)
library(ISLR2)

## Warning: package 'ISLR2' was built under R version 4.0.5

data(College)

sample_size <- floor(0.75 * nrow(College))

train_index <- sample(seq_len(nrow(College)), size = sample_size)
College_train <- College[train_index,]
College_test <- College[-train_index,]
```

b:

```
model <- lm(Apps~., data = College_train)
mean((College_test$Apps - predict.lm(model, College_test))^2)
```

```
## [1] 1287764
```

Our test error for the linear model is 1,287,764, which is very high.

c:

```
library(glmnet)

## Loading required package: Matrix
## Loaded glmnet 4.1-3

lamdas <- cv.glmnet(x = data.matrix(College_train[-2]), y = College_train$Apps, alpha = 0)
bestlam <- lamdas$lambda.min
bestlam
```

```
## [1] 389.2482
```

```
ridge <- glmnet(x = data.matrix(College_train[-2]), y = College_train$Apps, nlambda = round(bestlam), alpha = 0)
ridge.pred <- predict(ridge, newx = data.matrix(College_test[-2]))
mean((College_test$Apps - ridge.pred)^2)
```

```
## [1] 5519040
```

Our test error for the ridge model is 5,519,040, which is even higher than the linear model.

d:

```
lamdas <- cv.glmnet(data.matrix(College_train[-2]), y = College_train$Apps, alpha = 1)
bestlam <- lamdas$lambda.min
bestlam
```

```
## [1] 2.077301
```

```
lasso <- glmnet(x = data.matrix(College_train[-2]), y = College_train$Apps, alpha = 1, nlambda = round(
lasso.pred <- predict(lasso, newx = data.matrix(College_test[-2]))
mean((College_test$Apps - lasso.pred)^2)
```

```
## [1] 5573200
```

```
coef(lasso, s = 2)
```

```
## 18 x 1 sparse Matrix of class "dgCMatrix"
```

```
##              s1
## (Intercept) -427.27491109
## Private     -392.61358627
## Accept       1.62193287
## Enroll       -1.15561058
## Top10perc    46.13903704
## Top25perc   -14.45489244
## F.Undergrad  0.09709869
## P.Undergrad  0.05795143
## Outstate    -0.07734922
## Room.Board   0.18625620
## Books        0.19960768
## Personal     0.05830401
## PhD         -6.42015481
## Terminal    -4.29365990
## S.F.Ratio    23.10272519
## perc.alumni  3.54220870
## Expend       0.07148452
## Grad.Rate    5.66653637
```

The test error for the lasso model is 5,573,200, which is similar to our error in the ridge model. All 17 of the coefficients are nonzero.

e:

```
set.seed(1)
library(pls)
```

```
##
## Attaching package: 'pls'
## The following object is masked from 'package:stats':
##
##      loadings
```

```
pcr.fit <- pcr(Apps ~ ., data = College_train, scale = TRUE, validation = "CV")
summary(pcr.fit)
```

```
## Data:      X dimension: 582 17
## Y dimension: 582 1
## Fit method: svdpc
## Number of components considered: 17
##
## VALIDATION: RMSEP
## Cross-validated using 10 random segments.
##      (Intercept)  1 comps  2 comps  3 comps  4 comps  5 comps  6 comps
## CV              4091    4041    2156    2156    1809    1720    1712
## adjCV           4091    4042    2152    2154    1762    1705    1704
##      7 comps  8 comps  9 comps 10 comps 11 comps 12 comps 13 comps
## CV              1705    1682    1623    1628    1638    1638    1656
## adjCV           1700    1673    1617    1622    1631    1632    1649
##      14 comps 15 comps 16 comps 17 comps
## CV              1658    1560    1225    1158
## adjCV           1652    1521    1215    1148
##
## TRAINING: % variance explained
##      1 comps  2 comps  3 comps  4 comps  5 comps  6 comps  7 comps  8 comps
## X          31.692   57.03   64.32   69.88   75.13   80.01   83.85   87.41
## Apps       3.817   73.74   73.92   82.89   84.42   84.44   84.67   85.30
##      9 comps 10 comps 11 comps 12 comps 13 comps 14 comps 15 comps
## X          90.65   92.97   95.03   96.79   97.89   98.71   99.34
## Apps       86.04   86.17   86.17   86.23   86.24   86.26   91.95
##      16 comps 17 comps
## X          99.83   100.00
## Apps       93.31   93.98

pcr.pred <- predict(pcr.fit , College_test, ncomp = 17)
mean ((pcr.pred - College_test$Apps)^2)

## [1] 1287764
```

The test error in the pcr model is 1,287,764, which is similar to the linear regression model. The M selected was 17 (all components were considered).

g:

All of the test errors were large (in the millions). The linear model and PCR had the lowest errors while the ridge and lasso models had the highest errors. We cannot predict the number of apps received very accurately because the errors in the models are high.