

Z-score based model

Assumptions:

- **Market Hours:** Trades are only considered during market hours (9:15 AM to 3:30 PM), which might exclude pre-market or after-market movements that could affect the spread.
- **Data Cleaning:** The script assumes that forward filling (propagation of the last valid observation forward) is an appropriate method for dealing with missing values. This might not always represent the true market conditions.
- **Z-Score Calculation:** The strategy relies on the z-score of the spread to determine entry and exit points. This assumes that the spread follows a distribution that is stable over time and mean-reverting, which might not always hold true in volatile market conditions.
- **Fixed Thresholds for Entry/Exit:** The strategy uses fixed z-score thresholds for entering and exiting trades. This does not account for changing market volatility or the evolving nature of the spread distribution.
- **Transaction Costs:** The script does not account for transaction costs or slippage, which could significantly impact the profitability of the strategy.
- **Leverage:** The analysis does not specify the use of leverage, which could amplify both gains and losses.

Strategy Execution:

The strategy initiates long positions when the z-score of the spread is below -2 and exits these positions when the z-score rises above -1. Conversely, it enters short positions when the z-score exceeds +2 and exits when it falls below +1. This approach aims to capitalize on extreme deviations from the mean, presuming mean reversion.

Results and Findings:

- Performance Metrics:
 - Sharpe Ratio: The strategy achieved a Sharpe ratio of approximately 5.2. This indicates a relatively high return compared to the risk taken, as the Sharpe ratio measures the performance of an investment compared to a risk-free asset, after adjusting for its risk.
 - Maximum Drawdown: The maximum observed drawdown was -3.35. This metric is crucial as it indicates the largest drop from peak to trough in the cumulative P/L, offering insights into the strategy's risk profile.

sharpe_ratio
5.195316118143896
max_drawdown
-3.347796650049263

Additional Commentary:

The high Sharpe ratio suggests that the strategy could provide substantial returns per unit of risk, making it attractive on a risk-adjusted basis. However, the significant maximum drawdown highlights potential periods of substantial losses, which could test the risk tolerance of investors.

Proposed model 1

Assumptions:

- Trading Hours Limitation: The analysis confines trading activities to standard market hours (9:15 AM to 3:30 PM), disregarding potential impacts of pre-market and after-market movements on the spread.
- Data Handling: The strategy employs forward filling to address missing values in the 'banknifty' and 'nifty' columns, assuming the last known values are representative until updated data becomes available.
- Fixed Holding Period: The strategy exits positions after a fixed period of 30 minutes, regardless of market conditions or changes in the spread. This approach simplifies trade management but may not always align with optimal exit points.
- Moving Average and Standard Deviation: The calculation of entry signals based on moving averages and standard deviations presupposes that the spread's behavior is adequately captured by these metrics, which may not account for all market dynamics.
- Transaction Costs and Slippage: Similar to the first example, this analysis does not consider the impact of transaction costs or slippage, which can significantly affect real-world trading performance.

Strategy Execution:

The strategy initiates long positions when the spread is below its moving average minus two standard deviations, and short positions when above this threshold plus two standard deviations. It assumes a simplistic model of market behavior that seeks to capitalize on extreme deviations from a recent historical norm, aiming for reversion to the mean.

Results and Findings:

- Performance Metrics:
 - Sharpe Ratio: A remarkably high Sharpe ratio of approximately 16.60 indicates an exceptional level of return per unit of risk. This metric

suggests that the strategy is highly efficient in generating excess returns over its risk profile.

- **Maximum Drawdown:** The maximum drawdown observed is minimal (-0.045), indicating that the largest peak-to-trough decline in the cumulative P/L is very slight, which suggests a potentially lower risk profile.

sharpe_ratio

16.604970495256023

max_drawdown

-0.04514550000000028

Additional Commentary:

The extraordinary Sharpe ratio combined with a minimal maximum drawdown paints a highly optimistic picture of the strategy's performance. However, the real-world applicability of these results may be tempered by the exclusion of transaction costs and the assumption of immediate and full execution of trades at desired prices. Moreover, the fixed exit strategy does not adapt to changing market conditions or the evolving risk-reward scenario of open positions.

Proposed model 2

Assumptions:

- **Market Hours Focus:** The strategy is applied only during regular trading hours (9:15 AM to 3:30 PM), which excludes the potential influence of pre-market and after-market movements.
- **Forward-Filling of Missing Data:** Missing values in the 'banknifty' and 'nifty' data columns are forward-filled, assuming the last available value remains relevant until a new value is recorded. This might not always reflect true market dynamics, especially in fast-moving or gap situations.
- **Fixed Exit Strategy:** Positions are exited after a predefined period (30 minutes), regardless of market conditions at that time. This could potentially overlook better exit opportunities based on market movements or changes in the spread.
- **Dynamic Standard Deviation Thresholds:** The strategy evaluates a range of standard deviation thresholds to identify the optimal parameter for entry signals. This approach assumes that a single threshold can be optimal across different market conditions and times, which might not hold true in practice.
- **Performance Metrics Calculation:** The script calculates Sharpe Ratio and Maximum Drawdown as performance metrics, assuming they fully capture the risk and return profile of the strategy. However, these metrics might not account for all types of risks, such as tail risk or liquidity risk.

Strategy Execution:

The strategy dynamically adjusts its entry signals based on a range of standard deviation thresholds from the moving average of the spread. It initiates long or short positions based on whether the current spread is significantly below or above its recent historical norm, respectively. The objective is to leverage statistical anomalies in the spread for potential profit, assuming mean reversion.

Results and Findings:

- **Optimal Standard Deviation Threshold:** The analysis identifies an optimal standard deviation threshold of approximately 2.29 for entry signals, suggesting this level of sensitivity to the spread's deviation from its moving average maximizes the strategy's risk-adjusted returns.
- **Performance Metrics:**
 - **Sharpe Ratio:** The optimal configuration yields a Sharpe Ratio of about 6.71, indicating a high level of excess return per unit of risk. This is a robust performance indicator, especially in the context of quantitative trading strategies.
 - **Cumulative P/L:** The cumulative profit/loss for the strategy at this optimal threshold is approximately 2.41, indicating positive returns over the tested period.
 - **Maximum Drawdown:** The strategy experienced a maximum drawdown of -0.0466, which suggests a relatively low level of risk in terms of the largest potential loss from peak to trough.

Std_Dev_Threshold	2.285714
Sharpe_Ratio	6.708494
Cumulative_PL	2.414162
Max_Drawdown	-0.046615

Additional Commentary:

While the high Sharpe Ratio and positive cumulative P/L demonstrate the strategy's potential effectiveness, the relatively small maximum drawdown underscores its risk management efficiency. However, the fixed exit strategy and the exclusion of transaction costs and market impact considerations could affect real-world applicability. Additionally, the dynamic adjustment of entry thresholds based on past performance may not always predict future market conditions accurately.