

## **Drought experiment protocol – vers. 3.1**

**The Bromeliad Working Group.**

### **PURPOSE**

**At each field site:**

- (1) To establish the relationship between precipitation features (total amount and temporal aggregation) and bromeliad community structure and functioning. Are there thresholds? Do different components of the food web respond differently? Do different functions respond differently?**
- (2) To compare the limits of bromeliad resilience (in both community structure and function) to altered precipitation features to (a) current inter-annual variability in these features and (b) future, projected inter-annual variability in these features. Will climate change going increase the frequency (probability) of exceeding resilience limits?**

**Between field sites:**

- (3) To determine whether there is broad congruence in the relationships between precipitation features and bromeliad structure and functioning, or whether the relationships are context-dependence. If there is context-dependence, can we explain some of this dependence in terms of either biogeographical difference in the species pool or bioclimatic differences in ambient precipitation features?**
- (4) To determine whether differences between fieldsites in the probability of exceeding resilience limits due to climate change is primarily due to differences in the underlying precipitation-ecosystem functions, or regional differences in climate change scenarios.**

In addition to these aims of the combined multi-site analysis, individual researchers are encouraged to add additional treatments to answer site-specific questions. This will allow each researcher to publish a first author publication centered on their own question. for example, Ignacio Barberis plans to examine how phenotypic plasticity of the bromeliads affects responses, Diane Srivastava plans to build a model of precipitation dynamics in bromeliads over the year to determine the frequency of drying directly. Obviously it is best if we minimize overlap between researchers on these site-specific questions.

### **LOCATION AND TIME OF EXPERIMENT**

Ideally the experiment would be conducted in the same habitat in the same season in each field site. However, this is not possible. If you have the option of forested versus open sites, there is a preference for forested sites as the majority of field sites are forested. In terms of time of year, aim for when there is a high abundance of invertebrates to maximize potential impacts.

## TREATMENTS

Each bromeliad will represent a different precipitation treatment. The precipitation treatments differ in two ways: the mean amount of rainfall per day ( $\mu$ ) and the frequency of large versus small rainfall events ( $k$ , the dispersion parameter). All treatments are expressed relative to the average current  $\mu$  and  $k$ , therefore “ $\mu 0.1k 0.5$ ” means that the mean rainfall of this treatment is 1/10 of the normal rainfall, and that the rainfall is half as dispersed among days as normal.

The chart below describes the 30 precipitation treatments in terms of  $\mu$  and  $k$ , where  $\mu$  and  $k$  are to be calculated from past precipitation records as described shortly. IMPORTANT: Let us all label our treatments the same way, following this chart, to avoid later confusion. These treatment labels have been integrated into the R script described shortly.

	$\mu * 0.1$	$\mu * 0.2$	$\mu * 0.4$	$\mu * 0.6$	$\mu * 0.8$	$\mu$	$\mu * 1.5$	$\mu * 2$	$\mu * 2.5$	$\mu * 3$
$K * 0.5$	$\mu 0.1k 0.5$	$\mu 0.2k 0.5$	$\mu 0.4k 0.5$	$\mu 0.6k 0.5$	$\mu 0.8k 0.5$	$\mu 1k 0.5$	$\mu 1.5k 0.5$	$\mu 2k 0.5$	$\mu 2.5k 0.5$	$\mu 3k 0.5$
$K$	$\mu 0.1k 1$	$\mu 0.2k 1$	$\mu 0.4k 1$	$\mu 0.6k 1$	$\mu 0.8k 1$	$\mu 1k 1$	$\mu 1.5k 1$	$\mu 2k 1$	$\mu 2.5k 1$	$\mu 3k 1$
$K * 2$	$\mu 0.1k 2$	$\mu 0.2k 2$	$\mu 0.4k 2$	$\mu 0.6k 2$	$\mu 0.8k 2$	$\mu 1k 2$	$\mu 1.5k 2$	$\mu 2k 2$	$\mu 2.5k 2$	$\mu 3k 2$

## SELECTION OF BROMELIADS

Thirty bromeliads should be selected for the experiment. Aim for the most common size in your study area, so that the results are representative. We cannot attempt to standardise bromeliad size amongst study areas, given the large range in bromeliad sizes amongst field sites.

Bromeliads selected within a field site should be as similar in size as possible! Bromeliad size has effects on drying rates, water depth and species interactions, and so can confound our treatments (using bromeliad size as a statistical covariate in analysis can only partially help here given the complexity of these size related effects).

The most biologically relevant metric of bromeliad size is maximum volume (“capacity”), as it typically has the strongest correlations with invertebrate abundance and richness. Maximum volume can be roughly estimated in the field from a few physical measurements (number of leaves, longest leaf or plant diameter) using previously established allometric relationships.

*Example: In Costa Rica we will mark 70-80 bromeliads, and record number of leaves and leaf width of each plant. We will then estimate maximum volume of each plant using equations previously developed for this field site (leaf width is measured at base of leaf):*

***Guzmania spp.***  $\text{maximum volume} = \exp(-0.756521 + 0.078921 * \text{number of leaves} + 0.606163 * \text{leafwidth in cm})$

***Vriesea spp.***  $\text{maximum volume} = \exp(1.7695 + 0.034311 * \text{number of leaves} + 0.379343 * \text{leafwidth in cm})$

*We will then chose 30 bromeliads that have similar volume, with an aim for volumes > 100 ml that contain the top predator, an odonate.*

## PRECIPITATION MANIPULATION

In general terms, these are the steps:

1. Obtain daily precipitation records for your fieldsite (or nearby), for a number of recent years, for the dates that you want to run the experiment (*e.g. in Costa Rica we are running the experiment for Oct 9-Dec 7, so we obtained precipitation records for this 60 day period for the last five years. Note that the 60 days includes Oct 9 and Dec 7<sup>th</sup>. Data does not exist for earlier years*).
2. Email Andrew MacDonald ([macdonald@zoology.ubc.ca](mailto:macdonald@zoology.ubc.ca)) a csv file of the daily precipitation in mm for this 60 days period for all the years you have (one column per year). We are happy to send you the R code so you can understand exactly what it does, but requires more computer power than the average laptop – we are running it on the UBC server- this is why it makes sense to have Andrew run this script for all groups. The R code fits the negative binomial model for each year, and estimates the average of two parameters: the mean ( $\mu$ ) and the dispersion parameter ( $k$ ). Note that some texts (*e.g. Crawley*) more accurately refer to  $k$  as the clumping parameter. These two parameters describe your ambient or baseline scenario.
3. The r script uses the ambient  $\mu$  and  $k$  to first calculate all 30 experimental combinations of  $\mu$  and  $k$ . For each of these 30 combinations, the script then calculates the exact frequency of days with each precipitation level. Note that we explicitly do not use a random function to do this - we are not trying to “simulate” the distribution - because this just adds noise to the frequency estimates. Instead we have worked out how to convert a probability distribution with an infinitely long positive tail into a discrete frequency distribution.
4. Next the R script shuffles the temporal order of rainfall in the ambient treatment until we optimize the resemblance to the temporal autocorrelation structure of our real precipitation records. Specifically, we use a method developed for the short census length of populations (60 days is also “short” in the world of temporal statistics) where the SD of the response variable for a certain number of consecutive

days is plotted against the number of consecutive days (“census window”). We then minimize the deviation from actual and simulated relationships between SD and census length.

5. Finally, the R script rearranges the rainfall order in each of the 29 non-ambient treatments so that the rank order of rainfall events is the same (e.g. the largest rainfall for a treatment occurs on the same day in all treatments, as does the smallest rainfall). This minimises the potential for random variation between treatments in the order of rainfall events to lead to high noise in ecosystem response. It also ensures that the temporal order of rainfall in all treatments is as natural as possible given the constraints of the selected  $\mu$  and  $k$  parameters.

6. After receiving the schedule of rainfall from Andrew for all treatments, and once the surface area of your bromeliads is determined (see step 3 of “Field set-up”), calculate the **average** surface area of the 30 bromeliads. We will use this value to convert the depth of precipitation needed on any given day for any given precipitation scenario into volume as follows:

Volume of water to add to bromeliad = Mean surface area \* Depth required for scenario

Why are we not using the exact surface area for each bromeliad? There is enough variation in the experiment without adding further noise. Measurements of surface area have a lot of noise associated with them (e.g. the relationship between bromeliad surface area and effective catchment area is fairly inexact) and this error would be propagated into estimates of volume for each bromeliad. A better method is to minimize estimation error by taking the average of many estimates, and simply put bromeliad size in the analyses as a covariate to account for residual differences between bromeliads.

**7. Divide 30 treatments into 3 blocks of 10.** Randomly select ten treatments to start on day 1, another ten on day 2, another ten on day 3. This will allow enough time to sample invertebrates at end of experiment (10 bromeliads per day). Important: after randomly partitioning your 30 treatments into 3 blocks, check (e.g. with an ANOVA) that there is no trend for  $\mu$  or  $k$  to differ between the 3 blocks! We will use a different randomization sequence for each fieldsite. Block 1 should start its rain schedule one day early, Block 2 on time, Block 3 one day late.

*Example: The Costa Rica rain schedule was developed for Oct 9 to Dec 7. Therefore Block 1 begins its rainfall schedule on Oct 8, Block 2 on Oct 9, Block 3 on Oct 10<sup>th</sup>. Similarly Block 1 ends its rainfall schedule on Dec 6, Block 2 on Dec 7, Block 3 on Dec 8<sup>th</sup>.*

*A caution: as described shortly, there is a 3 day pre-rainfall schedule period, and a 3 day post-rainfall period, so the actual length of the experiment is a bit longer than the rainfall schedule.*

## FIELD SET-UP AND MONITORING OF EXPERIMENT

Before the start of the experiment:

1. **As much prior to the start of the experiment as possible, plant small bushes or saplings in pots, and water daily with 15N-nitrate salts.** A shrub < 1m tall should receive daily 50 ml of a labelled ammonium sulfate solution made by dissolving 5 g of Ammonium  $^{15}\text{N}_2$  sulfate 10 atom % in 1 L of water for 30 days. Of course, if your plants require more total water, add unfertilized water as needed to keep the plants happy. Choose a species (“detritus species #1”) whose leaves are similar to the tree leaves that enter bromeliads in your study area, and which you have determined will be consumed by detritivores. Net the bushes in order to catch any leaves that senesce and fall off. Obtain a small sample of each of :
  - Bush/sapling leaves (> 2g dry wt) **prior to 15N** fertilization to determine natural isotope signature in the detrital species. The detrital leaves should be dried at about 50 degrees C and kept in a dry envelope for isotopic analysis. (n=3). Humid fieldsites may need to keep dried material with packets of silica gel in an airtight bag.
  - Bush/sapling fertilized leaves **immediately prior to adding them to the experiment.** The detrital leaves should be dried and kept in a dry envelope for isotopic analysis. (n=3)
  - Select three bromeliads not used in the experiment. If you label the central 3 leaves of the bromeliad as “leaf 1”, “leaf 2”, “leaf 3”, please cut and collect leaf 2 and 3 to know background level (n=3). The leaves should be gently washed with distilled water to remove any epiphyll growth, dried with a clean paper towel, and dried at about 50 degrees C. Keep in a dry envelope for isotopic analysis.

*Example: In Costa Rica we will be fertilizing 8 1 m tall saplings of a pioneer tree Conostegia xalatensis that we know the insects eat, and that we have successfully used for 15N enrichment before. We have purchased 70 grams of labelled ammonium sulfate, which will make 14 L of solution, which will provide 35 days of fertilization. Approximate cost \$800. We mailed the powder to our fieldsite in advance as prohibited on aircraft (fertilizers are used in bombs).*

2. **Determine which species of dead leaf (“detritus species #2) is favoured by bromeliad detritivores.** This does not need to be the same species that you are using for the 15N fertilization, but of course can be! This can be done in several ways. First, you may already have experience studying detrital decomposition in your system, and have determined which detrital species are preferred. Or you could use observation. Finally, you could do a short (one week) test by placing a few common detritivores in tubes with a specific leaf species, repeated for other candidate leaf species, and determining which leaf species is preferred. Use this species for leaf packs (otherwise may get no detrital processing, as has happened to DS lab before!).

3. **Determine the surface area of your bromeliads using image analysis.** To do this, place the bromeliad to be photographed in a container so that it stands upright with its leaves naturally positioned. Place next to it a ruler or other item of known length. Take the photograph looking straight down on the bromeliad and ruler, perhaps by standing on a chair. Use Image J to calculate the surface area of the bromeliad from the photograph (Appendix 1).
4. **Equalise abundances of the major invertebrate groups, and detritus amounts, between bromeliads.** For each bromeliad, wash out its contents as completely as humanly possible, and capture them in a bucket. Sieve the contents of the bucket, and sort the sieved contents into detritus and each of the main invertebrate families (DS uses sieves of size 850  $\mu$ m and 150  $\mu$ m to do this, but use what you have). Sorting to species will probably take more time than we have, and the aim is to only have the community structure approximately equal – oviposition during the experiment will add noise to initial compositions so little point in having them identical (can't estimate species-specific mortality in an open system), hence the recommendation to sort only to family. Keep the invertebrates in pots with lids, in water with a bit of detritus. Avoid overcrowding, especially for tipulids (high viral load, keep singly if possible). Tabanids prefer damp paper towel, predators should be fed every day. Keep detritus damp, do not cover. The aim is to sort coarsely to be able to complete this step in <5 days (to minimize invertebrate death). When you have sorted all 30 bromeliads this way, divide the total amount of detritus by 30, and the total invertebrate numbers in each family by 30.

*Example: in Costa Rica we have budgeted 3 days for 7 people to complete this task. Each day we will collect 10 bromeliads from the forest, take their photo for Image J, and wash out contents using a hose while holding each plant upside down over a giant funnel. We know that suspending the bromeliads upside down in a large tub of water can further empty out the insects, so we may do this after using the hose. We will estimate average abundances of each family to put in each bromeliad, and will initially put 80% of this amount in, as mortality of insects while in captivity may reduce the amount that we have by the time the third block is assembled. Any insects remaining after 80% added will be divided between bromeliads and supplemented by additional individuals obtained by pipetting further bromeliads. If we do not have enough of a particular family (e.g. 27 odonate individuals but 30 bromeliads) we will also use supplemental organisms from pipetting.*

5. **When the bromeliad is empty, measure maximum water volume.** Pour a known amount of water into a bromeliad until it overflows, capture and measure the overflow. The volume of water in the bromeliad is water added - water overflowed.
6. **Reassemble bromeliads with identical quantities of detritus and invertebrate composition (at the family level).**
7. **Construct rain shelters over each bromeliad, in the field.** Rain shelters will be made of wire and transparent plastic sheet (Appendix 2). Bromeliads should either be rooted in the ground or

hanging from trees, as appropriate to your field site. Hanging bromeliads should be secured so that they cannot swing in strong winds and spill water.

8. **Add ibuttons.** ibuttons must be programmed prior to using; program each ibutton to record every hour for the duration of the experiment. Wrap ibuttons tightly in parafilm. Add at least one ibutton (ideally two) to the central tank in each bromeliad (ibutton failure rate = 5%, so we will likely be missing data from one or more bromeliads if you only use one). Use another three to measure air temperature during the experiment – place each under a small box with holes cut for air passage, with the ibutton about 20 cm aboveground. Total ibuttons required = 63.
9. **Stagger start date of each block.** Remember that ten randomly selected treatments will start on day 1, another ten on day 2, another ten on day 3 (see step 7 of Precipitation Manipulation Schedule. This will allow enough time to sample invertebrates at end of experiment (10 bromeliads per day).
10. **Fill each bromeliad with the MEDIAN amount of “rain” that the ambient treatment will receive.** The median values will be provided with the R script output. This starts all bromeliads off the same, so we can have a 3 day pre-treatment period for bacteria and protists.
11. **Wait 3 days (no further precipitation added) for bromeliad communities to equilibrate.**
12. **Make 60 leaf packs using detritus species #2 (APPENDIX 5).** I suggest that you dry and weigh two - three leaves first, then place them in water to make them flexible, and then tie together the three leaves with the finest (thinnest) possible monofilament (fishing line) sewn along the middle vein to create a leaf pack. If you attempt to sew together the leaves when they are dry the leaves will fragment.

Example. Block 1 of the Costa Rican bromeliads will receive median water on October 4, will be unwatered on Oct 5, 6, and will be sampled for bacteria and protists on the morning of Oct 7. It will receive Day 1 of the rainfall schedule on Oct 8. Block 3 will receive the same amount of median water on Oct 6 and will be sampled for bacteria and protists on Oct 9 morning, with Day 1 of its rainfall schedule being Oct 10<sup>th</sup>.

At the very start of the 60 day precipitation manipulation period (3 days after adding median water):

1. **Sample water for initial micro-fauna (“zooplankton”).** You will mix up the water in a leaf well as follows: suck up 1 ml of water into the micropipettor tip, depress the plunger of the micropipette quickly to shoot the water back out of the tip, suck 1 ml up again right away, depress plunger quickly, suck up 1 ml again, depress plunger again, suck up 1 ml again and gently put this sample in a labelled microcentrifuge tube. Repeat for another leaf. Add enough drops of Lugol’s solution that the sample is deep brown. If the samples become pale over time, more Lugol’s should be added. Avoid the central tank and outer leaves if possible. We

recommend that you also physically scratch the bromeliad ID on each micro-centrifuge tube, as if one tube opens the Lugols will dissolve all ink written on all tubes.

2. **Sample water for bacterial abundance.** As for the protest samples, take a 1 ml sample from a leaf using a micropipettor, squirt back in leaf to mix water up, repeat 3 times, save last 1 ml sample and put in a 3 dram or > 10 ml secure-lid vial. Repeat in a total of 9 leaves (total = 9 ml). Add enough formaldehyde-Borax solution to obtain a final formaldehyde concentration in the sample of 4% (usually 1 ml: APPENDIX). Avoid the central tank if possible, and the two leaves used for protest samples.
3. **Place two leaf packs in each bromeliad.** Leaf packs should be placed in each of two (marked) middle tanks of the bromeliad, avoid the central tank.

#### Precipitation manipulation

1. Add water daily to each bromeliad according to bromeliad's treatment schedule for exactly 60 days. When calculating 60 days, note that you include the final date (there are some date duration calculators on the internet that help avoid making mistakes here). The amount of water to add is average bromeliad catchment area x precipitation of that day in that treatment. Water to be divided amongst leaves (roughly, by eye). A 50 ml syringe and a small watering can with a long thin spout might be useful.

#### During the experiment:

1. **Measure water depth in central tank and two (marked) outer leaves in each bromeliad, every day if possible (at least every two days).** To be clear, record the identity of the leaf and bromeliad next to the depth measurement on each day, so that we can use leaf identity as a random factor in the analysis.
2. **Record daily precipitation and max/min temperature for your fieldsite.**
3. **Observe and record spiders and any other terrestrial predators.** This can be done as a visual survey every week, where the abundance of obvious (visible without disturbing bromeliad) spiders are recorded, and any other predator (though non-spider predators are usually impossible to see). Coarse taxonomy is fine here (e.g. record spiders as web building, hunting or jumping). We are expecting a shift from aquatic to terrestrial biota with our treatments, and this is one way to quantify this.
4. **Add 15N enriched leaves (detritus species #2) at midpoint of the experiment.** Enriched leaves should be dried and weighed prior to addition. Add as many leaves as possible, as long as each bromeliad has an equal mass of enriched leaves added. Aim to add 10-25% of the detrital amount already in the bromeliad. Ideally enriched senescent leaves that have fallen in the net would be used, but you will likely not have enough and need to supplement these with enriched green leaves too. Gustavo Romero has had success using green leaves from the enriched Pitanga plants, dried and weighed prior to addition.



At the end of the experiment:

1. **Median rainfall on day 61.** Rainfall schedule in each block ends 60 days after commencement of experiment. The day after Day 60 of the rainfall schedule, each bromeliad should receive the median amount of rainfall for that particular treatment. To be clear, there will be 30 different median values in this experiment, and these should have been output from the R script. This step is to ensure that each treatment ends with a representative rainfall amount. Allow 3 days without water to elapse.
2. **Day 63. Highly desired: *Water chemistry and chlorophyll*.** Turbidity, pH and dissolved oxygen could be particularly informative, and can be easily determined by handheld meters. Oxygen meters typically take some time to equilibrate: older models slowly consume oxygen as they measure it, but new technology has circumvented this problem. The Aquaflor fluorometer can be purchased with a turbidity channel.

*Example: In Costa Rica, Day 60 of rainfall for Block 1 is Dec 6. On Dec 7, each bromeliad in this block receives its median rainfall amount. No rain on Dec 8,9. Water chemistry to be sampled on Dec 9<sup>th</sup> afternoon for this block. CO<sub>2</sub>, bacteria, protists, chlorophyll and inverts for this block to be sampled on Dec 10<sup>th</sup>. The respective dates for Block 2 are one day later, and for block 3 are three days later. The CO<sub>2</sub> and bacterial samples will be carried in a cool box (on towels over ice in a cooler, not directly on ice) to a refrigerator in town as soon as they are collected, and then flown back to UBC in a cool box Dec 13/14. The bacterial samples will be flash frozen with liquid nitrogen upon arrival Dec 14<sup>th</sup>, and then kept at -70 C until they can be quantified with epi-fluorescence microscopy. The CO<sub>2</sub> samples will be analysed when the Gas Analyzer facility opens on Monday, Dec 17<sup>th</sup>.*

3. **Highly desired: *CO<sub>2</sub> and methane*. Collect at dawn/dusk before disturbing bromeliad with any further sampling.** Diane's group has had nice results from measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> flux and methane emission. If water samples are immediately put in a cooler, it is possible to fly with the samples and have them analysed in a gas analyser, although there is some risk: the vacuum conditions mean that the glass vials can break if the water gets too cold (expansion). Samples should be analysed within 72 hours of collection. Trisha Atwood is willing to collect samples, and fly them back to UBC for analysis (estimated cost: \$2000 per field site because of flight, analyser, and stipend costs). These water samples would be obtained at dusk the day before the remaining sampling begins, and should be done by someone with some training – pretty tricky to do correctly. 3ml water sample for each of CO<sub>2</sub> and methane, ideally with repeats.
4. **Before you do anything else, cut two bromeliad leaves (inner leaf 2 and 3, avoid very centre one) above the water line and place in a paper envelope to be dried at 60 degrees.** We need

enough tissue to measure nitrogen, so if the leaves are unusually small you would need to collect more. This tissue sample should be clean of debris and – especially – epiphyll species like bryophytes, and dried at 50-60 degrees C. Samples will be analysed for N15 and %N at either UC Davis or Cornell.

5. **Next, remove the leaf pack/leaf bag.** This will be transported back to the lab in a plastic bag, any associated invertebrates removed, and the detritus will be dried at 60 degrees C and weighed.
6. **Sample water for initial micro-fauna (“zooplankton”).** You will mix up the water in a leaf well as follows: suck up 1 ml of water into the micropipettor tip, depress the plunger of the micropipette quickly to shoot the water back out of the tip, suck 1 ml up again right away, depress plunger quickly, suck up 1 ml again, depress plunger again, suck up 1 ml again and gently put this sample in a labelled microcentrifuge tube. Repeat for another leaf. Add enough drops of Lugol’s solution that the sample is deep brown. If the samples become pale over time, more Lugol’s should be added. Avoid the central tank and outer leaves if possible. We recommend that you also physically scratch the bromeliad ID on each micro-centrifuge tube, as if one tube opens the Lugols will dissolve all ink written on all tubes.
7. **Sample water for bacterial abundance.** As for the protest samples, take a 1 ml sample from a leaf using a micropipettor, squirt back in leaf to mix water up, repeat 3 times, save last 1 ml sample and put in a 3 dram or > 10 ml secure-lid vial. Repeat in a total of 9 leaves (total = 9 ml). Add enough formaldehyde-Borax solution to obtain a final formaldehyde concentration in the sample of 4% (usually 1 ml: APPENDIX). Avoid the central tank if possible, and the two leaves used for protest samples.
8. **Next, carefully remove (with large mouth pipet) and measure the amount of water contained in each bromeliad and place in a bucket.** This allows us to convert our protest and bacterial density measurements to per bromeliad amounts. Add any detritus still stuck in the bromeliad that can be pulled out with forceps. Stir, let 10 seconds elapse, and obtain a 50 ml water sample for chlorophyll (if 50 ml not possible, you could use less if the water is green enough).  
**Chlorophyll estimation:** Remove all insects from the 50 ml water sample first! Then, either filter with G/C filters or measure chlorophyll with a Aquaflor fluorometer – or ideally, do both. I recommend both, as then if we lose one measurement we can still estimate it from the other. Our fluorometer gets so shaken in the airplane before we can calibrate it back at UBC, that we can only use it for relative estimates. Exact protocol in Appendix.
9. **Return bucket (and bromeliad if using dissection method) to station, and sort for invertebrates.** The bromeliads could be sampled either by dissection (maximum efficiency, but destructive) or by washing (results in bias, and efficiency will vary with bromeliad architecture – try a final rinse with a water-vinegar mixture to get insects to “let go”). All aquatic invertebrates found will be identified to **species or morphospecies**, and **important** either body length or size

class noted. The latter size measurements will be combined with pre-determined allometric relationships that convert size measures to biomass for each taxa/taxonomic group.

## **ANALYSIS**

The overall analysis will be a three factor regression (site x precipitation mean x precipitation aggregation, all fixed effects) with the following response variables:

Invertebrates: total biomass, abundance, richness, predator:prey biomass

Microfauna: total abundance of each of ciliates, rotifers, amoeba

Bacterial density

Chlorophyll

Ecosystem functions: Detrital processing, Nitrogen uptake by bromeliad, CO<sub>2</sub> and methane (at sites where facilities exist)

Environmental conditions: Days without water, mean hydroperiod (consecutive dry days), temperature variation, mean temperature, mean water depth.

Covariates: bromeliad size.

We will look for thresholds by using non-linear functions in glm or gls, and possibly by using gam models.

Multivariate analyses (e.g. ADONIS, PERMANOVA) are needed for composition. We expect minimal overlap in invertebrate species between sites, so a better approach here is analysis at the family level and the functional group level. Ciliate species data may need to be analysed similarly, or else composition effects can be determined at the site level, and the responses qualitatively compared between sites.

## **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX 1. How to use Image J to calculate the surface area of the bromeliad from the photograph

APPENDIX 2. Construction of rain shelters from wire and transparent plastic sheet.

APPENDIX 3: Leaf packs

APPENDIX 4. Microbial Formalin solution


APPENDIX 5. Chlorophyll estimation.

## Appendix 1: Canopy Cover and Bromeliad Surface Area Using Image J


Open an image using the free software: Image J (<http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/download.html>).

### Bromeliad Surface Area:


1. Using an image that has a size reference, zoom in and select a box the height or width of the reference measurement using the rectangular drawing tool. Right click the box and select the **Control Panel**.




2. In the **Selection** folder, choose **Specify**.



3. Choose the measurement specified based on the reference measurement in the image.




4. In the **Analyze** menu, select **Set Scale**.




5. Enter the pixel distance from the Specify menu and the known distance from the image as well as the units used.






6. Use the freehand drawing tool or polygon drawing tool to select the entire perimeter of the bromeliad, go to the **Analyze** menu and select **Measure**. (If you look carefully at image below, you will see that the bromeliad has been outlined in yellow).




7. This will give a result for the area of the selected shape.



### Appendix 3: Preparing leaf packs (*Ana Z. Gonçalves*)

- Dry the leaves at 70° C for 24h.
- Weigh the leaves and give them a code (for example, in my case I weigh two leaves together).
- If the leaves are now brittle (likely to break with handling), you may want to soak them in water until they are flexible.
- **Sew** the leaves:
  1. Use a thin monofilament (fishing line; I used the 0.16 mm, 4 Lb / 2Kg);
  2. Make a knot in one of the ends of the monofilament line;




3. Sew the leaves together near the leaf main vein with a thin needle (in six points, passing the main vein; if you can't see the monofilament on the orange leaf I have drawn the path on the yellow leaf);





4. In the other end of the line, put a piece of duct tape and the leaf/leaves code with a permanent pen. Notice that the long piece of monofilament allows the duct tape label to hang out of the bromeliad, and helps you find it again.



5. After experiment, retrieve leaves, place in ziplock bag and return to station. Remove monofilament carefully.
6. Dry leaf remnants, and then weigh.

## **Appendix 4: Formalin-Borax solution (modified from A. Pires)**

### **Reagents:**

**Formalin supersaturated with borax:** Add Borax (sodium tetraborate) to formalin (formaldehyde 36-38%) until it precipitates, using a magnetic stirrer. Borax is the trademark for a cleaning compound made of sodium tetraborate sold in supermarkets, etc. Diluted formaldehyde is called formalin. You will need to add the Borax over one or two days until no further Borax can be dissolved. A bit of undissolved Borax will remain on the bottom of the flask. Transfer to a leakproof bottle (either amber glass or brown Nalgene). Although you technically only need 60 ml of this solution, we recommend making 200 ml.

### **Bacteria preservation**

Water samples should be fixed with formaldehyde solution (Formalin) supersaturated with Borax. Avoid the solid Borax crystals at the bottom of the bottom (e.g. pre-fill your sample tubes at the field station before going to bromeliads). Add 1 ml of this formalin-Borax solution in 9 ml of sample. The final concentration of formaldehyde in your sample will therefore be about 3-4%. Store in the refrigerator until preparing the slides. If longer than a few days, flash freezing in liquid nitrogen is recommended.

### **Bacterial density**

Bacterial density can be determined by epi-fluorescence microscopy (requires about 3-4 ml per slide) or a flow cytometer (requires less than 1 ml). Flow cytometry relies on the bacterial cells not being deformed, so is best when the samples can be flash frozen right away.

### **Bacterial abundance**

Total water volume x bacterial density = bacterial abundance

## **Appendix 5: chlorophyll –a concentration (modified from V. Farjalla)**

There are two different methodologies to perform the chlorophyll-a analysis. The first one is based on direct spectrofluorimetric analysis of the water sample and the other is based on indirect (filtered) spectrophotometric analyses of the water samples. Ideally, do both, but otherwise choose one. Remember that the water for these analyses is homogenized in the leaf well by the pipet prior to collecting.

### **Direct analysis by spectrofluorometry.**

1. First, you must have a portable spectrofluorometer. We have been using one from Turner Designs (<http://www.turnerdesigns.com/t2/instruments/aquafluor.html>) with good results.
2. The measurement is done directly by using a 1-cm cuvette filled with unfiltered water sample.
3. The obtained value should be converted to chlorophyll-a concentration in the lab by performing a calibration curve with a known chlorophyll-a standard, either obtained from Turner or prepared in the lab (e.g. an algae culture or lettuce leaves). This calibration curve will require a different type of fluorometer, a lab spectrofluorometer that measures chlorophyll on acid-digested samples not living samples. Note that the next method also requires a spectrofluorometer. Details on this calibration step available from Angelica Gonzalez ([agonzale@zoology.ubc.ca](mailto:agonzale@zoology.ubc.ca))

### **Analysis by spectrophotometry.**

1. First you must filter the water samples. You can use a portable filter device composed by a 50-ml syringe, a re-usable 25-mm filter-cap, 25mm 1.2 um cellulose filters (GF-C – Whatman or similar) and vials to capture the water after it has been filtered (for water color analysis). I have shown this technique in the Bromeliad Workshop.
2. Filter as much water as you can before the filter becomes clogged. Put the clogged filter safely aside, replace with a new filter, and continue filtering the water. TAKE NOTE OF THE TOTAL VOLUME OF FILTERED WATER USED FOR BOTH FILTERS (i.e. sum the amount of water filtered for each filter). To be clear, you should therefore have two filters for each bromeliad.
3. Keep both filters (for chlorophyll-a analysis) and filtered water (for water color).
4. The filters should be kept in the dark and frozen (or, at least, refrigerated) as much as you can.
5. The best way to save the filters is to fold the filter in the half (with the filtered part in the interior), cover them with aluminum foil and put both replicates in Eppendorff® tubes.
6. The filtered water should be kept in the dark and refrigerated as much as you can. Freeze if you will not be analyzing within 2 or 3 days.
7. Upon return to your lab, you will need to digest these samples with acid, and measure with a spectrofluorometer. Details on this step available from Angelica Gonzalez ([agonzale@zoology.ubc.ca](mailto:agonzale@zoology.ubc.ca))