Lecture 15: Elastic Airplane Dynamics, Structural-Mode Control, and Nutation Control

Textbook Sections 10.4, 11.3, & 11.4

Dr. Jordan D. Larson



Intro

Previous: background for developing elastic vibration EOMs alongside rigid body **EOMs**

- Previous: background for developing elastic vibration EOMs alongside rigid body **EOMs**
- Showed vibration modes have own EOMs, enter rigid body EOMs through elastic effects on forces and moments

Intro

- Previous: background for developing elastic vibration EOMs alongside rigid body **EOMs**
- Showed vibration modes have own EOMs, enter rigid body EOMs through elastic effects on forces and moments
- Airplanes: considered as dynamic-elastic effects
 - Elastic deformation effects on forces and moments in rigid body EOMs
 - Vibration dynamics

Intro

- Previous: background for developing elastic vibration EOMs alongside rigid body EOMs
- Showed vibration modes have own EOMs, enter rigid body EOMs through elastic effects on forces and moments
- Airplanes: considered as dynamic-elastic effects
 - Elastic deformation effects on forces and moments in rigid body EOMs
 - Vibration dynamics
- Airplanes: considered as static-elastic effects:
 - Elastic deformation effects on forces and moments in rigid body EOMs
 - Do not include vibration dynamics

- Previous: background for developing elastic vibration EOMs alongside rigid body EOMs
- Showed vibration modes have own EOMs, enter rigid body EOMs through elastic effects on forces and moments
- Airplanes: considered as dynamic-elastic effects
 - Elastic deformation effects on forces and moments in rigid body EOMs
 - Vibration dynamics
- Airplanes: considered as static-elastic effects:
 - Elastic deformation effects on forces and moments in rigid body EOMs
 - Do not include vibration dynamics
- Structural-Mode Control
 - Additional loop-shaping possible for targeting structural modes in attitude control systems

- Previous: background for developing elastic vibration EOMs alongside rigid body EOMs
- Showed vibration modes have own EOMs, enter rigid body EOMs through elastic effects on forces and moments
- Airplanes: considered as dynamic-elastic effects
 - Elastic deformation effects on forces and moments in rigid body EOMs
 - Vibration dynamics
- Airplanes: considered as static-elastic effects:
 - Elastic deformation effects on forces and moments in rigid body EOMs
 - Do not include vibration dynamics
- Structural-Mode Control
 - Additional loop-shaping possible for targeting structural modes in attitude control systems
- Spinning satellites marginally stable or unstable
 - Stabilize with nutation control systems

Recall: elastic flight vehicle EOMs

$$\dot{\vec{X}}_{rig} = f_{rig}(\vec{X}_{rig}, \phi, \theta) + \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow rig}\vec{X}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow \eta} & \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{vib} + \mathcal{B}_{rig}\vec{u}$$

$$\dot{\vec{X}}_{vib} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times 6} \\ A_{vib\leftarrow rig} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times n} & I_{n\times n} \\ A_{vib\leftarrow \eta} & A_{vib\leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{vib} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times 4} \\ B_{vib} \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}$$
(1)

Static-Elastic Effects

Recall: elastic flight vehicle EOMs

$$\dot{\vec{X}}_{rig} = f_{rig}(\vec{X}_{rig}, \phi, \theta) + \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow rig}\vec{X}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow \eta} & \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{vib} + \mathcal{B}_{rig}\vec{u}$$

$$\dot{\vec{X}}_{vib} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times 6} \\ A_{vib\leftarrow rig} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times n} & I_{n\times n} \\ A_{vib\leftarrow \dot{\eta}} & A_{vib\leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{vib} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times 4} \\ B_{vib} \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}$$
(1)

Deformation equilibrium, i.e. static-elastic effects: $\ddot{\eta}_i = \dot{\eta}_i = 0 \ \forall i$

Static-Elastic Effects

Recall: elastic flight vehicle EOMs

$$\dot{\vec{X}}_{rig} = f_{rig}(\vec{X}_{rig}, \phi, \theta) + \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow rig}\vec{X}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow \eta} & \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{vib} + \mathcal{B}_{rig}\vec{u}$$

$$\dot{\vec{X}}_{vib} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times 6} \\ A_{vib\leftarrow rig} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times n} & I_{n\times n} \\ A_{vib\leftarrow \eta} & A_{vib\leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{vib} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n\times 4} \\ B_{vib} \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}$$
(1)

- Deformation equilibrium, i.e. static-elastic effects: $\ddot{\eta}_i = \dot{\eta}_i = 0 \ \forall i$
- Solve for static-elastic modal coordinates:

$$\bar{\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\eta}_1 & \cdots & \bar{\eta}_n \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

In terms of rigid state and control inputs

Static-Elastic EOMs

Vibration EOM:

$$\vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times 6} \\ A_{vib \leftarrow rig} \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times n} & I_{n \times n} \\ A_{vib \leftarrow \eta} & A_{vib \leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\eta} \\ \vec{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times 4} \\ B_{vib} \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}$$
(3)

Static-Elastic EOMs

Vibration EOM:

$$\vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times 6} \\ A_{vib \leftarrow rig} \end{bmatrix} \vec{X}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times n} & I_{n \times n} \\ A_{vib \leftarrow \eta} & A_{vib \leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\eta} \\ \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times 4} \\ B_{vib} \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}$$
(3)

Non-trivial portion:

$$0 = A_{vib \leftarrow rig} \vec{X}_{rig} + A_{vib \leftarrow \eta} \bar{\eta} + B_{vib} \vec{u}$$
 (4)

Vibration EOM:

$$\vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times 6} \\ A_{vib \leftarrow rig} \end{bmatrix} \vec{x}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times n} & I_{n \times n} \\ A_{vib \leftarrow n} & A_{vib \leftarrow \dot{n}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\eta} \\ \vec{0} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0_{n \times 4} \\ B_{vib} \end{bmatrix} \vec{u}$$
(3)

Non-trivial portion:

$$0 = A_{vib \leftarrow rig} \vec{X}_{rig} + A_{vib \leftarrow \eta} \bar{\eta} + B_{vib} \vec{u}$$
 (4)

Static-elastic constraint:

$$\bar{\eta} = A_{vib\leftarrow\eta}^{-1} \left(A_{vib\leftarrow rig} \vec{X}_{rig} + B_{vib} \vec{u} \right) \tag{5}$$

Static-Elastic Effects (continued)

Rigid-body EOM:

$$\vec{X}_{rig} = f_{rig}(\vec{X}_{rig}, \phi, \theta) + \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow rig} \vec{X}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow \eta} & \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\eta} \\ \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{B}_{rig} \vec{U}$$
 (6)

Static-Elastic Effects (continued)

Rigid-body EOM:

$$\vec{x}_{rig} = f_{rig}(\vec{x}_{rig}, \phi, \theta) + \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow rig} \vec{x}_{rig} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow \eta} & \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow \dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\eta} \\ \bar{0} \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{B}_{rig} \vec{u}$$
(6)

By back-substitution:

$$\dot{\vec{x}}_{rig} = f_{rig}(\vec{x}_{rig}, \phi, \theta) + \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow rig} \vec{x}_{rig}
+ \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow \eta} \mathcal{A}_{vib \leftarrow \eta}^{-1} \left(\mathcal{A}_{vib \leftarrow rig} \vec{x}_{rig} + \mathcal{B}_{vib} \vec{u} \right) + \mathcal{B}_{rig} \vec{u}$$
(7)

Static-Elastic Effects (continued)

Rigid-body EOM:

$$\dot{\vec{X}}_{\textit{rig}} = \textit{f}_{\textit{rig}}(\vec{X}_{\textit{rig}}, \phi, \theta) + \mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\textit{rig}}\vec{X}_{\textit{rig}} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\eta} & \mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\dot{\eta}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\eta} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{B}_{\textit{rig}}\vec{u}$$

By back-substitution:

$$\overrightarrow{x}_{rig} = f_{rig}(\overrightarrow{x}_{rig}, \phi, \theta) + A_{rig \leftarrow rig} \overrightarrow{x}_{rig} + A_{rig \leftarrow r} A_{vib \leftarrow rig}^{-1} (A_{vib \leftarrow rig} \overrightarrow{x}_{rig} + B_{vib} \overrightarrow{u}) + B_{rig} \overrightarrow{u}$$

Static-elastic rigid vehicle EOMs

$$\dot{\vec{x}}_{rig} = f_{rig}(\vec{x}_{rig}, \phi, \theta) + \left(\mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow rig} - \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow \eta} A_{vib \leftarrow \eta}^{-1} A_{vib \leftarrow rig}\right) \vec{x}_{rig} + \left(B_{rig} - \mathcal{A}_{rig \leftarrow \eta} \mathcal{A}_{vib \leftarrow \eta}^{-1} B_{vib}\right) \vec{u}$$

(8)

5/33

(6)

(7)

Residualization

- Process called residualization of vibration degrees-of-freedom into new matrices of static-elastic stability and control derivatives/coefficients
 - Residualized static-elastic derivatives: elements of $\left(A_{rig\leftarrow rig} A_{rig\leftarrow \eta}A_{vib\leftarrow \eta}^{-1}A_{vib\leftarrow rig}\right)$ & $\left(B_{rig} - \mathcal{A}_{rig\leftarrow\eta}A_{vib\leftarrow\eta}^{-1}B_{vib}\right)$

Residualization

- Process called residualization of vibration degrees-of-freedom into new matrices of static-elastic stability and control derivatives/coefficients
 - Residualized static-elastic derivatives: elements of $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\textit{rig}} \mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\eta}\mathcal{A}_{\textit{vib}\leftarrow\eta}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{\textit{vib}\leftarrow\textit{rig}}\right)$ & $\left(\mathcal{B}_{\textit{rig}} \mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\eta}\mathcal{A}_{\textit{vib}\leftarrow\eta}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{\textit{vib}}\right)$
- In general depend on flight conditions
 - Directly affect loads on vehicle's structure, especially dynamic pressure

Residualization

- Process called residualization of vibration degrees-of-freedom into new matrices of static-elastic stability and control derivatives/coefficients
 - Residualized static-elastic derivatives: elements of $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\textit{rig}} \mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\eta}\mathcal{A}_{\textit{vib}\leftarrow\eta}^{-1}\mathcal{A}_{\textit{vib}\leftarrow\textit{rig}}\right)$ & $\left(\mathcal{B}_{\textit{rig}} \mathcal{A}_{\textit{rig}\leftarrow\eta}\mathcal{A}_{\textit{vib}\leftarrow\eta}^{-1}\mathcal{B}_{\textit{vib}}\right)$
- In general depend on flight conditions
 - · Directly affect loads on vehicle's structure, especially dynamic pressure
- If aerodynamic forces and moments not truly linear → numerical techniques to find static-elastic modal coordinates

Rigid Body Modeling

 Linearized EOMs for elastic airplanes typically use fuselage body frame (subscript F) instead of stability body frame (subscript S) for developing vibration and dynamic-elastic coefficients

Rigid Body Modeling

- Linearized EOMs for elastic airplanes typically use fuselage body frame (subscript F) instead of stability body frame (subscript S) for developing vibration and dynamic-elastic coefficients
- Linearized rigid airplane dynamics in stability frame → transform perturbed rigid body aerodynamic and propulsive forces and moments

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta X \\ \Delta Y \\ \Delta Z \end{bmatrix}_{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \bar{\alpha} & 0 & -\sin \bar{\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \bar{\alpha} & 0 & \cos \bar{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X \\ \Delta Y \\ \Delta Z \end{bmatrix}_{S}$$
(9)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta L \\ \Delta M \\ \Delta N \end{bmatrix}_{E} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \bar{\alpha} & 0 & -\sin \bar{\alpha} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \bar{\alpha} & 0 & \cos \bar{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta L \\ \Delta M \\ \Delta N \end{bmatrix}_{S}$$
(10)

F Frame Linearized EOMs

• Note: $\bar{\alpha}$ may not equal 0

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta X \\
\Delta Y \\
\Delta Z
\end{bmatrix} + g \begin{bmatrix}
-\cos\bar{\theta} & 0 \\
-\sin\bar{\theta}\sin\bar{\phi} & \cos\bar{\theta}\cos\bar{\phi} \\
\sin\bar{\theta}\cos\bar{\phi} & \cos\bar{\theta}\sin\bar{\phi}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\theta \\
\phi
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \dot{u} \\
\Delta \dot{v} \\
\Delta \dot{w}
\end{bmatrix} \\
+ \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\bar{r} & \bar{q} \\
\bar{r} & 0 & -\bar{p} \\
-\bar{q} & \bar{p} & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta u \\
\Delta v \\
\Delta w
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \bar{w} & -\bar{v} \\
-\bar{w} & 0 & \bar{u} \\
\bar{v} & -\bar{u} & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta p \\
\Delta q \\
\Delta r
\end{bmatrix} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta L \\
\Delta M \\
\Delta N
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & -\frac{l_{xz}}{l_{xx}} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-\frac{l_{xz}}{l_{zz}} & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \dot{p} \\
\Delta \dot{q} \\
\Delta \dot{r}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{l_{xz}}{l_{xx}} \bar{q} & -\frac{l_{xz}}{l_{xx}} \bar{p} + \frac{l_{zz} - l_{yy}}{l_{xx}} \bar{r} & \frac{l_{zz} - l_{yy}}{l_{xx}} \bar{q} \\
\frac{l_{xx} - l_{zz}}{l_{yy}} \bar{r} + 2\frac{l_{xz}}{l_{yy}} \bar{p} & 1 & \frac{l_{xx} - l_{zz}}{l_{yy}} \bar{p} - 2\frac{l_{xz}}{l_{yy}} \bar{r} \\
\frac{l_{yy} - l_{xx}}{l_{zz}} \bar{q} & \frac{l_{xz}}{l_{zz}} \bar{r} + \frac{l_{yy} - l_{xx}}{l_{zz}} \bar{p} & \frac{l_{xz}}{l_{zz}} \bar{q}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta p \\
\Delta q \\
\Delta r
\end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta X \\
\Delta Z \\
\Delta M
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & X_{\dot{\alpha}} & 0 \\
0 & Z_{\dot{\alpha}} & 0 \\
0 & M_{\dot{\alpha}} & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \dot{u} \\
\Delta \dot{\alpha} \\
\Delta \dot{q}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
X_{u} & X_{\alpha} & X_{q} \\
Z_{u} & Z_{\alpha} & Z_{q} \\
M_{u} & M_{\alpha} & M_{q}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta u \\
\Delta \alpha \\
\Delta q
\end{bmatrix} \\
+ \begin{bmatrix}
X_{\delta_{e}} & X_{\delta_{t}} \\
Z_{\delta_{e}} & Z_{\delta_{t}} \\
M_{\delta_{e}} & M_{\delta_{t}}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \delta_{e} \\
\Delta \delta_{t}
\end{bmatrix} \\
+ \begin{bmatrix}
X_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & X_{\eta_{n}} \\
Z_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & Z_{\eta_{n}} \\
M_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & M_{\eta_{n}}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \dot{\eta}_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\Delta \dot{\eta}_{n}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
X_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & X_{\eta_{n}} \\
Z_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & Z_{\eta_{n}} \\
M_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & M_{\eta_{n}}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \eta_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\Delta \eta_{n}
\end{bmatrix}$$
(13)

Structural-Mode Control

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta Y \\ \Delta L \\ \Delta N \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_{\beta} & Y_{\rho} & Y_{r} \\ L_{\beta} & L_{\rho} & L_{r} \\ N_{\beta} & N_{\rho} & N_{r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \beta \\ \Delta \rho \\ \Delta r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Y_{\delta_{a}} & Y_{\delta_{r}} \\ L_{\delta_{a}} & L_{\delta_{r}} \\ N_{\delta_{a}} & N_{\delta_{r}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \delta_{a} \\ \Delta \delta_{r} \end{bmatrix} \\
+ \begin{bmatrix} Y_{\dot{\eta}_{1}} & \cdots & Y_{\dot{\eta}_{n}} \\ L_{\dot{\eta}_{1}} & \cdots & L_{\dot{\eta}_{n}} \\ N_{\dot{\eta}_{1}} & \cdots & N_{\dot{\eta}_{n}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \dot{\eta}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta \dot{\eta}_{n} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} X_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & X_{\eta_{n}} \\ Z_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & Z_{\eta_{n}} \\ M_{\eta_{1}} & \cdots & M_{\eta_{n}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \eta_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta \eta_{n} \end{bmatrix} \tag{14}$$

Angle of attack and sideslip angle substitutions:

$$\Delta w = \bar{u} \Delta \alpha \tag{15}$$

$$\Delta v = \bar{v}_{\infty} \Delta \beta \tag{16}$$

- No-wind assumption
- Small angle approximation

Angle of attack and sideslip angle substitutions:

$$\Delta w = \bar{u} \Delta \alpha \tag{15}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{v} = \bar{\mathbf{v}}_{\infty} \Delta \beta \tag{16}$$

- No-wind assumption
- Small angle approximation
- Furthermore, if $\bar{\beta}=\bar{\phi}=\bar{p}=\bar{q}=\bar{r}=0$, then one can decoupled the dynamics into the longitudinal and lateral-directional.

Already linearly modeled (simply):

$$\Delta \ddot{\eta}_i + 2\zeta_i \omega_i \Delta \dot{\eta}_i + \omega_i^2 \Delta \eta_i = \frac{\Delta Q_i}{\mathcal{M}_i}, \quad i = 1, ..., n$$
(17)

Generalized Forces

$$\Delta Q_i = egin{bmatrix} Q_{i_u} & Q_{i_{eta}} & Q_{i_{lpha}} & Q_{i_{eta}} & Q_{i_{eta}} & Q_{i_{eta}} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} \Delta U \ \Delta eta \ \Delta lpha \ \Delta p \ \Delta q \ \Delta r \end{bmatrix}$$

$$egin{align*} &+ \left[oldsymbol{Q}_{i_{\delta_{a}}} \quad oldsymbol{Q}_{i_{\delta_{e}}} \quad oldsymbol{Q}_{i_{\delta_{r}}} \quad oldsymbol{Q}_{i_{\delta_{t}}}
ight] egin{pmatrix} \Delta \delta_{a} \ \Delta \delta_{e} \ \Delta \delta_{r} \ \Delta \delta_{r} \ \Delta \delta_{t} \ \end{pmatrix} \ &+ \left[oldsymbol{Q}_{i_{\hat{\eta}_{1}}} \quad \cdots \quad oldsymbol{Q}_{i_{\hat{\eta}_{n}}}
ight] egin{pmatrix} \Delta \dot{\eta}_{1} \ dots \ \Delta \dot{\eta}_{n} \ \end{pmatrix} + \left[oldsymbol{Q}_{i_{\eta_{1}}} \quad \cdots \quad oldsymbol{Q}_{i_{\eta_{n}}}
ight] egin{pmatrix} \Delta \eta_{1} \ dots \ \Delta \dot{\eta}_{n} \ \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\left[egin{array}{c} \Delta\eta_1 \ dots \ \Delta\eta_n \end{array}
ight]$$

(18)

Decoupled Longitudinal EOMs Example

Straight-and-level flight provides:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \dot{u} \\
\Delta \dot{\alpha} \\
\Delta \dot{q} \\
\Delta \dot{\theta}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
X_{u} & X_{\alpha} & X_{q} & -g \\
\frac{Z_{u}}{\bar{u}} & \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{\bar{u}} & 1 + \frac{Z_{q}}{\bar{u}} & 0 \\
M_{u} & M_{\alpha} & M_{q} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta u \\
\Delta \alpha \\
\Delta q \\
\Delta \theta
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
X_{\delta_{e}} & X_{\delta_{t}} \\
\frac{Z_{\delta_{e}}}{\bar{u}} & \frac{Z_{\delta_{t}}}{\bar{u}} \\
M_{\delta_{e}} & M_{\delta_{t}} \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \delta_{e} \\
\Delta \delta_{t}
\end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

Decoupled Longitudinal EOMs Example

Straight-and-level flight provides :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \dot{u} \\ \Delta \dot{\alpha} \\ \Delta \dot{q} \\ \Delta \dot{\theta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{u} & X_{\alpha} & X_{q} & -g \\ \frac{Z_{u}}{\bar{u}} & \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{\bar{u}} & 1 + \frac{Z_{q}}{\bar{u}} & 0 \\ M_{u} & M_{\alpha} & M_{q} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta u \\ \Delta \alpha \\ \Delta q \\ \Delta \theta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} X_{\delta_{e}} & X_{\delta_{t}} \\ \frac{Z_{\delta_{e}}}{\bar{u}} & \frac{Z_{\delta_{t}}}{\bar{u}} \\ M_{\delta_{e}} & M_{\delta_{t}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \delta_{e} \\ \Delta \delta_{t} \end{bmatrix}$$
(19)

Models portion of Equation ??:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \overrightarrow{X}_{rig} \\
\Delta \overrightarrow{X}_{eul}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{rig \leftarrow rig} & A_{rig \leftarrow eul} \\
A_{eul \leftarrow rig} & A_{eul \leftarrow eul}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\Delta \overrightarrow{X}_{rig} \\
\Delta \overrightarrow{X}_{eul}
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
B_{rig} \\
0
\end{bmatrix} \Delta \overrightarrow{u}$$
(20)

• $\Delta \alpha$ has been used in place of Δw

Decoupled Longitudinal EOMs Example (continued)

Form other matrices:

$$A_{rig \leftarrow vib} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{\eta_1} & \cdots & X_{\eta_n} & X_{\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & X_{\dot{\eta}_n} \\ \frac{Z_{\eta_1}}{\bar{u}} & \cdots & \frac{Z_{\eta_n}}{\bar{u}} & \frac{Z_{\dot{\eta}_1}}{\bar{u}} & \cdots & \frac{Z_{\dot{\eta}_n}}{\bar{u}} \\ M_{\eta_1} & \cdots & M_{\eta_n} & M_{\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & M_{\dot{\eta}_n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

Form other matrices:

$$A_{rig \leftarrow vib} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{\eta_1} & \cdots & X_{\eta_n} & X_{\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & X_{\dot{\eta}_n} \\ \frac{Z_{\eta_1}}{\bar{u}} & \cdots & \frac{Z_{\eta_n}}{\bar{u}} & \frac{Z_{\dot{\eta}_1}}{\bar{u}} & \cdots & \frac{Z_{\dot{\eta}_n}}{\bar{u}} \\ M_{\eta_1} & \cdots & M_{\eta_n} & M_{\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & M_{\dot{\eta}_n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

Define (aero)elastic stability and control derivative for state or input •:

$$\Xi_{i_{\bullet}} = \frac{Q_{i_{\bullet}}}{\mathcal{M}_{i}} \tag{22}$$

Decoupled Longitudinal EOMs Example (continued)

Vibration state and input sub-matrices:

$$A_{vib \leftarrow rig} = \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{1u} & \Xi_{1\alpha} & \Xi_{1q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \Xi_{nu} & \Xi_{nu} & \Xi_{nu} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (23)

$$A_{vib\leftarrow\eta} = \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{1_{\eta_1}} & \cdots & \Xi_{1_{\eta_n}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Xi_n & \cdots & \Xi_n \end{bmatrix} - \Omega^2$$
 (24)

$$A_{vib\leftarrow\dot{\eta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{1\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & \Xi_{1\dot{\eta}_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Xi_{n\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & \Xi_{n\dot{\eta}_n} \end{bmatrix} - 2\Omega_{\zeta}$$
 (25)

$$B_{vib} = \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{1_{\delta_e}} & \Xi_{1_{\delta_t}} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Xi_{n_{\delta_e}} & \Xi_{n_{\delta_t}} \end{bmatrix}$$
(26)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \dot{u} \\ \Delta \dot{\alpha} \\ \Delta \dot{q} \\ \Delta \dot{\theta} \\ \Delta \dot{\eta}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta \dot{\eta}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X_u & X_\alpha & X_q & -g & X_{\eta_1} & \cdots & X_{\eta_n} & X_{\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & X_{\dot{\eta}_n} \\ Z_u & Z_\alpha & 1 + Z_q & 0 & Z_{\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & Z_{\dot{\eta}_n} & Z_{\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & Z_{\dot{\eta}_n} \\ W_u & M_\alpha & M_q & 0 & M_{\eta_1} & \cdots & M_{\eta_n} & M_{\dot{\eta}_1} & \cdots & M_{\dot{\eta}_n} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Delta \dot{\eta}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \begin{bmatrix} X_{\delta_{\theta}} & X_{\delta_{t}} \\ \frac{Z_{\delta_{\theta}}}{M_{\delta_{\theta}}} & \frac{Z_{\delta_{t}}}{M_{\delta_{t}}} \\ \overrightarrow{0}_{n+1} & \overrightarrow{0}_{n+1} \\ \Xi_{1}_{\delta_{\theta}} & \Xi_{1}_{\delta_{t}} \\ \vdots \\ \Xi_{n\delta_{\theta}} & \Xi_{n\delta_{t}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \delta_{\theta} \\ \Delta \delta_{t} \end{bmatrix}$$

(27)

Structural-Mode Control

- Structural-mode control (SMC): additional stages in flight control law to account for effects of vibrations or structural-modes at frequencies close to rigid-body dynamics that cannot simply be removed using low-pass filtering
 - E.g., if modal frequencies are within a factor of ten of the rigid-body modes

Structural-Mode Control

- Structural-mode control (SMC): additional stages in flight control law to account for effects of vibrations or structural-modes at frequencies close to rigid-body dynamics that cannot simply be removed using low-pass filtering
 - E.g., if modal frequencies are within a factor of ten of the rigid-body modes
- Important aspect of feedback control: placement of inertial sensors, i.e., accelerometers and gyroscopes,
 - Proper locations along structure that provide mode-displacement or mode-slope measurements, respectively, to feedback control system

Structural-Mode Control

- Structural-mode control (SMC): additional stages in flight control law to account for effects of vibrations or structural-modes at frequencies close to rigid-body dynamics that cannot simply be removed using low-pass filtering
 - E.g., if modal frequencies are within a factor of ten of the rigid-body modes
- Important aspect of feedback control: placement of inertial sensors, i.e., accelerometers and gyroscopes,
 - Proper locations along structure that provide mode-displacement or mode-slope measurements, respectively, to feedback control system
- With sensor information, one can implement
 - Passive structural-mode control: simply filter measurements
 - Active structural-mode control: utilize specialized actuators with sensors

Passive SMC

 Passive SMC: uses additional mechanical or physical devices to increase effectiveness of structural modes to reject disturbances

Passive SMC

- Passive SMC: uses additional mechanical or physical devices to increase effectiveness of structural modes to reject disturbances
- Typically used in conjunction with structural-mode filtering
 - Similar fashion to low-pass filtering of high-frequency structural-modes
 - Use targeted band-pass filter or notch filter to target band at which structural-mode strongly excited by actuators

Passive SMC

- Passive SMC: uses additional mechanical or physical devices to increase effectiveness of structural modes to reject disturbances
- Typically used in conjunction with structural-mode filtering
 - Similar fashion to low-pass filtering of high-frequency structural-modes
 - Use targeted band-pass filter or notch filter to target band at which structural-mode strongly excited by actuators
- Provides method to mitigate structural-mode effects from disturbances and control inputs

- Direct way to mitigate structural-mode effects: active SMC: utilizes co-located actuators and sensors at proper locations on structure:
 - Measure modal acceleration and/or mode-slope rate via accelerometer and/or rate gyroscope
 - Use feedback to regulate structural-mode excitation across frequency band of structural mode

- Direct way to mitigate structural-mode effects: active SMC: utilizes co-located actuators and sensors at proper locations on structure:
 - Measure modal acceleration and/or mode-slope rate via accelerometer and/or rate gyroscope
 - Use feedback to regulate structural-mode excitation across frequency band of structural mode
- Design approach utilizes dampening effect from force or moment applied to object in proportion to and in opposite direction from linear or angular velocity of object

- Direct way to mitigate structural-mode effects: active SMC: utilizes co-located actuators and sensors at proper locations on structure:
 - Measure modal acceleration and/or mode-slope rate via accelerometer and/or rate gyroscope
 - Use feedback to regulate structural-mode excitation across frequency band of structural mode
- Design approach utilizes dampening effect from force or moment applied to object in proportion to and in opposite direction from linear or angular velocity of object
- Importantly, if force/moment and measured velocity at same location on flexible structure then proper phasing will always be present
 - I.e., feedback will occur at the "right time," not causing the elastic system to become unstable

- Direct way to mitigate structural-mode effects: active SMC: utilizes co-located actuators and sensors at proper locations on structure:
 - Measure modal acceleration and/or mode-slope rate via accelerometer and/or rate gyroscope
 - Use feedback to regulate structural-mode excitation across frequency band of structural mode
- Design approach utilizes dampening effect from force or moment applied to object in proportion to and in opposite direction from linear or angular velocity of object
- Importantly, if force/moment and measured velocity at same location on flexible structure then proper phasing will always be present
 - I.e., feedback will occur at the "right time," not causing the elastic system to become unstable
- To avoid exciting rigid-body modes, typically use combination of low-pass and high-pass filters to target structural modes

Nutation Control

- Recall: spin-stabilized spacecraft rotating about
 - Major axis of inertia: marginally stable
 - Minor axis: unstable

Nutation Control

- Recall: spin-stabilized spacecraft rotating about
 - Major axis of inertia: marginally stable
 - Minor axis: unstable
- Stability achieved through use of thruster-based active nutation control (TB-ANC)
 - Implement band-pass filter centered on nutation frequency, λ_n
 - Extract that component from measured angular velocity components for feedback with one or both of transverse axes, e.g., x_B and/or y_B with z_B as spin axis

- Recall: spin-stabilized spacecraft rotating about
 - Major axis of inertia: marginally stable
 - Minor axis: unstable
- Stability achieved through use of thruster-based active nutation control (TB-ANC)
 - Implement band-pass filter centered on nutation frequency, λ_n
 - Extract that component from measured angular velocity components for feedback with one or both of transverse axes, e.g., x_B and/or y_B with z_B as spin axis
- Control law:

$$T_{x,c} = -F_c \Delta \text{sign}(\lambda_{n,x})$$

 $T_{y,c} = -F_c \Delta \text{sign}(\lambda_{n,y})$ (28)

Nutation Control

- Recall: spin-stabilized spacecraft rotating about
 - Major axis of inertia: marginally stable
 - Minor axis: unstable
- Stability achieved through use of thruster-based active nutation control (TB-ANC)
 - Implement band-pass filter centered on nutation frequency, λ_n
 - Extract that component from measured angular velocity components for feedback with one or both of transverse axes, e.g., x_B and/or y_B with z_B as spin axis
- Control law:

$$T_{x,c} = -F_c \Delta \text{sign}(\lambda_{n,x})$$

 $T_{y,c} = -F_c \Delta \text{sign}(\lambda_{n,y})$ (28)

 Time delays and dead zones in system hardware typically vital to final design of TB-ANC systems

Nutation Frequency

 To determine nutation frequency for particular disturbing moment: assume axisymmetric spacecraft rotating about z_B -axis with some disturbing moment, M_d , acting only about x_B -axis

Nutation Frequency

- To determine nutation frequency for particular disturbing moment: assume axisymmetric spacecraft rotating about z_{B} -axis with some disturbing moment, M_{d} , acting only about x_R -axis
- Linearized Euler equations:

$$H_{X}(t) = I_{XX}p(t) = \frac{M_{d}}{\bar{r}\left(\frac{I_{ZZ}}{I_{XX}} - 1\right)}\sin(\lambda_{n}t)$$

$$H_{Y}(t) = I_{YY}q(t) = I_{XX}\omega_{X}(t) = \frac{M_{d}}{\bar{r}\left(\frac{I_{ZZ}}{I_{XX}} - 1\right)}(1 - \cos(\lambda_{n}t))$$
(29)

 $\bar{r} = constant$

Nutation frequency:

$$\lambda_n = \bar{r} \frac{(I_{zz} - I_{xx})}{I_{xx}} = \bar{r} \left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{xx}} - 1 \right)$$
 (30)

 $H_{\perp}(t) = \sqrt{H_{x}^{2} + H_{y}^{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}M_{d}}{\overline{r}\left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{vv}} - 1\right)}\sqrt{1 - \cos\left(\lambda_{n}t\right)} = \frac{2M_{d}}{\overline{r}\left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{xx}} - 1\right)}\left|\sin\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}t}{2}\right)\right|$

• Total momentum in
$$x_B$$
- y_B plane perpendicular to z_B :

Nutation Magnitude

Total momentum in x_B - y_B plane perpendicular to z_B :

$$H_{\perp}(t) = \sqrt{H_x^2 + H_y^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}M_d}{\bar{r}\left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{xx}} - 1\right)}\sqrt{1 - \cos\left(\lambda_n t\right)} = \frac{2M_d}{\bar{r}\left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{xx}} - 1\right)}\left|\sin\left(\frac{\lambda_n t}{2}\right)\right| \tag{31}$$

Recall relationship between nutation angle and perpendicular angular momentum:

$$\tan \theta_n = \frac{H_{\perp}}{H_z} = \frac{2M_d}{I_{zz}\bar{r}^2 \left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{xx}} - 1\right)} \left| \sin \left(\frac{\lambda_n t}{2}\right) \right| \tag{32}$$

Nutation Magnitude

• Total momentum in x_B - y_B plane perpendicular to z_B :

$$H_{\perp}(t) = \sqrt{H_x^2 + H_y^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}M_d}{\bar{r}\left(\frac{l_{zz}}{l_{xx}} - 1\right)}\sqrt{1 - \cos\left(\lambda_n t\right)} = \frac{2M_d}{\bar{r}\left(\frac{l_{zz}}{l_{xx}} - 1\right)}\left|\sin\left(\frac{\lambda_n t}{2}\right)\right| \tag{31}$$

Recall relationship between nutation angle and perpendicular angular momentum:

$$\tan \theta_n = \frac{H_{\perp}}{H_z} = \frac{2M_d}{I_{zz}\bar{r}^2 \left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{xx}} - 1\right)} \left| \sin \left(\frac{\lambda_n t}{2}\right) \right| \tag{32}$$

For small nutation angles:

$$\theta_n \approx \frac{\sqrt{2}M_d}{I_{zz}\bar{r}^2\left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{zz}} - 1\right)} \left| \sin\left(\frac{\lambda_n t}{2}\right) \right| \tag{33}$$

Nutation Magnitude

• Total momentum in x_B - y_B plane perpendicular to z_B :

$$H_{\perp}(t) = \sqrt{H_{\mathsf{X}}^2 + H_{\mathsf{Y}}^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}M_d}{\bar{r}\left(\frac{I_{\mathsf{ZZ}}}{I_{\mathsf{XX}}} - 1\right)} \sqrt{1 - \cos\left(\lambda_n t\right)} = \frac{2M_d}{\bar{r}\left(\frac{I_{\mathsf{ZZ}}}{I_{\mathsf{XX}}} - 1\right)} \left| \sin\left(\frac{\lambda_n t}{2}\right) \right| \tag{3}$$

Recall relationship between nutation angle and perpendicular angular momentum:

$$\tan \theta_n = \frac{H_{\perp}}{H_z} = \frac{2M_d}{I_{zz}\bar{I}^2\left(\frac{I_{zz}}{I_{xx}} - 1\right)} \left| \sin\left(\frac{\lambda_n t}{2}\right) \right| \tag{32}$$

For small nutation angles:

$$\theta_n pprox rac{\sqrt{2}M_d}{I_{zz}ar{r}^2\left(rac{I_{zz}}{I_{zz}}-1
ight)}\left|\sin\left(rac{\lambda_n t}{2}
ight)\right|$$
 (33)

Magnitude of nutation inversely proportional to \bar{r}^2 which costs fuel to increase for TB-ANC systems

- Alternatively to expelling mass using thrusters: use gyro
 - I.e. axisymmetric rotational device to affect total angular momentum of vehicle

- Alternatively to expelling mass using thrusters: use gyro
 - I.e. axisymmetric rotational device to affect total angular momentum of vehicle
- Gyro fundamentally contains flywheel, a.k.a. inertia wheel
 - Used to store or transfer angular momentum to affect vehicle's total angular momentum

- Alternatively to expelling mass using thrusters: use gyro
 - I.e. axisymmetric rotational device to affect total angular momentum of vehicle
- Gyro fundamentally contains flywheel, a.k.a. inertia wheel
 - Used to store or transfer angular momentum to affect vehicle's total angular momentum
- Momentum wheels: flywheels designed to operate with some nonzero momentum

- Alternatively to expelling mass using thrusters: use gyro
 - I.e. axisymmetric rotational device to affect total angular momentum of vehicle
- Gyro fundamentally contains flywheel, a.k.a. inertia wheel
 - Used to store or transfer angular momentum to affect vehicle's total angular momentum
- Momentum wheels: flywheels designed to operate with some nonzero momentum
- Reaction wheels: flywheels designed to operate with zero momentum

- Alternatively to expelling mass using thrusters: use gyro
 - I.e. axisymmetric rotational device to affect total angular momentum of vehicle
- Gyro fundamentally contains flywheel, a.k.a. inertia wheel
 - Used to store or transfer angular momentum to affect vehicle's total angular momentum
- Momentum wheels: flywheels designed to operate with some nonzero momentum
- **Reaction wheels**: flywheels designed to operate with zero momentum
- Flywheels typically connected rigidly to satellite, but wheels driven by electric motors
 - Rotate more or less independently, depending on type of gyro

Wheel-Based Nutation Control

• Wheel-based nutation control systems utilize single reaction wheel with some moment inertia, I_w , and angular velocity, ω_w , to add to total angular momentum of spacecraft and wheel system:

$$\vec{H}_G = I_G \vec{\omega}_{B/I} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx} p \\ (I_{yy} + I_w)q + I_w \omega_w \\ I_{zz} r \end{bmatrix}$$
(34)

Wheel-based nutation control systems utilize single reaction wheel with some moment inertia. I_{w} , and angular velocity, ω_{w} , to add to total angular momentum of spacecraft and wheel system:

$$\vec{H}_G = I_G \vec{\omega}_{B/I} = \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx} p \\ (I_{yy} + I_w) q + I_w \omega_w \\ I_{zz} r \end{bmatrix}$$
(34)

• Assuming $I_{xx} \gg I_{w}$, $I_{xx} = I_{vv}$:

$$\vec{H}_G \approx \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx}p \\ I_{xx}q + I_w\omega_w \\ I_{zz}r \end{bmatrix}$$
 (35)

Using Euler's equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{d}{dt} \vec{H}_G = \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx} \dot{p} + (I_{zz} - I_{xx})qr - I_w r \omega_w \\ I_{xx} \dot{q} + I_w \dot{\omega}_w + (I_{xx} - I_{zz})pr \\ I_{zz} \dot{r} + I_w p \omega_w \end{bmatrix}$$
(36)

Using Euler's equation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{d}{dt} \vec{H}_G = \begin{bmatrix} I_{xx} \dot{p} + (I_{zz} - I_{xx})qr - I_w r \omega_w \\ I_{xx} \dot{q} + I_w \dot{\omega}_w + (I_{xx} - I_{zz})pr \\ I_{zz} \dot{r} + I_w p \omega_w \end{bmatrix}$$
(36)

• Assuming $I_{zz} \gg I_w$ and using nutation frequency, λ_n , and inertia ratio, $\epsilon_w = I_w/I_{xx}$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{p} + \lambda_n q - \epsilon_w \bar{r} \omega_w \\ \dot{q} + \epsilon_w \dot{\omega}_w - \lambda_n p \\ \dot{r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (37)

• $\dot{r} = 0$ infers constant $r = \bar{r}$

 Wheel-based passive nutation control (WB-PNC) systems use damper wheel immersed in viscous fluid

- Wheel-based passive nutation control (WB-PNC) systems use damper wheel immersed in viscous fluid
- No external moment on reaction wheel and momentum:

$$M_{w} = 0 = I_{w}(\dot{q} + \dot{\omega}_{w}) + C_{d}\omega_{w} = 0$$
(38)

• $C_d < 0$: damping coefficient of liquid

- Wheel-based passive nutation control (WB-PNC) systems use damper wheel immersed in viscous fluid
- No external moment on reaction wheel and momentum:

$$M_{w} = 0 = I_{w}(\dot{q} + \dot{\omega}_{w}) + C_{d}\omega_{w} = 0$$
(38)

- $C_d < 0$: damping coefficient of liquid
- p and q dynamics:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{p} + \lambda_n q - \epsilon_w \bar{r}\omega_w \\
\dot{q} + \epsilon_w \dot{\omega}_w - \lambda_n p \\
\dot{q} + \dot{\omega}_w + \frac{C_d}{U}\omega_w
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(39)

In Laplace domain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & \lambda_n & -\epsilon_w \bar{r} \\ s & -\lambda_n & \epsilon_w s \\ 0 & s & s + \frac{C_d}{I_w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ \omega_w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(40)

In Laplace domain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & \lambda_n & -\epsilon_w \bar{r} \\ s & -\lambda_n & \epsilon_w s \\ 0 & s & s + \frac{C_d}{I_w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ \omega_w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (40)

Characteristic equation given by determinant of right matrix:

$$(1 - \epsilon_w)s^3 + \frac{C_d}{I_w}s^2 + (\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_n\epsilon_w\bar{r})s + \frac{C_d}{I_w}\lambda_n^2 = 0$$
(41)

(40)

Wheel-Based Passive Nutation Control (continued)

• In Laplace domain:

$$egin{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} m{s} & \lambda_{m{n}} & -\epsilon_{m{w}}ar{m{r}} \ m{s} & -\lambda_{m{n}} & \epsilon_{m{w}}m{s} \ 0 & m{s} & m{s} + rac{C_d}{T_d} \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} m{p} \ m{q} \ \omega_{m{w}} \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} m{0} \ m{0} \ m{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

Characteristic equation given by determinant of right matrix:

$$(1 - \epsilon_w)s^3 + \frac{C_d}{I_w}s^2 + (\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_n\epsilon_w\bar{r})s + \frac{C_d}{I_w}\lambda_n^2 = 0$$
(41)

• Rearranged:

$$1 + \frac{C_D}{I_w(1 - \epsilon_w)} \frac{s^2 + \lambda_n^2}{s(s^2 + \lambda_1^2)}$$
 (42)

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_{n_1} / 1 + \frac{I_{zz}I_w}{(I_{zz}I_w)(I_{zz}I_w)} \tag{43}$$

$$I_{xx} > Izz \rightarrow \lambda_n > \lambda_1$$

$$I_{xx} < Izz \rightarrow \lambda_n < \lambda_1$$
(44)

Analysis of roots of characteristic equation, spinning satellite with WB-PNC system nutationally stable if and only if $I_{xx} < I_{zz}$, i.e., spin axis must be major axis

 Wheel-based active nutation control (WB-ANC) systems use damper wheel driven by low inductance BLDC motor

- Wheel-based active nutation control (WB-ANC) systems use damper wheel driven by low inductance BLDC motor
- No external moment on wheel, equation for total angular velocity of wheel:

$$\dot{\omega}_w + \dot{q} = \frac{k_m}{R_m I_w} v_m - \frac{k_m k_{emf}}{R_m I_w} \omega_w \tag{45}$$

- v_w: input voltage to wheel
- R_m : armature resistance
- I_w: wheel's moment of inertia
- k_{emf} : electromotive force (EMF) constant
- k_m : armature constant

- Wheel-based active nutation control (WB-ANC) systems use damper wheel driven by low inductance BLDC motor
- No external moment on wheel, equation for total angular velocity of wheel:

$$\dot{\omega}_w + \dot{q} = \frac{k_m}{R_m I_w} v_m - \frac{k_m k_{emf}}{R_m I_w} \omega_w \tag{45}$$

- v_w: input voltage to wheel
- R_m: armature resistance
- I_w: wheel's moment of inertia
- k_{emf} : electromotive force (EMF) constant
- k_m : armature constant
- By substitution for $\dot{\omega}_{w}$, p and q dynamics:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{p} + \lambda_n q - \epsilon_w \bar{r} \omega_w \\ \dot{q} + \epsilon_w \dot{\omega}_w - \lambda_n p \\ \dot{\omega}_m + \dot{q} + \frac{k_m k_{omf}}{R} \omega_w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{k_m}{R} \end{bmatrix} v_m$$
(46)

Laplace domain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & \lambda_n & -\epsilon_w \overline{r} \\ s & -\lambda_n & \epsilon_w s \\ 0 & s & s + \frac{k_m k_{emt}}{R_m l_w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ \omega_w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{k_m}{R_m l_w} \end{bmatrix} v_m$$
(47)

• Laplace domain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & \lambda_n & -\epsilon_w \overline{r} \\ s & -\lambda_n & \epsilon_w s \\ 0 & s & s + \frac{k_m k_{emf}}{R_m l_w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ \omega_w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{k_m}{R_m l_w} \end{bmatrix} v_m$$
(47)

• Simple proportional controller for voltage as function of one of spacecraft's perpendicular angular velocity components, e.g., p:

$$v_m = K_p p \tag{48}$$

Laplace domain:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s & \lambda_n & -\epsilon_w \overline{r} \\ s & -\lambda_n & \epsilon_w s \\ 0 & s & s + \frac{k_m k_{emf}}{R_m l_w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \\ \omega_w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{k_m}{R_m l_w} \end{bmatrix} v_m$$
 (47)

Simple proportional controller for voltage as function of one of spacecraft's perpendicular angular velocity components, e.g., p:

$$v_m = K_p p \tag{48}$$

 From analysis of roots of closed-loop system, spinning satellite with WB-ANC system nutationally stable for both $I_{xx} < I_{zz}$ and $I_{xx} > I_{zz}$, i.e., spin axis can be major or minor axis

- Static-Elastic Airplane Dynamics
 - Use $\bar{\eta}$ for $\dot{\eta}_i = \ddot{\eta}_i = 0$
 - Residualization of vibration into new stability and control derivatives

- Static-Elastic Airplane Dynamics
 - Use $\bar{\eta}$ for $\dot{\eta}_i = \ddot{\eta}_i = 0$
 - Residualization of vibration into new stability and control derivatives
- Linearized elastic airplane dynamics
 - Aeroelastic derivatives already linear model
 - Vibration dynamics already linear

- Static-Elastic Airplane Dynamics
 - Use $\bar{\eta}$ for $\dot{\eta}_i = \ddot{\eta}_i = 0$
 - Residualization of vibration into new stability and control derivatives
- Linearized elastic airplane dynamics
 - Aeroelastic derivatives already linear model
 - Vibration dynamics already linear
- Structural-Mode Control
 - Passive vs. Active
 - Nutation dampers

- Static-Elastic Airplane Dynamics
 - Use $\bar{\eta}$ for $\dot{\eta}_i = \ddot{\eta}_i = 0$
 - Residualization of vibration into new stability and control derivatives
- Linearized elastic airplane dynamics
 - Aeroelastic derivatives already linear model
 - Vibration dynamics already linear
- Structural-Mode Control
 - Passive vs. Active
 - Nutation dampers
- Nutation Control
 - Thruster-Based Active Nutation Control (TB-ANC)
 - Wheel-Based Passive Nutation Control (WB-PNC)
 - Wheel-Based Active Nutation Control (WB-ANC)