# Felix Mendelssohn

Prelude

MWV U 123 Op. 104a, No. 2

Critical Edition

Edited by Benjamin Geer

Version 0.1 (draft), 24 September 2020 Copyright © 2020 Data and Service Center for the Humanities This work is licensed under a 'CC BY-SA 4.0' licence.





## **Preface**

This draft edition is part of an experimental project, https://tondauer.art, aimed at developing technology for digital editions of music. An interactive online edition will eventually be based on this one. Performance editions based on this edition can be found on the project web site.

#### **About the Piece**

Mendelssohn composed this prelude in 1836, intending at first to include it in Op. 35, a set of preludes (originally études) and fugues. He then chose a different prelude for Op. 35, and this one was published posthumously in 1868.<sup>1</sup>

### Methodology and Sources

Mendelssohn wrote two versions of the piece,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Like most other editions, this one presents  $V_2$ . No autograph  $(A_2)$  or engraver's copy of  $V_2$  has been found.<sup>2</sup> I have used the following sources:

 $A_1$  The autograph of  $V_1$ , dated 12 October 1836.

 $E_{S1}$  The first German edition of  $V_2$ ,<sup>4</sup> published in Leipzig by Bartholf Senff in 1868. It also gives the date of the composition as 12 October 1836.

 $\mathbf{E_N}$  The first English edition of  $\mathbf{V_2}$ , published in London by Novello in 1868.

 $\mathbf{E}_{S2}$  A later Senff edition, published in about 1875. It is identical to  $\mathbf{E}_{S1}$ .

- 1. R. Larry Todd, Mendelssohn Essays (New York: Routledge, 2008), 188–198.
- 2. I am grateful to Dr. R. Larry Todd for this information.
- 3. Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin, shelfmark Mus.ms.autogr. Mendelssohn Bartholdy, F. 28, http://resolver.staatsbibliothek-berlin.de/SBB0001F9E700000315.
- 4. Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin, shelfmark N.Mus. 5420-1, and University of California Riverside Library, shelfmark SCUA M25.M45 P7.
  - 5. Bodleian Library, Oxford, shelfmark Deneke 256 (15).
  - 6. Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin, shelfmark N.Mus. 5419-1.

 $\mathbf{E_B}$  The Breitkopf & Härtel edition of  $\mathbf{V_2}$ , part of a critically revised edition of Mendelssohn's collected works, published between 1874 and 1877.

Digital facsimiles of these can be found at https://tondauer.art (except for  $E_N$ , for copyright reasons).

 $E_{S1}$  and  $E_N$  were coordinated editions (each mentions the other publisher), but are not identical;  $E_N$  contains several emendations.  $E_B$  has some of these as well as a number of others.

There are clearly problems with  $E_{S1}$ . But it is possible that only the editors of  $E_{S1}$  had access to the autographs, and that the variant readings in the other editions are conjectural emendations. Moreover, as explained below under Analysis, it seems likely that Mendelssohn left  $A_2$  in an unfinished state, which may well be accurately reflected in  $E_{S1}$ .

I have taken  $E_{S1}$  as a starting point, and accepted emendations from the other editions where they agree with  $A_1$ . This approach gives considerable weight to  $A_1$ , since it is the only available autograph, at the risk of undoing changes that Mendelssohn made in  $A_2$ . I have also followed the emendation in  $E_N$  and  $E_B$  for what appears to be an error in measure 7, and supplied accidentals that are clearly missing. All these choices are detailed below under Comments.

One could give more weight to  $E_{S1}$ , given its proximity to  $A_2$ . Or one could take a different approach and accept, for example,  $E_B$ 's reading in the first beat of measure 15, on the grounds of musical plausibility.

#### Comments

Each comment corresponds to a footnote in the music text.

Measure 2, beat 2 Preferred sources:  $A_1$ ,  $E_N$ .

 $A_1$  (measure 3) and  $E_N$  have  $G_{\sharp}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_B$  have  $G_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental).

Measure 4, beat 4 Preferred sources:  $A_1$ ,  $E_B$ .

 $A_1$  (measure 8) and  $E_B$  have  $A_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental in  $A_1$ ), while  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_N$  have  $A_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental).

Measure 7, beat 3 Preferred sources:  $E_N$ ,  $E_B$ .

 $E_N$  and  $E_B$  have B, while  $E_{S1}$  has G, which is surely an error. The passage is different in  $A_1$ , but the theme occurs with B in measure 16.

<sup>7.</sup> https://imslp.org/wiki/Special:ReverseLookup/109142

#### Measure 10, beat 1 Preferred sources: $A_1$ , $E_B$ .

In  $A_1$  (measures 19-21) and  $E_B$ , both the ties in measure 9 continue to the first beat of measure 11, while in  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_N$ , only the lower  $C\sharp$  is tied between measures 10 and 11.

#### Measure 10, beat 1 Preferred sources: $E_N$ , $E_B$ .

 $E_N$  and  $E_B$  have  $D_{\sharp}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  has  $D_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental), which is surely an error.  $A_1$  (measure 19) has a different structure here.

#### Measure 12, beat 2 Preferred sources: $A_1$ , $E_B$ .

 $A_1$  (measure 23) and  $E_B$  have  $B_{\natural}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_N$  have  $B_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental).

**Measure 12, beat 3** Redundant sharp in  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_{N}$ .

#### Measure 13, beat 1 Preferred sources: $A_1$ , $E_N$ , $E_B$ .

 $A_1$  (measure 25),  $E_N$ , and  $E_B$  have  $D_{\sharp}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  has  $D_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental).

**Measure 13, beat 2** In  $E_{S1}$ ,  $E_{N}$ , and  $E_{B}$ , the accidental is missing on the  $G^{\sharp}$  in the upper staff.  $A_{1}$  (measure 25) is unclear.

#### Measure 13, beat 2 Preferred source: E<sub>B</sub>.

 $E_B$  has  $D_{\xi}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_N$  have  $D_{\xi}$  (with no accidental), which is surely an error.  $A_1$  (measure 25) is unclear.

#### Measure 13, beat 3 Preferred sources: $A_1$ , $E_B$ .

Twice in this beat,  $A_1$  (measure 26, though slightly different) and  $E_B$  have  $E_{\sharp}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_N$  have  $E_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental).

#### **Measure 15, beat 1** Preferred sources: $E_{S1}$ , $E_{N}$ .

 $E_{S1}$  and  $E_N$  have  $E_{\natural}$  (with no accidental), while  $E_B$  has  $E_{\sharp}$ . The corresponding passage in  $A_1$  (starting in measure 29) has neither, and has a somewhat different harmonic structure.

Five diminished seventh chords descend chromatically in measures 13-14, and again in measures 15-16. The reading with E\( \alpha \) here matches the E\( \alpha \) that occurs with the corresponding chord in measure 13. On the other hand, the reading with E\( \alpha \) maintains a parallelism in measures 15-16, repeating the same ascending four-note interval sequence each time (minor third, major second, minor second). That same interval sequence, inverted, also appears with the first, third, and fifth diminished chords in measures 13-14.

**Measure 15, beat 3** Preferred sources:  $A_1$ ,  $E_B$ .

 $A_1$  (measure 30) and  $E_B$  have  $E_{\natural}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_N$  have  $E_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental).

**Measure 15, beat 3** Preferred sources:  $A_1$ ,  $E_N$ ,  $E_B$ .

 $A_1$  (measure 30),  $E_N$ , and  $E_B$  have  $G_{\sharp}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  has  $G_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental).

Measure 16, beat 1 Preferred source:  $E_N$ .

 $E_N$  has  $G_{\sharp}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_B$  have  $G_{\natural}$ , which is surely an error.  $A_1$  (measure 31) has a different structure here.

Measure 16, beat 3 Redundant sharp in  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_{N}$ .

Measure 17, beat 3 Redundant sharp in  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_{N}$ .

Measure 18, beat 3 Redundant sharp in  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_{N}$ .

Measure 20, beat 4 Preferred sources:  $E_{S1}$ ,  $E_{N}$ .

The chord is staccato in  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_{N}$ , but not in  $E_{B}$ .  $A_{1}$  (measure 40) has a different structure here.

**Measure 23, beat 3** Redundant sharp in  $E_{S1}$  and  $E_{N}$ .

Measure 23, beat 4 Preferred sources:  $E_N$ ,  $E_B$ .

 $E_N$  and  $E_B$  have  $G_{\sharp}$ , while  $E_{S1}$  has  $G_{\sharp}$  (with no accidental), which is surely an error. The second half of measure 23 and the first half of measure 24 do not occur in  $A_1$ .

### **Analysis**

In the process of transforming  $V_1$  into  $V_2$ , Mendelssohn halved the note values throughout, and thus combined every two measures into one. Some of the problems with accidentals in  $E_{S1}$  seem likely to have resulted from incomplete proofreading of the second half of each measure after this change.

For example, in measure 8 of  $A_1$ , the last note in the lower staff is  $A_{\natural}$ . There it did not need a natural sign, but it needed one after the preceding bar line was removed to form measure 4 of  $V_2$ ; the natural sign is missing in  $E_{S1}$ . The same is true of the  $E_{\natural}$  notes in measure 26 of  $A_1$ , which are in the third beat of measure

13 of  $V_2$ , and the E $_{\natural}$  in measure 30 of  $A_1$ , which is in the third beat of measure 15 in  $V_2$ .

Moreover, in measure 30 of  $A_1$ , the first  $G_{\natural}$  in the lower staff has a cautionary accidental, but in  $V_2$  it has been changed to another note. A natural sign therefore needs to be added to the subsequent  $G_{\natural}$  to cancel the sharp earlier in the measure, but it is missing in  $E_{S1}$ .

The redundant sharp on the  $E_{\sharp}$  in the third beat of measure 12 in  $E_{S1}$  seems to be another sign of this process; it was probably left there after being needed in measure 24 of  $A_1$ . The same goes for the redundant sharp on the  $G_{\sharp}$  in the third beats of measures 16, 17, and 18, and the one on the  $E_{\sharp}$  in the third beat of measure 23.

Perhaps Mendelssohn decided not to use this prelude in Op. 35 before completing the proofreading of  $A_2$ , and therefore left the manuscript in an unfinished state, with errors that were then reproduced in  $E_{S1}$ .

Benjamin Geer Data and Service Center for the Humanities University of Basel

benjamin.geer@dasch.swiss https://dasch.swiss

## **Prelude**

Felix Mendelssohn MWV U 123 (Op. 104a, No. 2)



 $^{1}G \ \sharp \ or \ G \ \natural \ ?$ 

 $^2$ A agray or A agray?



 $<sup>^5\</sup>mathrm{Redundant}$  accidental.





 $<sup>^{1}</sup>D \, \sharp \, or \, D \, \natural \, ?$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Missing accidental.  $^3D \nmid \text{ or } D \# ?$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>E \ or E \ ?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>E \ or E \ ?

 $<sup>^6</sup>$ E $\sharp$  or E $\sharp$ ?

 $<sup>^7</sup>G \mid$  or  $G \not\parallel$ ?

 $<sup>^8</sup>G \sharp \text{ or } G \, \natural \, ?$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Redundant accidental. <sup>10</sup>Redundant accidental.



 $<sup>^{1}</sup>Redundant\ accidental.$   $^{2}Staccato?$   $^{3}Redundant\ accidental.$   $^{4}G\ \sharp\ or\ G\ \sharp\ ?$ 

