

Subfiles package example

Overleaf

1 Introduction

Chapter 1

Notation: I use \mathbf{a}_i to denote the i th column of matrix A , and \mathbf{a}_j to denote the j th row.

1.7 Find a formula for $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}^n$, and prove it by induction.

Discussion. Call this matrix A , and consider what A does to B in the product BA : A places B 's first column in the product's first column, B 's column 1 + B 's column 2 in the product's second column, and the sum of B 's 3 columns in the product's third column.

$$BA = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} | & | & | \\ \mathbf{b}_1 & \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{b}_2 & \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{b}_2 + \mathbf{b}_3 \\ | & | & | \end{array} \right]$$

$$A^2 = AA = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} | & | & | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 & \mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2 + \mathbf{a}_3 \\ | & | & | \end{array} \right]$$

If the left matrix is itself A , we can guess that repeated application of A on the right will leave column 1 unchanged, so we suppose the first column of $A^n = (1, 0, 0)$. If this is correct, each right-multiplication by A adds $(1, 0, 0)$ to $(1, 1, 0)$, making column 2 of $A^n = (n, 1, 0)$. For column 3 of A^2 we add $(1, 0, 0) + (1, 1, 0) + (1, 1, 1) = (3, 2, 1)$. For column 3 of A^3 we add $(1, 0, 0) + (2, 1, 0) + (3, 2, 1)$, and we suspect that in A^n 's 3rd column, the first entry is the sum $1 + 2 + \dots + n$. So we guess the third column is $(\sum_{k=1}^n k, n, 1)$. The sum of the first k natural numbers has a well-known closed form, $n(n+1)/2$, so we can now claim:

$$A^n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n & \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\ & 1 & n \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof by induction.

For the base case we may use $n = 1$. Then $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} = 1(2)/2 = 1$ and the base case holds. Assuming this holds for some n , for the inductive step we would have:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{n+1} &= A^n A && \text{associativity} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n & \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \\ & 1 & n \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} A \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n+1 & \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n+1 \\ & 1 & n+1 \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{n(n+1)}{2} + n + 1 = \frac{n(n+1) + 2n + 2}{2} = \frac{n^2 + 3n + 2}{2} = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$$

1.14 Find infinitely many matrices B such that $BA = I_2$ when

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Discussion. To find infinitely many solutions, we can find a vector that when added to B doesn't change the product BA . By the row picture of matrix multiplication, the rows of B specify linear combinations of the rows of A to make the product BA . So we'll look for a linear combination of the rows of A that sum to $\mathbf{0}$. Then any multiple of this linear combination will also be $\mathbf{0}$, and can be added to B without changing BA . Technically, we seek a vector in A 's left null space.

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} A = \mathbf{0}$$
$$NA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } (B + \lambda N)A = BA + \lambda NA = BA + 0 = BA$$
$$\tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B}A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_2$$
$$BA = (\tilde{B} + \lambda N)A = \tilde{B}A = I_2$$

1.15 With A arbitrary, determine the products $e_{ij}A$, Ae_{ij} , e_jAe_k , $e_{ii}Ae_{jj}$, and $e_{ij}Ae_{kl}$

- $e_{ij}A$: since e_{ij} is multiplying A on the left, it is making linear combinations of A 's rows. Since it only has a 1 in column j it extracts the j th row of A and puts it in the i th row of the product, which is 0 everywhere else.

$$e_{ij}A = i \begin{bmatrix} & j \\ & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} - & \mathbf{a}_1 & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & \mathbf{a}_j & - \\ & \vdots & \\ - & \mathbf{a}_n & - \end{bmatrix} = i \begin{bmatrix} & & \\ & \mathbf{a}_j & \\ & & \end{bmatrix}$$

- Ae_{ij} : Note that the j th column of e_{ij} is also the i th basis vector e_i . Multiplying A by a basis vector e_i simply extracts the i th column from A : $Ae_i = \mathbf{a}_i$. Next, consider that in e_{ij} there are $j - 1$ columns of $\mathbf{0}$ before the e_j column. So Ae_{ij} is a matrix with \mathbf{a}_i in the j th column and 0's everywhere else.

$$Ae_j = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} | \\ e_i \\ | \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Ae_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ \mathbf{a}_1 & \dots & \mathbf{a}_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & & j \\ \dots & \mathbf{0} & e_i & \mathbf{0} & \dots \\ & | & | & | & \end{bmatrix}$$

Alternatively, we can write $Ae_{ij} = (e_{ij}^\top A^\top)^\top = (e_{ji} A^\top)^\top$ and use the previous result: $e_{ji} A^\top$ places the i th row of A^\top in the j th row of the product, then the transpose $(e_{ji} A^\top)^\top$ has the i th row of A in the j th column (and zeros everywhere else).

- $e_j A e_k$: the product $e_j A$ is not defined for an arbitrary A . Suppose e_j is $m \times 1$, then for $e_j A$ to be defined A must have the shape $1 \times n$. Then e_k must be $n \times 1$ for the product $e_j A e_k$ to be defined. It would be very unconventional for e_j and e_k to have different shapes, but nevertheless Ae_k would be the single entry matrix $[a_{1k}]$, which then multiplies e_j like a scalar to produce $a_{1k} e_j$. In case Artin intended the product to read $e_j^\top A e_k$, the answer would be that $e_j^\top A$ extracts the j th row of A , then multiplying by e_k extracts the k th component of that row: $e_j^\top A e_k = a_{jk}$
- $e_{ii} A e_{jj}$: using previous results, $A e_{jj}$ has the effect of zeroing out A except for the j th column. Multiplying this by e_{ii} on the left zeros out everything but the i th row, which leaves only a_{ij} and zeros everywhere else: $e_{ii} A e_{jj} = a_{ij} e_{ij}$.
- $e_{ij} A e_{k\ell}$: the product $A e_{k\ell}$ is a matrix with \mathbf{a}_k in the ℓ th column and zeros everywhere else. Multiplying this by e_{ij} on the left takes the j th component of this column, a_{jk} , and places it in the i th row of the product, with zeros everywhere else. Therefore we have only a_{jk} in the (i, ℓ) entry, or $a_{jk} e_{i\ell}$.

Exercises from 1st edition

1.1.16 A square matrix A is called *nilpotent* if $A^k = 0$ for some $k > 0$. Prove that if A is nilpotent then $I + A$ is invertible.

Discussion

If $I + A$ is invertible then its inverse is some matrix $(I + A)^{-1}$. If we consider this a function of A then we find that it is infinitely differentiable and we might attempt to evaluate its Maclaurin series. Note the resemblance to a geometric series:

$$f(A) = (I + A)^{-1} = I - A + A^2 - A^3 + \dots$$

Since A is nilpotent all powers of A from k onwards are 0, so this is really a finite sum:

$$(I + A)^{-1} = I - A + A^2 - \dots (-1)^{k-1} A^{k-1}$$

We'll assume Artin meant that k is the *lowest* integer such that $A^k = \mathbf{0}$.

Proof

We check if it inverts $I + A$, and indeed it does:

$$\begin{aligned} & (I + A)(I - A + A^2 - \dots (-1)^{k-1} A^{k-1}) \\ &= (I(I - A + A^2 - \dots (-1)^{k-1} A^{k-1})) + (A(I - A + A^2 - \dots (-1)^{k-1} A^{k-1})) \\ &= I + A - A + A^2 - A^2 + \dots (-1)^{k-1} A^{k-1} + (-1)^{k-2} A^{k-1} + 0 \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

which confirms that $I + A - A^2 + \dots (-1)^{k-1} A^{k-1}$ is indeed the inverse of $I + A$.

Chapter 2

2 Section 1

1. Let S be a set. Prove that the law of composition defined by $ab = a$ for all a, b in S is associative.

Solution: use algebra to demonstrate order of groupings doesn't matter We'll show that $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$. For any $a, b, c \in S$, the composition $a \circ (b \circ c) = a \circ b = a$. Also $(a \circ b) \circ c = a \circ c = a$. Therefore this composition is associative.

Takeaway: projection is associative.

2. . Prove the properties of inverses that are listed near the end of the section.

The properties are:

- If an element a has both a left inverse ℓ and a right inverse r , then the left inverse and the right inverse are equal.

Solution: use algebra to show equality. We'll use algebra to show that $\ell = r$. Given $a\ell = 1$, multiply both sides by r . This gives $\ell ar = 1r = r$. Using associativity we have $\ell(ar) = r$. But since $ar = 1$, as r is a right inverse, this simplifies to $\ell = r$.

- If a is invertible, its inverse is unique. **Solution: assume there are multiple inverses and show they are equal.**

Suppose a is invertible. This means there exists at least one inverse a^{-1} . Let b, c be other, possibly different inverses for a . Then

$$ca = ba \implies caa^{-1} = baa^{-1} \implies c = b$$

showing that $b = c$.

- Inverses multiply in the opposite order: $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$.

Solution: use algebra to demonstrate the property holds.

This requires that a and b individually have inverses. Using associativity:

$$ab(b^{-1}a^{-1}) = a(bb^{-1})a^{-1} = a1a^{-1} = aa^{-1} = 1$$

- An element a may have a left inverse or a right inverse, though it is not invertible.

Solution: show an example

- Let \mathbb{N} denote the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, and let $s : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the *shift* map function defined by $s(n) = n + 1$. Prove that s has no right inverse, but that it has infinitely many left inverses.

Solution: explore the function's properties and form a proof.

Suppose s has a right inverse t . Then t must be a function from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} such that $s(t(n)) = n$. We can also write this as $t(n) + 1 = n$. This clearly works if $t(n) = n - 1$. However if $n = 1$ then $n - 1$ is not in the target set \mathbb{N} . In this sense s cannot have a right inverse.

If s has a left inverse then $t(s(n)) = n$ for all n . I.e. $t(n + 1) = n$. We can define $t(n) = n - 1$ and since t can never receive a number lower than 2 as input, we don't have the problem before of $t(n)$ mapping out of \mathbb{N} . However, t must still be *defined* on the entire set \mathbb{N} , so we can map 1 to any natural number we like. For example we could have t_1 which maps 1 to 1, t_{29} which maps 1 to 29, etc. In this sense each t_k is a left inverse of s and there are infinitely many different ones, one for each natural number.

3 Section 2

2.1 First

2.2 Second

2.3 third

2.4 fourth

- 2.5 In the definition of a subgroup, the identity element in H is required to be the identity of G . One might require only that H have an identity element, not that it need be the same as the identity of G . Show that if H has an identity at all, then it is the identity of G . Show the analogous statement is true for inverses.

Solution: isolate the essential difference between the objects then show they must be equal. Suppose $H \leq G$ and e is the identity of H . Then for any $h \in H$, $eh = he = h$. Now take some $g \in G$ that is not in H (if there is no such g then $H = G$ and e is the identity in G , as the group identity is unique). Let $eg = g'$. Perhaps $g \neq g'$ and e is not the identity in G . However, using associativity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (eh)g = & hg \\ ehg & \parallel & \\ & \parallel & \\ & (eh)g = (he)g = h(eg) = & hg' \end{array}$$

shows $hg = hg'$. We apply the cancellation law to get $g = g'$. That means $eg = g$ for any $g \in G$ not in H and e is already the identity for H . Therefore e behaves as the identity in G as well, and since group identities are unique, e must be the identity of G .

For inverses, suppose $h \in H$ has an inverse h^{-1} in H but a possibly different inverse $j \in G$. Then in G , $hh^{-1} = hj$ and by the cancellation law $h^{-1} = j$.