The following sheet was used to record the test results. For each user, we filled out one sheet per prototype tested.

## Prototype Number: 1

Task Number*	Was the task completed?	Observations from the observer	Feedback and comments from the user
1	Yes	After quickly scanning over the app, the user identified that they needed to click the record button and then visualize. The user didn't realize at first that they needed to click on the preview of the chart to actually display it.	There should be a confirm button on the preview to show that this hasn't been confirmed as the selection.
2	Yes	After previewing one type of chart, the user clicked on the record button instead of the visualize one. They then clicked visualize and realized this was what they needed to press to go back.	There should be an 'X' or a back button on the preview to go back, instead of having to click on visualize again.
3	No	After they opened a chart, the user couldn't tell what could be customized. They tried clicking on the different results and on the graph but nothing happened. Seems hard to represent edits on a paper prototype.	Needs to be made more clear what can be customized.
4	Yes	After clicking on visualize, the user is prompted with 4 chart types. The user was happy to choose a bar graph, but there seems to be no way to choose anything other than the 4 provided types.	

<sup>\*</sup>Note that the task number is as indicated in the "Descriptions of Test Tasks" document Prototype Number: 2

Task Number*	Was the task completed?	Observations from the observer	Feedback and comments from the user
1	Yes	<ul> <li>The user understood the task quickly probably learning from the first prototype.</li> <li>Chose correct graph for yes/no even though it wasn't specified.</li> </ul>	
2	Yes	Was able to understand screen formats would show that for him and just looked at the screen	
3	Yes	Did not know what was customizable other than the title. Though was able to customize that.	
4	Yes	<ul> <li>Found the appropriate button but paper prototype did not allow for many other options. A limitation of the prototype.</li> </ul>	

5 Yes	<ul> <li>Saw the chat and was happy that it was included</li> <li>Also didn't know what slow chat did</li> </ul>	- Asked how the slow chat worked
-------	--	----------------------------------

<sup>\*</sup>Note that the task number is as indicated in the "Descriptions of Test Tasks" document

## Prototype Number: 3

Task Number*	Was the task completed?	Observations from the observer	Feedback and comments from the user
1	Yes	The user is very comfortable with asking simple question due to the experience of being a TA.	
2	Yes	The user expressed the appreciation to the design of the preference tab, where the different visualization types were clearly listed.	
3	No	This prototype did not support features such as changing titles, but the user did not care for such features anyway.	After explanations, the user commented: "Why would I want to add a title to it anyway? I'm not writing an essay."

4	Yes	- The user did not want to change between different visualization types because he is happy with the pure number one.	- The user commented: "I think each user should just pick one type of chart and stick with it."
5	Yes	The user liked the idea that the window of the chart is transparent, so that it fits the Zoom interface better.	- The user commented: "

<sup>\*</sup>Note that the task number is as indicated in the "Descriptions of Test Tasks" document