

### Assignment 2 Rubrics

#### Part A (40%)

Component	10-8	7-5	4-2	1-0	Marks
<b>Methods and Results Interpretation</b>	<p>Each validation method is applied correctly and explained concisely</p> <p>The result is clearly explained, and insights can be derived and discussed clearly</p>	<p>Each validation method is applied but fairly explained</p> <p>The result is fairly explained, and insights can be derived but fairly discussed</p>	<p>Both validation methods are applied but only one method is correctly applied. Incomplete or minimally explained</p> <p>The result and insights are minimally explained</p>	<p>Both methods are incorrectly applied. Only one method is applied or not explained clearly</p> <p>The result and insights are poorly presented or absent</p>	X25
<b>Evaluation of the methods</b>	The comparison and summary of the chosen method is justified clearly	The comparison and summary of the chosen method is fairly explained	The comparison and summary of the chosen method is simply there without explanation	The comparison and summary of the chosen method is barely present	X10
<b>Clarity/Writing/Deliverable</b>	<p>Accurate, clear and concise language used throughout the paper.</p> <p>Writes effective sentences that make logical sense.</p>	<p>Most writing was accurate, clear and concise. Writes reasonable effective sentences that generally makes logical sense</p>	<p>Some writing was inaccurate on unclear. Some of the sentences are ineffective, points are often jumpy and do not make logical sense</p>	<p>Writing was often inaccurate and unclear. Many sentences do not make logical sense. Gives some new information/point but poorly organised</p>	X5
<b>Total</b>					<b>40</b>

**Part B (60%)**

Component	10-8	7-5	4-2	1-0	Marks
<b>Methods</b>	The technique is applied correctly and explained concisely  Visualization is clear and precise	The technique is applied but fairly explained  Visualization is inconsistent and not clear	The technique is correctly applied but incomplete or minimally explained  Visualization is partially there	The technique is incorrectly applied and not explained  Visualization is absent	X30
<b>Results and discussion</b>	The results are clearly explained, and insights can be derived and discussed clearly	The results are fairly explained, and insights can be derived but fairly discussed	The results and insights are minimally explained	The results and insights are poorly presented or absent	X20
<b>Conclusion from the experiment</b>	The conclusion contains a comprehensive summary	The conclusion is a fairly complete summary	The conclusion is of simplistic summary	The conclusion is absent	X5
<b>Clarity/Writing/Deliverable/References</b>	Accurate, clear and concise language used throughout the paper. Writes effective sentences that make logical sense.	Most writing was accurate, clear and concise. Writes reasonable effective sentences that generally makes logical sense	Some writing was inaccurate on unclear. Some of the sentences are ineffective, points are often jumpy and do not make logical sense	Writing was often inaccurate and unclear. Many sentences do not make logical sense. Gives some new information/point but poorly organised	X5
<b>Total</b>					<b>60</b>