Design Document Review for Team 8H

Reviewed By: *5E*

Instructions

Teams will be reviewing the design document from another project team. They should review this from a standpoint that they are taking over support for this project and details need to be clear. The design documentation should provide all the necessary information for the reviewing team to understand all the criteria of the project as listed in the table below.

For each section, teams should provide **constructive** feedback that includes:

- Is each section complete or is it missing information? Refer to the original markdown template that was originally provided for additional guidance.
- If a section is not complete, what would make it better (better descriptive, more diagrams, etc.)? Be sure to provide clear details on what you feel might be missing.

Refer to the Sprint 4 grading rubric as a guide to help teams understand what it would take from your perspective to get full credit.

Criteria	Comments
Executive Summary, Purpose and Glossary	Redundant information shared between summary and purpose.
Requirements (MVP features and Enhancements)	Definition of MPV and MPV features both contain need management sections

Application Domain	Written portion seems complete. A diagram could be helpful
Architecture and Design (Tiers, layers, static and dynamic diagrams, etc.)	Replace handwritten diagram with machine made one.
OO Design Principles	Digram bisects a principle, fix formatting.
Static Code Analysis/Design Improvements	None

Testing (Acceptance, Unit Testing and Code Coverage)	Explain difference between coverage report (overall) and coverage report (classes)
Final Overall Comments	Thorough design doc, just minor changes.q