

# Detecting Fake News with Supervised Learning

Benjamin R. Perucco

December 30, 2020

## 1 Definition

### 1.1 Project Overview

### 1.2 Problem Statement

### 1.3 Metrics

## 2 Analysis

### 2.1 Data Exploration

### 2.2 Algorithms and Techniques

#### 2.2.1 n-gram Model

The  $n$ -gram defines usually a contiguous sequence of words with length  $n$ . For example, if  $n = 1$ , we speak of a unigram that contains only single word tokens. Or if  $n = 2$ , we denote this as a bigram that is build on two adjacent word tokens.

Consider the following text: “Sometimes we eat green apples, and sometimes, the apples we eat are red.” Based on a unigram, we obtain a set of tokens: {‘sometimes’, ‘we’, ‘eat’, ‘apples’, ‘green’, ‘and’, ‘the’, ‘are’, ‘red’}. We can derive a frequency array of tokens in the text: [2, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]. For the bigram, another set of tokens is obtained: {‘sometimes we’, ‘we eat’, ‘eat green’, ‘green apples’, ‘apples and’, ‘and sometimes’, ‘sometimes the’, ‘the apples’, ‘apples we’, ‘eat are’, ‘are red’}. The corresponding frequency array of tokens in the text is: [1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1].

In order to build frequency arrays for a set of texts (or documents), we need to build a common vocabulary of which the  $n$ -gram model is underlying principle.

#### 2.2.2 Vocabulary

Consider a corpus  $D$  which contains a set of documents  $\{d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_n\}$ . Then a vocabulary  $F$  is a set of tokens  $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_m\}$  extracted from the corpus  $D$ . Please remind yourself that a token is created on the  $n$ -gram model. Usually for a set of tokens, we consider the  $m$  mostly occuring tokens in a corpus  $D$ . In the following, the tokens are denoted as features as these build the features (or independent variables) of a machine learning model.

#### 2.2.3 Definitions

Let  $\sigma(f_j, d_i)$  the number of occurances of feature  $f_j$  in document  $d_i$ . Then we can build a feature matrix

$$X_\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(f_1, d_1) & \sigma(f_2, d_1) & \sigma(f_3, d_1) & \dots & \sigma(f_m, d_1) \\ \sigma(f_1, d_2) & \sigma(f_2, d_2) & \sigma(f_3, d_2) & \dots & \sigma(f_m, d_2) \\ \sigma(f_1, d_3) & \sigma(f_2, d_3) & \sigma(f_3, d_3) & \dots & \sigma(f_m, d_3) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \sigma(f_1, d_n) & \sigma(f_2, d_n) & \sigma(f_3, d_n) & \dots & \sigma(f_m, d_n) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

For a specific element in row  $i$  and column  $j$  (representing the document  $d_i$  and feature  $f_j$ ) in the matrix  $X_\sigma$ , we abbreviate by using  $\sigma_{ij}$ .

#### 2.2.4 Term Frequency Model

#### 2.2.5 Inverse Document Frequency Model

### 2.3 Benchmark

## 3 Methodology

### 3.1 Data Preprocessing

### 3.2 Implementation

### 3.3 Refinement

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Model Evaluation and Validation

### 4.2 Justification

## 5 Conclusion

### 5.1 Reflection

### 5.2 Improvement