{questionnaire P\_UCL\_PoliticalViolence\_April21 widget\_all\_required=HARD nav\_back=0 hide\_progress=1}

{page experimentsplit}

{

experiment = random(1,12)

}

{end page experimentsplit}

#NOTE: Each participant should receive ONE of the following 12 statements, followed by the three statements at the end.

{page experimenttext}

{text if experiment==1}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for increasing access to abortion. The attack caused no injuries.

{end text}

{text if experiment==2}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for increasing access to abortion. The attack caused multiple casualties.

{end text}

{text if experiment==3}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for restricting access to abortion. The attack caused no injuries.

{end text}

{text if experiment==4}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for restricting access to abortion. The attack caused multiple casualties.

{end text}

{text if experiment==5}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for environmental protections. The attack caused no injuries.

{end text}

{text if experiment==6}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for environmental protections. The attack caused multiple casualties.

{end text}

{text if experiment==7}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for fossil fuel interests. The attack caused no injuries.

{end text}

{text if experiment==8}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for fossil fuel interests. The attack caused multiple casualties.

{end text}

{text if experiment==9}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for less restrictive immigration laws. The attack caused no injuries.

{end text}

{text if experiment==10}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for less restrictive immigration laws. The attack caused multiple casualties.

{end text}

{text if experiment==11}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for more restrictive immigration laws. The attack caused no injuries.

{end text}

{text if experiment==12}

A man was convicted of bombing an organization that advocates for more restrictive immigration laws. The attack caused multiple casualties.

{end text}

[ucl\_1]{grid roworder=randomize}To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

-[ucl\_1\_1]The action was morally justifiable

-[ucl\_1\_2]The action was strategic for achieving a political end

-[ucl\_1\_3]The action was terrorism

<1> Strongly agree

<2> Agree

<3> Somewhat agree

<4> Neutral

<5> Somewhat disagree

<6> Disagree

<7> Strongly disagree

{end page experimenttext}

{page debrief}

Thank you for participating in the survey so far. The scenario you just read was hypothetical and part of an academic experiment assessing opinions on political violence.

{end page debrief}
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