CMPE 16 Homework #8

John Allard
Decmber 2nd, 2014

1. For each of the following relations:

• Give 3 pairs of elements that are related, Determine whether the relation is reflective, Determine whether the relation is symmetric, determine whether the relation is transitive

```
(a) R_1 = \{(n, m) : n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } n * m \ge 0\}
      Part 1.)
                   (1, 2), (3, 4), (5, 6)
      Part 2.)
                   True. For any a \in \mathbb{Z}, a * a \ge 0. Thus (a, a) exists for all a \in \mathbb{Z}
      Part 3.)
                   True. \forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : x * y \ge 0 \implies y * x \ge 0
                   Multiplication is communative, so x * y \ge 0 necessarily implies that y * x \ge 0,
                   because the two statements are equivalent.
      Part 4.)
                   True. To prove : \forall x \forall y \forall z [([(x,y) \in R_1] \land [(y,z) \in R_1] \implies (x,z) \in R_1)]
                   This proof will use two cases, one where x < 0 and one where x > 0
      Case 1:
                   x < 0
                   If x < 0, then y \le 0 for the relation to hold.
                   Since y \leq 0, then z < 0 for the relation to hold.
                   Since x < 0 and z < 0, x * z > 0, which proves transitivity under this relation
      Case 2:
                   x \ge 0
                   If x \geq 0, then y \geq 0 for the relation to hold.
                   Since y \ge 0, then z \ge 0 for the relation to hold.
                   Since x \ge 0 and z \ge 0, x * z \ge 0, which proves transitivity under this relation
(b) R_2 = \{(a, b) : a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } a * b > 0\}
      Part 1.)
                   (1, 2), (3, 4), (5, 6)
      Part 2.)
                   True. For any a \in (\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}), a * a > 0. Thus (a, a) exists for all a \in \mathbb{Z}
      Part 3.)
                   True. \forall (x,y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : x * y > 0 \implies y * x > 0
                   Multiplication is communative, so x * y > 0 necessarily implies that y * x \ge 0,
                   because the two statements are equivalent.
      Part 4.)
                   True. \forall x \forall y \forall z [([(x,y) \in R_2] \land [(y,z) \in R_2] \implies (x,z) \in R_2)]
                   This proof will use two cases, one where x < 0 and one where x > 0
                   x < 0
      Case 1:
                   If x < 0, then y < 0 for the relation to hold.
                   Since y < 0, then z < 0 for the relation to hold.
                   Since x < 0 and z < 0, x * z > 0, which proves transitivity under this relation
      Case 2:
                   x > 0
                   If x > 0, then y > 0 for the relation to hold.
                   Since y > 0, then z > 0 for the relation to hold.
                   Since x > 0 and z > 0, x * z > 0, which proves transitivity under this relation
(c) R_3 = \{(i, j) : i, j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } i/j \ge 1\}
      Part 1.)
                  (10, 2), (20, 8), (1024, 2)
      Part 2.)
                   True. \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, i/i = 1.
                   Thus \forall i \in \mathbb{N} : (i, i) \in R_3
      Part 3.)
                   False. A counter example would be i = 4, j = 2, i/j > 1 so (i, j) \in R_3 but,
                   j/i < 1 so (j,i) \notin R_3
      Part 4.)
                   True. I will attemp a direct proof
                   To prove : \forall x \forall y \forall z [([(x,y) \in R_3] \land [(y,z) \in R_3] \implies (x,z) \in R_3)]
                   (x,y) \in R_3 \implies x/y \ge 1, (y,z) \in R_3 \implies y/z \ge 1
                   x/y \ge 1, x \ge y
                   y/z \ge 1, y \ge z
                   x \ge y \ge z \ge 1 (z \ge 1 by def. of natural numbers)
                   x/z \ge y/z \ge 1 \ge 1/z
                   x/z \ge 1, that which was to be shown
(d) R_4 = \{(x, y) : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } [x] = [y]\}
      Part 1.)
                  (1.09, 1.85), (3.90, 3.95), (4.90, 4.95)
      Part 2.)
      Part 3.)
      Part 4.)
```

2. In each case below explain why the relation between the set S and the set T is **not** a function.

(a) S is the set of all people at least 21 years old on October 25, 2014 and T is the set of all automobiles. A person is associated with their first car.

Answer: Not a function because there are people at the age of 21 or older who have never owned a car, and thus aren't associated with a first car.

(b) S is the set of all ordered pairs of integers $(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$ and T is the set of all rational number (\mathbb{Q}) . An ordered pair of integers (m, n) is associated with n/m

Answer: This is not a function because there is no defined mapping from $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, n = 0 to a rational number. (Rational numbers cannot have a zero in the denominator).

(c) S is the set of all bit strings and T is the set of integers. A bit string is associated with the integer n if it's nth bit is the rightmost bit which is a zero.

Answer: This is not a function because the relation is undefined if the bitstring contains no zeros, ex OxFFFF.

(d) S is the set of all integers and T is the set of all real numbers. An integer n is associated with a real number

Answer: This is not a function because $\sqrt{n^2} = \pm n$, thus one input maps to more than one output.

- 3. In each case below, determine whether the function given is injective (one-to-one) and prove your answer.
 - (a) $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ where f(x) = (3x 4)/8

Answer: True, f is one-to-one. I will attempt to prove this using induction¹. To do this, I will show that the function f is always strictly increasing over it's domain, which means that f(x) > f(x-1) > f(x-2) > $\ldots > f(1)$, which implies that all of the inputs have a different output over f

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+: f(x-1) < f(x) \\ \forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+: f(x) < f(x+1) \\ f(1) = -1/8, f(2) = 1/4, f(1) < f(2) \\ f(x) = (3x-4)/8 = \frac{3}{8}x - \frac{4}{8} \\ f(x+1) = (3(x+1)-4)/8 = (3x-1)/8 = \frac{3}{8}x - \frac{1}{8} \\ f(x+1) = \frac{3}{8}x - \frac{4}{8} + \frac{3}{8} = f(x) + \frac{3}{8} \\ f(x+1) = f(x) + \frac{3}{8} \Longrightarrow f(x+1) > f(x)$$

Inductive Hypothesis Inductive Conclusion

Base Case

Simplifying f(x) for later use

Inputing x + 1 into f

Substituting in f(x)

The inductive conclusion has been shwon

(b) $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{Q}^+$ where f(a, b) = a/b

Answer: No this is not a function, a simple counter example would be:

a = 10, b = 2, f(a, b) = 10/2 = 5. a = 20, b = 4, f(a, b) = 20/4 = 5.

Thus two unique inputs share the same output value, and thus f is not one-to-one.

(c) $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ where $f(n) = \frac{1}{n}$

Answer: True, f is one-to-one. I will try to show this by contradiction.

Assume that $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \neq m$ but f(n) = f(m).

1/n = 1/m

m/n = 1

m=n, but this contadicts the fact that $m\neq n$, thus our assumption that f(n)=f(m) must be false

(d) $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$ where f(x) = |x|

Answer: No this is not one-to-one. A simple counter example would be

x = 0.1, y = 0.11, [x] = 0, [y] = 0, [x] = [y]

Thus two different inputs map to the same output, and f is not one-to-one.

- 4. In each case determine whether the function is onto (surjective) and prove your answer.
 - (a) $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ where f(a, b) = a/b

Answer: False:

 $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ but $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ because $\sqrt{2}$ is not rational

 $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q} \implies \sqrt{2} \neq a/b$

Thus there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, x \neq a/b$

So f is not surjective.

(b) $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Q}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ where f(a,b) = a/b

Answer: False: I will show by contradiction that $\sqrt{2}$ is in \mathbb{R}^+ but not in the domain of f

Assume for contradiction that $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}^+$ but $\sqrt{2} \in a/b : (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Q}^+$ $f(a,b) \to \sqrt{2} \implies \sqrt{2} = a/\frac{b}{c}, \ a,b,c \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \ | \ \text{Enumerating our assumption}$ Simplifying

 $\sqrt{2} = \frac{ac}{b} = \frac{k}{b}$: $k = ac \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ $\sqrt{2} = k/c \implies \in \mathbb{Q}^+$

Definition of the rational numbers

¹ 'Why on earth would he possibly try and use induction here?', you must be asking yourself. That's a pretty good question..

However this contradicts the fact that $\sqrt{2}$ is not a rational number, and thus our assumption that $\exists a, b$: $f(a,b) \to \sqrt{2}$ is false. And thus f is not surjective.

(c) $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ where f(x) = (3x - 4)/8

Answer: Yes, f is surjective.

Given a general value from the reals, y, there is a value x (also from the reals) such that f(x) = y. Simply take x = (8y + 4)/3, which is also a real number², then ...

$$f((8y+4/3)) = \frac{3[(8y+4)/3]-4}{8}$$

$$f((8y + 4/3)) = \frac{8y+4-4}{8}$$

$$f((8y + 4/3)) = \frac{8y}{8} = y$$

$$f((8y+4/3)) = \frac{8y}{8} = y$$

Thus given any number y from the reals, we can find an input x to f such that f(x) = y, thus f is surjective.

(d) $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ where f(x) = (3x - 4)/8

Answer: False, f is not surjective. A counter example is given below.

For f to be surjective, there must be some integer, x, that maps to any rational number, a/b, over the relation (3x-4)/8.

If
$$a = 1, b = 2$$
, then $f(x) = 1/2$.

$$(3x-4)/8 = 1/2$$

$$x = 8/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

Thus there is no input to f from \mathbb{Z} that can produce an output of $\frac{1}{2}$

- 5. In this problem you will calculate the number of UCSC ID numbers that meet certain criteria. UCSC ID numbers all have 7 digits from 0 to 9. We will assume that all digits can be 0 through 9. Be careful! There are subtleties lurking here.
 - (a) How many UCSC ID numbers have digits that sum to 9?

Answer:
$$m = 7$$
, $r = 9$. $\binom{(m+r-1)}{r} = \binom{15}{9} = 5005$

(b) How many UCSC ID numbers have digits that sum to 16? Answer: m=7, r=16. $\binom{(m+r-1)}{r}=\binom{22}{16}=74,613$

Answer:
$$m = 7$$
, $r = 16$. $\binom{(m+r-1)}{r} = \binom{22}{16} = 74,613$

- (c) How many UCSC ID numbers must you have to guarantee that at least two of them sum to the same number? **Answer:** There are 64 unquie numbers that can be generated as the sum of a valid UCSC ID number (0-63). Thus if you have 65 ID numbers, at least two of them must sum to the same number.
- (d) How many UCSC ID numbers must you have to guarantee that at least four of them have the same last 3 digits?
- 6. These problems involve a fruit store that sells apples, bananas, pears and oranges.

(a) How many ways are there to select a bag 10 fruits? **Answer**:
$$m=4,\ r=10,\ \binom{m+r-1}{r}=\binom{13}{10}=286$$

- (b) How many ways are there to select a bag of 10 fruits if there are only 4 pears available?
- (c) How many ways are there to select a bag of 10 fruits if there are only 4 pears and 4 oranges available?
- (d) You can also buy a closed paper bag containing 10 fruit, but you cant peek inside till you purchase it. What is the smallest number of the most frequent fruit in any possible bag of 10 fruit? (For example, if the bag contains 2 apples, 5 pears and 3 oranges, then the most frequent fruit is the pear, or if the bag contains 4 pears, 4 apples and 2 bananas, then the pears and apples are the most frequent fruit and so 4 is the number of the most frequent fruit.)

²This is because the reals are closed under +/*