Your Document Title

Benjamin Basseri

Problem 1

Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, and consider the following equivalence relation on A:

$$R = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), (6,6),$$

$$(2,3),(3,2),(4,5),(5,4),(4,6),(6,4),(5,6),(6,5)$$

List the equivalence classes of R.

We see that 1 doesn't have any relations except to itself, so we have $[1] = \{1\}$. However 2 and 3 have a relation, and nothing else relates to 2 or 3 but each other. So we have a second equivlance class $[2] = \{2, 3\}$. Finally, 4, 5, and 6 all have relations with each other so our last equivalence class is $[4] = \{4, 5, 6\}$.

Problem 2

Let $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$. Suppose R is an equivalence relation on A. Suppose R has two equivalence classes. Also aRd, bRc, and eRd. Write out R as a set.

Since R is an equivalence relation it must be reflexive and contain the diagonal of A. It must also be transitive so a,d, and e must all be in the same class. We see none of those elements have a relationship with b or c, although b and c relate to each other. So the two equivalence classes are $\{a,d,e\}$ and $\{b,c\}$. Writing out R:

$$R = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (e, e), (a, d), (d, a), (a, e), (e, a), (d, e), (e, d), (b, c), (c, b)\}$$

Problem 3

Let $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$. Suppose R is an equivalence relation on A. Suppose R has three equivalence classes. Also aRd and bRc. Write out R as a set.

First let's identify the equivalence classes. There is a clas containing a and d, and two more classes. If b and c are in the same class as a and d then the only remaining element to form a new class is e and there would only be two equivalence classes. Therefore b and c must be in a different equivalence class and e must be a singleton class for the third one. This makes the classes $\{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{e\}$

$$R = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (e, e),$$
$$(a, d), (d, a), (b, c), (c, b)\}$$

Problem 4

Let $A = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$. Suppose R is an equivalence relation on A. Suppose that aRd and bRc, eRa and cRe. How many equivalence classes does R have?

Since transitivity and symmetry holds in each equivalence class, aRd implies a and d are in the same class, eRa implies e is also in the class, eRa means e is in the class too, and eRb means e is in the class. So all elements are in the class and there is just one big equivalence class.

Problem 5

There are two difference equivalence relations on the set $A = \{a, b\}$. Describe them.

Either we have one big clump and $R = \{(a, a), (b, b), (a, b), (b, a)\}$ or we have complete separation and $R = \{(a, a), (b, b)\}.$

Problem 6

There are five different equivalence relations on the set $A = \{a, b, c\}$. Describe them all.

We could have either one, two, or three equivalence classes. There's only one may to have one equivalence class and that's the one big clump relation $R = A^2$. There's also only one way to have three equivalence classes, and that's with complete separation, $R = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c)\}$. Then there are $\binom{3}{2} = 3$ ways to choose 2 of the 3 elements to be equivalent and these are the remaining three equivalence relations:

$$[1]=\{a,b\},[2]=\{c\}$$

$$[1] = \{a, c\}, [2] = \{b\}$$

$$[1] = \{b, c\}, [2] = \{a\}$$

Problem 7

Define a relation R on \mathbb{Z} as xRy if and only if 3x-5y is even. Prove R is an equivalence relation. Describe its equivalence classes.

There will be two equivalence classes total: one for elements (x, y) where 3x - 5y are even and the other for when the difference is odd. Now let's check the relation properties. To see if it's reflexive we test xRx for any integer x, which exists if 3x - 5x = -2x is even and it is. Therefore R is reflexive. Now we test symmetry: suppose xRy so 3x - 5y is even. The only way this difference could be even is if 3x and 5y have the same parity, otherwise it would be (odd) - (even) or (even) - (odd) which would be odd. Now consider 3y - 5x. This differs from the first expression by -8x, which must be even, and 8x which must also be even. That is

$$3y - 5x = 3x - 5y + 2(4y - 4x)$$

This means 3y - 5x is an even number plus an even number, which is even. Therefore we have yRx and the relation is symmetric.

Finally to test transitivity suppose we have xRy and yRz. Then 3x - 5y is even as is 3y - 5z. Then 3x - 5y = 2a for some integer a and 3y - 5z = 2b for some integer b. By multiplying the first equation by 3 and second equation by 5, then adding them together, we obtain:

$$9x + 5z = 6a - 10b$$

If we subtract 6x and 10z from both sides we get

$$9x + 5z - 6x - 10z = 6a - 10b - 6x - 10z$$

$$\implies 3x - 5z = 2(3a - 5b - 3x - 5z)$$

which is even.

Problem 8

Define a relation R on \mathbb{Z} as xRy if and only if $x^2 + y^2$ is even. Prove R is an equivalence relation. Describe the equivalence classes.

There are two classes: one where the sum is even and one where the sum is odd. Reflexive:

$$x^2 + x^2 = 2x^2$$
 (even) $\implies xRx$

We also have symmetry since if $x^2 + y^2$ is even then by commutative addition this equals $y^2 + x^2$, which will also be even. If we have xRy and yRz then I claim x^2 , y^2 and z^2 all have the same parity. First, since $x^2 + y^2$ is even this means either both x^2 and y^2 are even or they're both odd. Then for $y^2 + z^2$ to be even, z^2 must have the same parity as y^2 . Then z^2 has the same parity as x^2 (as parity is itself an equivalence relation and hence transitive) and $x^2 + z^2$ is even, giving us $xRy \wedge yRz \implies xRz$.

Problem 9

Define a relation R on \mathbb{Z} as xRy if and only if $4 \mid (x+3y)$. Prove R is an equivalence relation. Describe its equivalence classes.

There are two equivalence classes: $\{(x,y): 4\mid (x+3y)\}$ and its complement (those x,y such that $4\mid (x+3y)$).

The relation is reflexive since for any x have x+3x=4x which is divisble by 4. The relation is symmetric: suppose we have xRy so 4 divides x+3y. Then x+3y=4a for some integer a. Multiplying the equation by 3 gives 3x+9y=12a, and subtracting 8y gives 3x+y=4(3a-2y). Therefore 4 divides y+3x and we have yRx. The relation is transitive: suppose xRy and yRz. Then x+3y=4a for some a and y+3z=4b for some a. Multiply that second equation by 3 and subtract it from the first equation to obtain:

$$x + 3y - 3y - 9z = x - 9z = 4a - 12b$$

$$\implies x + 3z = 4a - 12b + 12z = 4(a - 3b + 3z)$$

which is divisible by 4.

Problem 10

Suppose R and S are two equivalence relations on a set A. Prove that $R \cap S$ is also an equivalence relation.

Since both R and S are equivalence relations. Then they both contain the diagonal of $A \times A$ and so does their intersection. Therefore $R \cap S$ is reflexive. Now suppose there is an (x,y) in the intersection. Then (x,y) is in both R and S and by their symmetry, (y,x) is also in both R and S. Therefore (y,x) is in $R \cap S$ and the intersection is symmetric. Likewise, if (x,y),(y,z) is in the intersection then both those relationships are in R and S as well. Since those relations are transitive they both contain (x,z) and (x,z) is in the intersection, so $R \cap S$ is transitive.

Problem 11

Prove or disprove: If R is an equivalence relation on an infinite set A, then R has infinitely many equivalence classes.

This is false. Consider the same parity relation on \mathbb{Z} , which is an infinite set. There are only two equivalence classes: $\{\ldots, -4, -2, 0, 2, -4, \ldots\}$ and $\{\ldots, -3, -1, 1, 3, \ldots\}$.

Problem 12

Prove or disprove: If R and S are two equivalence relations on a set A, then $R \cup S$ is also an equivalence relation on A.

 $R \cup S$ will be reflexive since both R and S contain the diagonal, so their union certainly contains it as well. Now suppose (x, y) is in the union. Then it is either a member of R or S (or both). Then (y, x) is also in the base set hence it is in the union. Likewise if (x, y) and (y, z) are in the union, it is not necessarily true that the transitive relationship (x, z) is in the union. For example it could be that (x, y) in the union

came from R and has not transitive relationships (such as R is just the diagonal, (x, y), and (y, z) originates in S with the same setup that S is just the diagonal, (y, z), and (z, y). Then (x, z) is not in either R or S and not in the union, so the union is not transitive.

Problem 13

Suppose R is an equivalence relation on a finite set A, and every equivalence class has the same cardinality m. Express |R| in terms of m and |A|.

Each equivalence class has m elements which means it corresponds to m^2 pairs in R, since each element in the class has a relation to every other element including itself. There are |A|/m total equivalence classes because equivalence classes partition the set. Therefore $|R| = m^2 |A|/m = m|A|$.

Problem 14

Suppose R is a reflexive and symmetric relation on a finite set A. Define a relation S on A by declaring xSy if and only if for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in A$ satisfying $xRx_1, x_1Rx_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}Rx_n$, and x_nRy . Show that S is an equivalence relation and $R \subseteq S$. Prove that S is the unique smallest equivalence relation on A containing R.

First let's show S is an equivalence relation. S has relations xSy if and only if a finite chain of relations can be made in R from x to y. For reflexivity, can we make a 'chain' from x to itself in R? Even if we require that n be at least 1, then we need some x_1 such that xRx_1, x_1Rx . Of course, nothing prevents us from using x itself as x_1 , so we check if xRx is a relation and since R is reflexive, it has all self-relations. Therefore S is reflexive.

S is symmetric because xSy implies xRx_1, \ldots, x_nRy and since R is symmetric, the reverse relations exist in R as well: $yRx_n, x_nRx_{n-1}, \ldots, x_1Rx$. This implies ySx and S is symmetric.

S is transitive for similar reasons. Suppose xSy, ySz. Then in R we have:

$$xRa_1, xRa_2, \dots, xRa_n, a_nRy$$

$$yRb_1, yRb_2, \dots yRb_m, b_mRz$$

This means we have a finite chain of relations from x to z in R, which means we have xSz and S is transitive. Therefore S is an equivalence relation.

To prove $R \subset S$, for any xRy since R is symmetric we could form the chain xRy, yRx, xRy (assuming we require $n \geq 1$) which implies xSy. Therefore any $(x,y) \in R \implies (x,y) \in S$ and S contains R.

To show S is the unique smallest equivalence relation on A containing R, consider taking R which is already reflexive and symmetric and closing it under transitive relations to form an equivalence relation S. Then (x, x_1) and $(x_1, x_2) \in S$ would require $(x, x_2) \in S$. If there's an $(x_2, x_3) \in R$ then we would also have $(x, x_3) \in S$. Continuing this way we see that any equivalence relation containin R must have the chaining property. This means any equivalence relation containing R must contain S, so S is the smallest possible set that's an equivalence relation containing R. And since sets are defined by their membership S is unique.

Problem 15

Suppose R is an equivalence relation on a set A, with four equivalence classes. How many different equivalence relations S on A are there for which $R \subset S$?

To contain R any other relation S would have to keep all the equivalence relations already present in R. These are partitioned into 4 classes, call them [1], [2], [3], [4]. So the only thing we can do is 'merge' one or more equivalency classes. Let's check that this preserves R.

Suppose to form S we merge classes [1] and [2]. For any $a, b \in [1]$ this indicates $(a, b), (b, a) \in R$. Merging the classes still maintains $a, b \in [1 \mid 2]$, so $(a, b), (b, a) \in S$. In fact all relations stemming from equivalence class 1 or 2 are still preserved in S. Now suppose $a \in [1], b \in [2]$. If we merge these two classes this requires $(a, b), (b, a) \in S$, and any other transitive relations that need to be present for the elements of [1] and [2]

to be considered equivalent. These are relations not present in R, for if they were [1] and [2] would already have the same elements and would in fact be the same subset of A. Therefore merging equivalence classes still contains all previous relations in R while creating new ones, and the merges would all be distinct from each other since equivalency classes contain distinct elements.

So all this boils down to how many and which equivalency classes we choose to merge in order to create an equivalency relation containing R. In other words, it's the number of ways to partition a set of 4 objects, which is 15.

Problem 16

Show that the relation \doteq defined on page 213 is transitive.

This relation states $\frac{a}{b} \doteq \frac{c}{d}$ when ad = bc. This is reflexive, since $ab = ba \implies \frac{a}{b} \doteq \frac{a}{b}$. This is also clearly symmetric since $ad = bc \implies bc = ad$. For transitivity, suppose $\frac{a}{b} \doteq \frac{c}{d}$ and $\frac{c}{d} \doteq \frac{e}{f}$. Then ad = bc and cf = de. Take cf = de, multiply the left by ad and the right by bc (these are in fact the same value from the first relation above, so this multiplication preserves equality). Then we get adcf = bcde. Canceling c and d from both sides leaves af = be, implying $\frac{a}{b} \doteq \frac{e}{f}$.