

For convenience let $\bar{L}(k) = \bigcup_{i \leq k} L(i)$.

Proposition 1. *Any element t of $\mathcal{A}(n)$ may be written as $x + yz$ where $x \in \bar{L}(k)$, $y \in \mathcal{A}$, and $z \in \mathcal{A}(n-k) \setminus \mathbb{F}_2$ for any $k \geq 0$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{A}(n) \subset \bar{L}(k) + \mathcal{A}(\text{Sq}^i)_{i \leq k}$, where we take $\mathcal{A}(\text{Sq}^i)_{i \leq k}$ to be the left ideal of \mathcal{A} generated by $\{\text{Sq}^i\}_{i \leq k}$, for any $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the proposition on some basis for $\mathcal{A}(n)$. Recall that in Wood's Z-basis of the Steenrod algebra, a basis for $\mathcal{A}(n)$ consists of all strings of squares selected from (1) and multiplied in the given order:

$$\text{Sq}^{2^{n+1}-2^n} \text{Sq}^{2^{n+1}-2^{n-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^{2^{n+1}-1} \text{Sq}^{2^n-2^{n-1}} \dots \text{Sq}^{2^n-1} \dots \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^3 \text{Sq}^1 \quad (1)$$

We induct on the number of squares selected. The empty product is 1, which is in $\bar{L}(k)$, so we are done. So now suppose the proposition holds on all elements of the Wood Z-basis of length at most $l-1$, and let t be an element of length l . Then, for some $m = 2^j - 2^i$, $i < j \leq n+1$, we may write $t = \text{Sq}^m(x + yz)$ where x, y , and z are as in the statement of the proposition.

Now $\text{Sq}^m yz$ is clearly of the prescribed form, since $\text{Sq}^m y$ is in \mathcal{A} . If $x \in \bar{L}(k-1)$, then $\text{Sq}^m x \in \bar{L}(k)$ (since application of the Adem relations cannot increase the length of a monomial), so we may suppose $x \in L(k)$.

Write x in admissible form as $\text{Sq}^{i_1} \text{Sq}^{i_2} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_k}$. If $\text{Sq}^m \text{Sq}^{i_1} \text{Sq}^{i_2} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_k}$ is already in admissible form, then we have that $2^k i_k \leq 2^{k-1} i_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq 2i_1 \leq m < 2^{n+1}$, so in particular, $i_k < 2^{n-k+1}$, so $i_k \in \mathcal{A}(\text{Sq}^i)_{i \leq k}$, so in fact the entire product $\text{Sq}^m x$ is in $\mathcal{A}(\text{Sq}^i)_{i \leq k}$. Then we may apply the Adem relations at least once, to get

$$\text{Sq}^m \text{Sq}^{i_1} \text{Sq}^{i_2} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_k} = a_0 \text{Sq}^{m+i_1} \text{Sq}^{i_2} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_k} + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{m}{2}} a_j \text{Sq}^{m+i_1-j} \text{Sq}^j \text{Sq}^{i_2} \dots \text{Sq}^{i_k};$$

the first term we know is in $L(k)$. For each of the remaining terms, if we may repeat the same process, ignoring the first factor; either the product is in $\mathcal{A}(\text{Sq}^i)_{i \leq k}$, or it may be further reduced by the Adem relations. Finally, if we apply the Adem relations k times, the rightmost factor must be Sq^i for $i \leq \frac{m}{2^k} < 2^{n-k+1}$, so similarly the product lies in the ideal $\mathcal{A}(\text{Sq}^i)_{i \leq k}$. Then $\text{Sq}^m x$ may be written in the desired form, so we are done. \square