id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003833), we get a look at some of the rules that we can use to create more compelling visualizations. Five of those ten priciples that we use in this notebook are: • Know your audience - We know the client well, after having had a few months together. We also know that his goal in this analysis is to better understand his exercise data. • Captions are not optional - We thoroughly describe our steps and processes before creating each visualization in order to make an easily recognizable narritive. • Do not trust the defaults - This notebook will update many attributes of our figures, helping to better represent the data and our findings. • Use color effectively - In each visualization, color helps us encode other attributes in our data, add depth to our plotting and maps, and make our visualizations less binary • Avoid chartjunk - Thankfully many of our libraries don't make using chartjunk very easy. We will avoid chartjunk in order to prove our point in a more relatable way **Libraries Used** We will use matplotlib, pandas, numpy, folium, datetime, and altair. Now, to the data cleaning! In [1]: | #import our libraries and our data import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib as mpl import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import altair as alt import folium from datetime import datetime %matplotlib inline In [2]: #Our visualizations will be in seaborn style mpl.style.use('seaborn') #Read in our data data = pd.read_csv('strava.csv') #Drop columns with no data or redundant features data = data.drop(columns = ['unknown_87', 'unknown_88', 'unknown_90', 'Cadence', 'fractional_cadence']) #Some basic formatting for grouping data['timestamp'] = pd.to datetime(data['timestamp']) data['date'] = data['timestamp'].dt.date #Turn lattitude and longitude into degrees data['position lat'] = data['position lat'] * (180 / 2**31) data['position_long'] = data['position_long'] * (180 / 2**31) #Let's look at our resulting dataset print('Strava data shape:', data.shape) print('Columns in our dataset: ', data.columns.values) data.head() Strava data shape: (40649, 18) Columns in our dataset: ['Air Power' 'Form Power' 'Ground Time' 'Leg Spring Stiffness' 'Power' 'Vertical Oscillation' 'altitude' 'cadence' 'datafile' 'distance' 'enhanced_altitude' 'enhanced_speed' 'heart_rate' 'position_lat' 'position long' 'speed' 'timestamp' 'date'] Out[2]: Leg Air Form Ground Vertical Power altitude cadence datafile distance enhanced_altitude enhanc Spring Oscillation Power Power Time **Stiffness** 0.0 activities/2675855419.fit.gz 0.00 0 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN 0.0 activities/2675855419.fit.gz 1 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN 0.00 NaN 2 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN 54.0 activities/2675855419.fit.gz 1.32 NaN 3 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN 3747.0 77.0 activities/2675855419.fit.gz 12.19 249.4 NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN NaN 3798.0 77.0 activities/2675855419.fit.gz 14.08 259.6 The Data This is data that is timestamped nearly every second during exercizing. Because of this frequent timestamp, we can do some cool basic aggregations of features of each exercise event. There's alot to look at here, so let's describe it with some fundimental statistical methods. In [3]: print(data.describe()) Ground Time Leg Spring Stiffness Air Power Form Power count 17842.000000 17842.000000 17847.000000 17842.000000 1.872100 99.485932 325.934107 13.138571 mean 2.777476 13.866222 71.773687 std 2.039567 min 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 25% 1.000000 97.000000 308.000000 13.000000 50% 1.000000 101.000000 326.000000 13.375000 75% 2.000000 105.000000 340.000000 13.750000 48.000000 125.000000 max 1732.000000 16.875000 Vertical Oscillation cadence Power altitude 40627.000000 count 17847.000000 17847.000000 14905.000000 301.459797 mean 6.458074 3846.184368 72.781254 48.540552 1.135497 134.262498 17.743728 std 0.000000 0.000000 3555.000000 0.000000 min 25% 283.000000 6.125000 3768.000000 74.000000 50% 303.000000 6.500000 3829.000000 78.000000 7.000000 3912.000000 80.000000 75% 326.000000 462.000000 12.500000 5043.000000 118.000000 max

enhanced speed

40639.000000

3.037084

1.959805

0.000000

2.109000

2.445000

2.809000

University

of Michiga

15.349000

speed

0.000000

2370.000000

7744.000000

heart rate

134.680094

18.713782

56.000000

121.000000

136.000000

148.000000

183.000000

University

of Michigan

Botanical Gardens

US 23

Concordia University Ann Arbor

38355.000000

Strava Data Exploration and Analysis

Rules Used for Better Figures

There is a growing amount of data in the field of sports and excercise. Strava keeps track of our data as we excercise, helping us to look deeper into the effects of exercising and make better goals. This notebook will be a breif exploration of my client's Strava experience. In this

notebook, we use scatter plots, histograms, heatmaps, and interactive mapping to tell the story of my clients exercise adventures in

We will make conclusions about exercise time, speed, heart rate vs. total distance, and exercise location.

In Nicholas Rougier's article, 'Ten Simple Rules for Better Figures' (https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?

by Ben Merrill

Michigan.

There is a huge range of distances here, from 1000 meters to nearly 40000! It also looks like most of your exercise has been in the same area, in a relative lattitude and longitude. That begs the following question:

#Create a map in Ann Arbor

distance

0.000000

42.290122

0.014173

42.243687

42.281651

42.287672

42.296416

42.657785

position_lat position_long

Where have you exercised?

#Let's look at all of the places you've run!

40457.000000 40457.000000 14928.000000

-84.296446

-83.740067

Using an interactive map with the Folium library, we'd like to see where you've run.

-83.164726

40649.000000

4097.140051

5827.964663

1117.970000

2430.500000

4403.730000

39007.120000

count

mean

std

min

25%

50%

75%

max

count

mean

std

min

25%

50%

75%

max

enhanced altitude

40598.000000

271.346027

25.035768

209.000000

252.800000

269.200000

291.200000

508.600000

-83.778586 2067.483856

-83.768620 1782.000000

-83.757074 2071.000000

0.115364 527.173476

Ann Arbor

M 17 Packard Road US 23 BL I 94 Leaflet | Data by @ OpenStreetMap, under ODbL. It looks like my client has been all over Ann Arbor. They are also quite a traveller, with a few trips down I-94, and what looks like an outlier in the Detroit suburbs. Some of the most common roads travelled on are: Miller Avenue Brooks Street (Look, it's named after you!) Pomona Road Packard Street Around some Elementary and Middle Schools Along the Huron River Now, we've got a feel for where exercise happens. Now we can address few other small questions about individual events and exercise habits. **How Often Does the Client Exercise?** In [5]: #Create distances grouped by event max distance = data.resample('D', on='timestamp')['distance'].max() #Create a typical plot size mpl.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = [16.0,6.0] #Plot your exercise by distance plt.bar(max_distance.index, max_distance, label='run length') #Create vertical lines to display basic distance stats plt.axhline(y=max_distance.mean(), color='r', linestyle='--', label='average exercise distance') plt.axhline(y=max_distance.median(), color='g', linestyle='--', label='median exercise distance') #Create legend and axis labels plt.xlabel('Date') plt.ylabel('Distance (Meters)') plt.title('Exercising Distances')

Exercising Distances

2019-08-15

running mask = (data['timestamp'] - datetime(2019, 9, 5)).apply(lambda x: x.days) < 0</pre> data['activity'] = ['Running' if event == True else 'Biking' for event in running mask]

time proportion hours = (time proportion hours/sum(time proportion hours)).reset index()

time proportion hours = data.groupby(['activity', 'hour'])['date'].count()

time proportion hours['hour'] = time proportion hours['hour'].astype('int') time_proportion_hours['date'] = time_proportion_hours['date'].astype('float64')

Wow, the client gets out alot! Judging by the distances here, in the map, and in the describe function, they did alot of running in July and August, and began cycling in September and October. As we answer our next question, let's take a look at aggregating the total time spent

Date

activity Biking

Running

2019-09-01

2019-09-15

2019-10-01

altitude

170

120

110

x=alt.X('hour:Q', scale=alt.Scale(domain=(0, 23)), axis = alt.Axis(title='Time of Day')), y=alt.Y('sum(date)', axis = alt.Axis(title='Proportion of Total Time Spent')), color='activity:N'

speed

for date in data['date'].unique():

#Append it to our dictionary

#Sorting columns by distance of event

plt.imshow(avg_heart_rate, cmap='RdPu')

fig.set size inches(18.5, 10.5)

lets build a heat map.

avg heart rate = {}

#Creating a heatmaps

plt.ylabel('Percentile')

fig=plt.gcf()

title='Time of Day for Exercise'

#Build a stacked bar chart

).properties(

0.22

0.20

0.16

0.14

0.12

Proportion of Total Time Spent 0.10 0.06 0.02 0.00 10 12 14 18 Time of Day It looks like most of the exercise was done after dinner, since, more than 50% of the exercise was done between 7PM and 10PM, and surprisingly, there were some very long late night runs. It also looks like during the day, the client didn't cycle. Now, to do another short analysis, let's look at some of the other attributes shown in the data and see how they correlate using a Scatter Plot Matrix chart. How do Speed, Distance, Heart Rate, and Altitude Correlate? show_in_splom = ['speed', 'distance', 'heart_rate', 'altitude'] In [7]: fig = pd.plotting.scatter_matrix(data[show_in_splom], alpha=.01, figsize=(16,10)) fig; 20000 175 150 100

plt.title('Does Distance effect average heart rate?') plt.colorbar(fraction=0.046, pad=0.02).set label('Heart Rate (bpm)') plt.show()

Does Distance effect average heart rate?

Activity by distance (<-- Longest, Shortest -->)

distance

How to Heart Rates and Total Distance Compare?

#Building a dataframe measuring each activity's heart rate by quantiles

temp day data = data[data['date'] == date].copy()

column order = sorted(avg heart rate.columns, reverse=True)

plt.xlabel('Activity by distance (<-- Longest, Shortest -->)')

distance = temp day data['distance'].max()

avg heart rate[distance] = heart rates avg heart rate = pd.DataFrame(avg heart rate)

avg heart rate = avg heart rate[column order]

#Are the shorter runs usually harder on the body, having a higher heart rate?

temp day data['quantiles'] = pd.qcut(temp day data['timestamp'], q=10)

This shows us practical correlation in the data. For instance, as we might expect, speed and heart rate have a slightly positive correlation. I chose a low alpha on this splom to show the density of the data. It's also interesting to see what happens to heart rate as distance goes up. It seems that if distance increases, heart rate does not. The shortest distances seem to have the highest heart rates. To check if this is true,

#Cut our data into quantiles and groupby quantiles, finding the mean heart rate of each percentage

heart rates = temp day data.groupby('quantiles')['heart rate'].mean().reset_index(drop=True)

100 90 In this chart, we see the percentiles on the y-axis, outlining the first 10%, 20%, etc. of the event, and the activity on the x-axis, sorted by the distance, with the longest event at the 0, and the shortest at the around 50. The colors show the overall heart rate for each event by quantile, with the darkest events having the highest heart rates. We know that the first ten columns are bicycling, showing a higher average heart rate for the longer rides. We see the same when we look at the running events, where the highest heart-rate columns tend to be in the middle, and the lightest are on the shorter end. We also see that for most events, the heart rate tends to stay fairly level, not changing abruptly over time, but often with a slow start, as we might expect, since when starting to exercise, you don't immediately have a high heart rate. Conclusion

Our client didn't want their data shared, so we only show the ipynb and pdf files.

Note

m=folium.Map(location=[42.27,-83.72], zoom_start=13) #Map each run by its day, lattitude, and longitude for date in data['date'].unique(): long = data[data['timestamp'].dt.date == date]['position long'].dropna() lat = data[data['timestamp'].dt.date == date]['position lat'].dropna() temp_line = folium.PolyLine(locations=zip(lat, long), weight=2,color='blue').add_to(m) #Let's look at it display(m)

In [4]:

Distance (Meters)

plt.legend()

40000

35000

30000

20000

15000

10000

5000

Out[5]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x1db6ea67e20>

2019-07-15

exercising, seperated by whether you were on the bike or running.

data['hour'] = data['timestamp'].apply(lambda x: x.hour)

alt.Chart(time_proportion_hours).mark_bar().encode(

Time of Day for Exercise

What time do you exercise?

#Sort by proportion of time spent each hour

#Create a column for biking or running

2019-08-01

 average exercise distance median exercise distance

run length

In [6]:

Out[6]:

In [8]:

In this notebook, we saw the location and other attributes of our client's exercise, such as time of day, activity, distances, and habits on shorter or longer runs and bike rides. To explore further, we could measure more correlation of figures, or predict a common path, or new path that could be interesting for the client to explore.