Practical 304

Stefano De Sabbata

2020-11-16

Exploratory data analysis

Stefano De Sabbata

This work is licensed under the GNU General Public License v3.0. Contains public sector information licensed under the Open Government Licence v3.0.

Introduction

This practical showcases an exploratory analysis of the distribution of people aged 20 to 24 in Leicester, using the u011 variable from the 2011 Output Area Classification (2011OAC) dataset. Create a new R project for this practical session and create a new RMarkdown document to replicate the analysis in this document.

Once the document is set up, start by adding the first R code snipped including the code below, which is loads the 2011OAC dataset and the libraries used for the practical session.

```
library(tidyverse)
library(knitr)
leicester_20110AC <- read_csv("2011_0AC_Raw_uVariables_Leicester.csv")</pre>
```

GGlot2 recap

As seen in the practical session 401, the ggplot2 library is part of the Tidyverse, and it offers a series of functions for creating graphics declaratively, based on the concepts outlined in the Grammar of Graphics. While the dplyr library offers functionalities that cover data manipulation and variable transformations, the ggplot2 library offers functionalities that allow to specify elements, define guides, and apply scale and coordinate system transformations.

- Marks can be specified in ggplot2 using the geom_functions.
- The mapping of variables (table columns) to **visual variables** can be specified in **ggplot2** using the **aes** element.
- Furthermore, the ggplot2 library:
 - automatically adds all necessary guides using default table column names, and additional functions can be used to overwrite the defaults;
 - provides a wide range of scale_functions that can be used to control the scales of all visual variables;
 - provides a series of **coord_** fucntions that allow transforming the **coordinate system**.

Check out the ggplot2 reference for all the details about the functions and options discussed below.

Data visualisation


Distributions

We start the analysis with a simple histogram, to explore the distribution of the variable u011. RMarkdown allows specifying the height (as well as the width) of the figure as an option for the R snipped, as shown in the example typed out in plain text below.

```
'''{r, echo=TRUE, message=FALSE, warning=FALSE, fig.height = 4}
leicester_20110AC %>%
   ggplot2::ggplot(
    aes(
        x = u011
   )
   ) +
   ggplot2::geom_histogram(binwidth = 5) +
   ggplot2::theme_bw()
'''
```

The snipped and barchart is included in output documents, as shown below.

```
leicester_20110AC %>%
    ggplot2::ggplot(
    aes(
        x = u011
    )
    ) +
    ggplot2::geom_histogram(binwidth = 5) +
    ggplot2::theme_bw()
```




If we aim to explore how that portion of the population is distributed among the different supergroups of the 2011OAC, there are a number of charts that would allow us to visualise that relationship.

For instance, the barchart above can be enhanced through the use of the visual variable colour and the fill option. The graphic below uses a few options seen in the practical session 401 to create a stacked barchart, where sections of each bar are filled with the colour associated with a 2011OAC supergroup.

```
leicester 20110AC %>%
  ggplot2::ggplot(
    aes(
      x = u011,
      fill = fct reorder(supgrpname, supgrpcode)
   )
  ggplot2::geom_histogram(binwidth = 5) +
  ggplot2::ggtitle("Leicester's young adults") +
  ggplot2::labs(
   fill = "2011 Output Area\nClassification\n(supergroups)"
  ggplot2::xlab("Residents aged 20 to 24") +
  ggplot2::ylab("Count") +
  ggplot2::scale_fill_manual(
   values = c("#e41a1c", "#f781bf", "#ff7f00", "#a65628", "#984ea3", "#377eb8", "#ffff33")
  ) +
  ggplot2::theme_bw()
```


Leicester's young adults



However, the graphic above is not extremely clear. A boxplot and a violin plot created from the same data are shown below. In both cases, the parameter axis.text.x of the function theme is set to element_text(angle = 90, hjust = 1) in order to orientate the labels on the x-axis vertically, as the supergroup names are rather long, and they would overlap one-another if set horizontally on the x-axis. In both cases, the option fig.height of the R snippet in RMarkdown should be set to a higher value (e.g., 5) to allow for sufficient room for the supergroup names.

```
leicester_20110AC %>%
  ggplot2::ggplot(
   aes(
      x = fct_reorder(supgrpname, supgrpcode),
     y = u011,
     fill = fct_reorder(supgrpname, supgrpcode)
  ) +
  ggplot2::geom_boxplot() +
  ggtitle("Leicester's young adults") +
  ggplot2::labs(
   fill = "2011 Output Area\nClassification\n(supergroups)"
  ) +
  ggplot2::xlab("2011 Output Area Classification (supergroups)") +
  ggplot2::ylab("Residents aged 20 to 24") +
  ggplot2::scale_fill_manual(
   values = c("#e41a1c", "#f781bf", "#ff7f00", "#a65628", "#984ea3", "#377eb8", "#ffff33")
  ) +
  ggplot2::theme_bw() +
  ggplot2::theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 90, hjust = 1))
```

Leicester's young adults




2011 Output Area Classification (supergroups)

```
leicester_20110AC %>%
  ggplot2::ggplot(
  aes(
```

```
x = fct_reorder(supgrpname, supgrpcode),
    v = u011,
    fill = fct_reorder(supgrpname, supgrpcode)
 )
) +
ggplot2::geom_violin() +
ggtitle("Leicester's young adults") +
ggplot2::labs(
 fill = "2011 Output Area\nClassification\n(supergroups)"
ggplot2::xlab("2011 Output Area Classification (supergroups)") +
ggplot2::ylab("Residents aged 20 to 24") +
ggplot2::scale fill manual(
 values = c("#e41a1c", "#f781bf", "#ff7f00", "#a65628", "#984ea3", "#377eb8", "#ffff33")
) +
ggplot2::theme_bw() +
ggplot2::theme(axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 90, hjust = 1))
```

Leicester's young adults




2011 Output Area Classification (supergroups)

Relationships

The first barchart above seems to illustrate that the distribution might be skewed towards the left, with most values seemingly below 50. However, that tells only part of the story about how people aged 20 to 24 are distributed in Leicester. In fact, each Output Area (OA) has a different total population. So, a higher number of people aged 20 to 24 living in an OA might be simply due to the OA been more populous than others. Thus, the next step is to compare u011 to Total_Population, for instance, through a scatterplot such as the one seen in the practical session 401, reported below.

```
leicester_20110AC %>%
  ggplot2::ggplot(
   aes(
      x = Total Population,
     y = u011,
      colour = fct reorder(supgrpname, supgrpcode)
   )
  ggplot2::geom_point(size = 0.5) +
  ggplot2::ggtitle("Leicester's young adults") +
  ggplot2::labs(
   colour = "2011 Output Area\nClassification\n(supergroups)"
  ggplot2::xlab("Total number of residents") +
  ggplot2::ylab("Residents aged 20 to 24") +
  ggplot2::scale_y_log10() +
  ggplot2::scale_colour_brewer(palette = "Set1") +
  ggplot2::scale_colour_manual(
    values = c("#e41a1c", "#f781bf", "#ff7f00", "#a65628", "#984ea3", "#377eb8", "#ffff33")
  ggplot2::theme_bw()
```

Leicester's young adults



2011 Output Area Classification (supergroups)

- Cosmopolitans
- Ethnicity Central
- Multicultural Metropolitans
- Urbanites
- Suburbanites
- Constrained City Dwellers
- Hard-Pressed Living

Exercise 7.1

Question 7.1.1: Which one of the boxplot or violin plot above do you think better illustrate the different distributions, and what do the two graphics say about the distribution of people aged 20 to 24 in Leicester? Write a short answer in your RMarkdown document (max 200 words).

Question 7.1.2: Create a jittered points plot (see geom_jitter) visualisation illustrating the same data shown in the boxplot and violin plot above.

Question 7.1.3: Create the code necessary to calculate a new column named perc_age_20_to_24, which is the percentage of people aged 20 to 24 (i.e., u011) over total population per OA Total_Population, and create a boxplot visualising the distribution of the variable per 2011OAC supergroup.

Exploratory statistics

The graphics above provide preliminary evidence that the distribution of people aged 20 to 24 might, in fact, be different in different 2011 supergroups. In the remainder of the practical session, we are going to explore that hypothesis further. First, load the necessary statistical libraries.

The code below calculates the percentage of people aged 20 to 24 (i.e., u011) over total population per OA, but it also recodes (see recode) the names of the 2011OAC supergroups to a shorter 2-letter version, which is useful for the tables presented further below.

Only the OA code, the recoded 2011OAC supergroup name, and the newly created perc_age_20_to_24 are retained in the new table leic_2011OAC_20to24. Such a step is sometimes useful as stepping stone for further analysis and can make the code easier to read further down the line. Sometimes it is also a necessary step when interacting with certain libraries, which are not fully compatible with Tidyverse libraries, such as leveneTest.

```
leic 20110AC 20to24 <- leicester 20110AC %>%
  dplyr::mutate(
   perc_age_20_to_24 = (u011 / Total_Population) * 100,
    supgrpname = dplyr::recode(supgrpname,
      'Suburbanites' = "SU",
      'Cosmopolitans' = "CP".
      'Multicultural Metropolitans' = "MM",
      'Ethnicity Central' = "EC",
      'Constrained City Dwellers' = "CD",
      'Hard-Pressed Living' = "HP",
      'Urbanites' = "UR"
   )
  ) %>%
  dplyr::select(OA11CD, supgrpname, perc_age_20_to_24)
leic_20110AC_20to24 %>%
  dplyr::slice head(n = 5) %>%
  knitr::kable()
```

OA11CD	supgrpname	perc_age_20_to_24
E00069517	SU	4.153355
E00069514	CP	30.650155
E00169516	MM	12.316716
E00169048	MM	6.956522
E00169044	MM	6.211180

Descriptive statistics

The first step of any statistical analysis or modelling should be to explore the "shape" of the data involved, by looking at the descriptive statistics of all variables involved. The function stat.desc of the pastecs library provides three series of descriptive statistics.

- base:
 - nbr.val: overall number of values in the dataset;
 - nbr.null: number of NULL values NULL is often returned by expressions and functions whose values are undefined:
 - nbr.na: number of NAs missing value indicator;
- desc:
 - min (see also min function): minimum value in the dataset;
 - max (see also max function): minimum value in the dataset;

- range: difference between min and max (different from range());
- sum (see also sum function): sum of the values in the dataset;
- median (see also median function): median, that is the value separating the higher half from the lower half the values
- mean (see also mean function): arithmetic mean, that is sum over the number of values not NA;
- SE.mean: standard error of the mean estimation of the variability of the mean calculated on different samples of the data (see also *central limit theorem*);
- CI.mean.0.95: 95% confidence interval of the mean indicates that there is a 95% probability that the actual mean is within that distance from the sample mean;
- var: variance (σ^2) , it quantifies the amount of variation as the average of squared distances from the mean;
- std.dev: standard deviation (σ), it quantifies the amount of variation as the square root of the variance:
- coef.var: variation coefficient it quantifies the amount of variation as the standard deviation divided by the mean;
- norm (default is FALSE, use norm = TRUE to include it in the output):
 - skewness: skewness value indicates
 - * positive: the distribution is skewed towards the left;
 - * negative: the distribution is skewed towards the right;
 - kurtosis: kurtosis value indicates:
 - * positive: heavy-tailed distribution;
 - * negative: flat distribution;
 - skew.2SE and kurt.2SE: skewness and kurtosis divided by 2 standard errors. If greater than 1, the respective statistics is significant (p < .05);
 - normtest. W: test statistics for the **Shapiro-Wilk test** for normality;
 - normtest.p: significance for the **Shapiro-Wilk test** for normality.

The Shapiro-Wilk test compares the distribution of a variable with a normal distribution having the same mean and standard deviation. The null hypothesis of the Shapiro-Wilk test is that the sample is normally distributed, thus if normtest.p is lower than 0.01 (i.e., p < .01), the test indicates that the distribution is most probably not normal. The threshold to accept or reject a hypothesis is arbitrary and based on conventions, where p < .01 is the most commonly accepted threshold, or p < .05 for relatively small data sample (e.g., 30 cases).

The next step is thus to apply the stat.desc to the variable we are currently exploring (i.e., perc_age_20_to_24), including the norm section.

```
leic_20110AC_20to24_stat_desc <- leic_20110AC_20to24 %>%
    dplyr::select(perc_age_20_to_24) %>%
    pastecs::stat.desc(norm = TRUE)

leic_20110AC_20to24_stat_desc %>%
    knitr::kable(digits = 3)
```

	perc_age_20_to_24
nbr.val	969.000
nbr.null	0.000
nbr.na	0.000
min	1.064
max	60.751
range	59.687
sum	10238.502
median	7.514
mean	10.566
SE.mean	0.304

	perc_age_	_20_to_24
CI.mean.0.95		0.596
var		89.386
std.dev		9.454
coef.var		0.895
skewness		2.710
skew. $2SE$		17.249
kurtosis		7.707
kurt.2SE		24.549
normtest.W		0.645
normtest.p		0.000

The table above tells us that all 969 OA in Leicester have a valid value for the variable perc_age_20_to_24, as no NULL nor NA value have been found. The values vary from about 1% to almost 61%, with an average value of 11% of the population in an OA aged between 20 and 24.

The short paragraph above is reporting on the values on the table, taking advantage of two features of RMarkdown. First, the output of the stat.desc function in the snippet further above is stored in the variable leic_20110AC_20to24_stat_desc, which is then a valid variable for the rest of the document. Second, RMarkdown allows for in-line R snippets, that can also refer to variables defined in any snippet above the text. As such, the source of the paragraph above reads as below, with the in-line R snipped opened by a single grave accent (i.e., `) followed by a lowercase r and closed by another single grave accent.

Having included all the code above into an RMarkdown document, copy the text below verbatim into the same RMarkdown document and make sure that you understand how the code in the in-line R snippets works.

```
The table above tells us that all 'r "\u0060r leic_20110AC_20to24_stat_desc[\"nbr.val\", \"perc_age_20_to_24\"] %>% round(digits = 0)\u0060"' OA in Leicester have a valid value for the variable 'perc_age_20_to_24', as no 'r "\u0060NULL\u0060"' nor 'r "\u0060NA\u0060"' value have been found. The values vary from about 'r "\u0060r leic_20110AC_20to24_stat_desc[\"min\", \"perc_age_20_to_24\"] %>% round(digits = 0)\u0060"'% to almost 'r "\u0060r leic_20110AC_20to24_stat_desc[\"max\", \"perc_age_20_to_24\"] %>% round(digits = 0)\u0060"'%, with an average value of 'r "\u0060r leic_20110AC_20to24_stat_desc[\"mean\", \"perc_age_20_to_24\"] %>% round(digits = 0)\u0060"'% of the population in an OA aged between 20 and 24.
```

If the data described by statistics presented in the table above was a random sample of a population, the 95% confidence interval CI.mean.0.95 would indicate that we can be 95% confident that the actual mean of the distribution is somewhere between 10.566 - 0.596 = 9.97% and 10.566 + 0.596 = 11.162%.

However, this is not a sample. Thus the statistical interpretation is not valid, in the same way that the sum values doesn't make sense, as it is the sum of a series of percentages.

Both skew.2SE and kurt.2SE are greater than 1, which indicate that the skewness and kurtosis values are significant (p < .05). The skewness is positive, which indicates that the distribution is skewed towards the left (low values). The kurtosis is positive, which indicates that the distribution is heavy-tailed.

As such, perc_age_20_to_24 having a heavy-tailed distribution skewed towards low values, it is not surprising that the normtest.p value indicates that the Shapiro-Wilk test is significant, which indicates that the distribution is not normal.

The code below present the output of the shapiro.test function, which only present the outcome of a Shapiro-Wilk test on the values provided as input. The output values are the same as the values reported by the norm section of stat.desc. Note that the shapiro.test function require the argument to be a numeric vector. Thus the pull function must be used to extract the perc_age_20_to_24 column from


leic_20110AC_20to24 as a vector, whereas using select with a single column name as the argument would produce as output a table with a single column.

```
leic_2011OAC_20to24 %>%
  dplyr::pull(perc_age_20_to_24) %>%
  stats::shapiro.test()
```

```
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data:
## W = 0.64491, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

The two code snippets below can be used to visualise a density-based histogram including the shape of a normal distribution having the same mean and standard deviation, and a Q-Q plot, to visually confirm the fact that perc_age_20_to_24 is not normally distributed.


```
leic_20110AC_20to24 %>%
  ggplot2::ggplot(
   aes(
      x = perc_age_20_to_24
 ) +
  ggplot2::geom_histogram(
   aes(
      y = ..density..
   ),
   binwidth = 5
  ggplot2::stat_function(
   fun = dnorm,
   args = list(
      mean = leic_20110AC_20to24 %>% pull(perc_age_20_to_24) %>% mean(),
      sd = leic_20110AC_20to24 %>% pull(perc_age_20_to_24) %>% sd()
   ),
    colour = "red", size = 1
```



A Q-Q plot in R can be created using a variety of functions. In the example below, the plot is created using the stat_qq and stat_qq_line functions of the ggplot2 library. Note that the perc_age_20_to_24 variable is mapped to a particular option of aes that is sample.

If perc_age_20_to_24 had been normally distributed, the dots in the Q-Q plot would be distributed straight on the line included in the plot.

```
leic_20110AC_20to24 %>%
   ggplot2::ggplot(
   aes(
     sample = perc_age_20_to_24
   )
) +
   ggplot2::stat_qq() +
   ggplot2::stat_qq_line()
```



Exercise 7.2

Create a new RMarkdown document, and add the code necessary to recreate the table <code>leic_20110AC_20to24</code> used in the example above. Use the code below to re-shape the table <code>leic_20110AC_20to24</code> by pivoting the <code>perc_age_20_to_24</code> column wider into multiple columns using <code>supgrpname</code> as new column names.

```
leic_20110AC_20to24_supgrp <- leic_20110AC_20to24 %>%
  tidyr::pivot_wider(
   names_from = supgrpname,
   values_from = perc_age_20_to_24
)
```

That manipulation creates one column per supergroup, containing the perc_age_20_to_24 if the OA is part of that supergroup, or an NA value if the OA is not part of the supergroup. The transformation is illustrated in the two tables below. The first shows an extract from the original leic_20110AC_20to24 dataset, followed by the wide version leic_20110AC_20to24_supgrp.

```
leic_20110AC_20to24 %>%
  dplyr::slice_min(OA11CD, n = 10) %>%
  knitr::kable(digits = 3)
```

OA11CD	supgrpname	perc_	_age_	_20_	_to_	_24
E00068657	НР				6.	053
E00068658	MM				6.	964
E00068659	MM				8.	383
E00068660	MM				4.	643
E00068661	MM				10.	625
E00068662	MM				8.	284
E00068663	MM				8.	357
E00068664	MM				3.	597
E00068665	MM				7.	068
E00068666	MM				5.	864

```
leic_20110AC_20to24_supgrp %>%
dplyr::slice_min(0A11CD, n = 10) %>%
knitr::kable(digits = 3)
```

OA11CD	SU	CP	MM	EC	$^{\mathrm{CD}}$	HP	UR
E00068657	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6.053	NA
E00068658	NA	NA	6.964	NA	NA	NA	NA
E00068659	NA	NA	8.383	NA	NA	NA	NA
E00068660	NA	NA	4.643	NA	NA	NA	NA
E00068661	NA	NA	10.625	NA	NA	NA	NA
E00068662	NA	NA	8.284	NA	NA	NA	NA
E00068663	NA	NA	8.357	NA	NA	NA	NA
E00068664	NA	NA	3.597	NA	NA	NA	NA
E00068665	NA	NA	7.068	NA	NA	NA	NA
E00068666	NA	NA	5.864	NA	NA	NA	NA

Question 7.2.1: The code below uses the newly created <code>leic_20110AC_20to24_supgrp</code> table to calculate the descriptive statistics calculated for the variable <code>leic_20110AC_20to24</code> for each supergroup. Is <code>leic_20110AC_20to24</code> normally distributed in any of the subgroups? If yes, which supergroups and based on which values do you justify that claim? (Write up to 200 words)

```
leic_20110AC_20to24_supgrp %>%
  dplyr::select(-0A11CD) %>%
  pastecs::stat.desc(norm = TRUE) %>%
  knitr::kable(digits = 3)
```

SU 54,000	СР	MM	EC	CD	НР	UR.
54 000				_	111	Oπ
04.000	83.000	573.000	57.000	36.000	101.000	65.000
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
915.000	886.000	396.000	912.000	933.000	868.000	904.000
1.462	3.141	2.490	2.066	1.064	1.515	2.256
9.562	60.751	52.507	36.299	12.963	11.261	13.505
8.100	57.609	50.018	34.233	11.899	9.746	11.249
295.867	2646.551	5214.286	838.415	252.108	619.266	372.010
5.476	30.457	7.880	10.881	6.854	6.053	5.380
5.479	31.886	9.100	14.709	7.003	6.131	5.723
0.233	1.574	0.230	1.373	0.471	0.172	0.264
0.467	3.131	0.452	2.751	0.956	0.341	0.528
2.929	205.556	30.285	107.523	7.983	2.980	4.545
	915.000 1.462 9.562 8.100 295.867 5.476 5.479 0.233 0.467	0.000 0.000 915.000 886.000 1.462 3.141 9.562 60.751 8.100 57.609 295.867 2646.551 5.476 30.457 5.479 31.886 0.233 1.574 0.467 3.131	$\begin{array}{cccccc} 0.000 & 0.000 & 0.000 \\ 915.000 & 886.000 & 396.000 \\ 1.462 & 3.141 & 2.490 \\ 9.562 & 60.751 & 52.507 \\ 8.100 & 57.609 & 50.018 \\ 295.867 & 2646.551 & 5214.286 \\ 5.476 & 30.457 & 7.880 \\ 5.479 & 31.886 & 9.100 \\ 0.233 & 1.574 & 0.230 \\ 0.467 & 3.131 & 0.452 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

	SU	CP	MM	EC	$^{\mathrm{CD}}$	HP	UR
std.dev	1.712	14.337	5.503	10.369	2.825	1.726	2.132
coef.var	0.312	0.450	0.605	0.705	0.403	0.282	0.372
skewness	0.005	0.067	3.320	0.633	0.322	0.124	1.042
skew.2SE	0.008	0.127	16.266	1.001	0.410	0.258	1.753
kurtosis	-0.391	-0.825	15.143	-1.009	-0.142	0.220	1.441
kurt.2SE	-0.306	-0.789	37.156	-0.810	-0.093	0.231	1.229
normtest.W	0.991	0.980	0.684	0.889	0.965	0.993	0.937
normtest.p	0.954	0.239	0.000	0.000	0.310	0.886	0.002

Question 7.2.2: Write the code necessary to test again the normality of leic_20110AC_20to24 for the supergroups where the analysis conducted for question 7.2.1 indicated they are normal, using the function shapiro.test, and draw the respective Q-Q plot.

Question 7.2.3: Observe the output of the Levene's test executed below. What does the result tell you about the variance of perc_age_20_to_24 in supergroups?

```
car::leveneTest(leic_20110AC_20to24$perc_age_20_to_24, leic_20110AC_20to24$supgrpname)
```

```
## Levene's Test for Homogeneity of Variance (center = median)
## Df F value Pr(>F)
## group 6 62.011 < 2.2e-16 ***
## 962
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1</pre>
```