

# Assignment3

Benjamin Moran

30 August 2016

Given the random vector  $\mathbf{Y}$ , the **Kullback-Leibler Divergence** is the **distance** between two joint pdfs in the same family, indexed by a **parameter**  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ , say  $f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)$  and  $f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2)$ , defined as

$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) = \frac{1}{n} E_1 \left[ \log \left( \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2)} \right) \right]$$

where  $E_1$  denotes **expectation** with respect to the **joint pdf** determined by  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_1$ . That is,

$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \log \left( \frac{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)}{f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{Y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2)} \right) f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) d\mathbf{y}$$

## Question 1

Let us consider the  $n \times 1$  **dependent vector**  $\mathbf{X}$  and the  $n \times k$  **observed matrix of independent variables**  $Z$  as defined in Slide 10. We construct two **regression models** between  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $Z$  as follows:

$$\begin{cases} (i) & \mathbf{X} = Z\beta_1 + \mathbf{W}_1 \\ (ii) & \mathbf{X} = Z\beta_2 + \mathbf{W}_2 \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{W}_1 = (\mathcal{W}_{11}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{n1})'$  and  $\mathbf{W}_2 = (\mathcal{W}_{12}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{n2})'$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_{11}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{n1} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N[0, \sigma_1^2]$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{12}, \dots, \mathcal{W}_{n2} \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N[0, \sigma_2^2]$  are two **independent** series. If we define  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_1 := (\beta_1', \sigma_1^2)'$  and  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_2 := (\beta_2', \sigma_2^2)'$ , show that the **Kullback-Leibler Divergence** between the joint pdf of  $\mathbf{X}$  based on models (i) and (ii) is given as:

$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - \log \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{(\beta_1 - \beta_2)' Z' Z (\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{2n\sigma_2^2}$$

**Answer:** We are given (in the lecture notes) that the random observation vector  $\mathbf{X}$  - corresponding to the Regression Model defined in the question - has a **Multivariate Normal** distribution with **mean** vector  $Z\beta$  and the **Covariance** matrix  $\sigma_{\mathcal{W}}^2 I = \Gamma$ , where  $I$  is an  $n \times n$  identity matrix (Chapter 2: slide 14). The pdf for a Mutivariate Normal Distribution is given by:

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Gamma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - Z\beta)' \Gamma^{-1} (x - Z\beta) \right\}$$

We can rewrite the expression for the **Kullback-Leibler Divergence** above as:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \log \left( \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)}{f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2)} \right) d\mathbf{X} \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \log \left( \frac{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Gamma_1|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - Z\beta_1)' \Gamma_1^{-1} (x - Z\beta_1) \right\}}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Gamma_2|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (x - Z\beta_2)' \Gamma_2^{-1} (x - Z\beta_2) \right\}} \right) d\mathbf{X} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \log \left( \frac{|\Gamma_1|}{|\Gamma_2|} \right) d\mathbf{X} - \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) (x - Z\beta_1)' \Gamma_1^{-1} (x - Z\beta_1) d\mathbf{X} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) (x - Z\beta_2)' \Gamma_2^{-1} (x - Z\beta_2) d\mathbf{X} \\
&= -\frac{n}{2n} \log \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) d\mathbf{X} - \frac{(x - Z\beta_1)' (x - Z\beta_1)}{2n \Gamma_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) d\mathbf{X} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2n \Gamma_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) (x - Z\beta_2)' (x - Z\beta_2) d\mathbf{X}
\end{aligned}$$

Here we need to recall a few things. Firstly,  $f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta})$  is a pdf and therefore integrates to 1. Secondly, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x - Z\beta_1)' (x - Z\beta_1) = \Gamma_1 \\
\implies &\frac{(x - Z\beta_1)' (x - Z\beta_1)}{2n \Gamma_1} = \frac{\text{tr}(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_1^{-1})}{2n} = \frac{\text{tr}(I_n)}{2n} = \frac{n}{2n} = \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these results into the equation leaves:

$$I(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n \Gamma_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) (x - Z\beta_2)' (x - Z\beta_2) d\mathbf{X}$$

Now let's simplify the remaining integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\mathbf{X}}(x; \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) (x - Z\beta_2)' (x - Z\beta_2) d\mathbf{X} &= E_1 [(x - Z\beta_2)' (x - Z\beta_2)] \\
&= \Gamma_1 + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)' Z' Z (\beta_1 - \beta_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this back into the original, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1; \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) &= -\frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n \Gamma_2} (\Gamma_1 + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)' Z' Z (\beta_1 - \beta_2)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\text{tr}(I_n) \sigma_1^2}{2n \sigma_2^2} + \frac{(\beta_1 - \beta_2)' Z' Z (\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{2n \sigma_2^2} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sigma_1^2}{2 \sigma_2^2} + \frac{(\beta_1 - \beta_2)' Z' Z (\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{2n \sigma_2^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} - \log \left( \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{(\beta_1 - \beta_2)' Z' Z (\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{2n \sigma_2^2}
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

### Bonus Question

If the **true** value of the parameter vector is  $\theta = (\beta', \sigma^2)'$  and the **estimated** value based on the **sample**  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\beta}', \hat{\sigma}^2)'$ , one may argue that the **best** model would be one that **minimizes** the **Kullback-Leibler distance** between the joint-pdfs of **theoretical** value and the **sample** estimation, say  $I(\theta; \hat{\theta})$ . Because  $\theta$  will not be known, Hurvich and Tsai (1989) considered finding an **unbiased estimator** for  $E_{\theta}[I(\beta, \sigma^2; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)]$ , where

$$I(\beta, \sigma^2; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - \log \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right) - 1 \right) + \frac{(\beta - \hat{\beta})' Z' Z (\beta - \hat{\beta})}{2n\hat{\sigma}^2}$$

and  $\beta$  is a  $k \times 1$  regression parameter vector. Show that

$$E_{\theta}[I(\beta_1, \sigma_1^2; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2)] = \frac{1}{2} \left( -\log(\sigma^2) + E_{\theta}[\log(\hat{\sigma}^2)] + \frac{n+k}{n-k-2} - 1 \right).$$

**Answer:** Expectation is a linear function, so we can rewrite the above as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta}I(\beta, \sigma^2; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) &= \frac{1}{2} E \left[ \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} - \log \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right) - 1 + \frac{(\beta - \hat{\beta})' Z' Z (\beta - \hat{\beta})}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( E \left[ \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right] - E \left[ \log \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right) \right] - E[1] + E \left[ \frac{(\beta - \hat{\beta})' Z' Z (\beta - \hat{\beta})}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

From the reference text, we are given that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n\hat{\sigma}^2}{\sigma^2} &\sim \chi_{n-k}^2 \\ \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' Z' Z (\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} &\sim \chi_k^2 \end{aligned}$$

We are also given that if  $x \sim \chi_n^2 \implies E[(\frac{1}{x})] = \frac{1}{n-2}$ . So:

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right) \right] &= n * \left( \frac{1}{(n-k)-2} \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{n-k-2} \end{aligned}$$

In class it was shown that:

$$\frac{k}{n-k} \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' Z' Z (\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} \sim F_{k, n-k}$$

Given that  $E[F_{k, n-k}] = (n-k)/(n-k-2)$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} E \left[ \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' Z' Z (\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} \right] &= \frac{k}{n-k} \frac{n-k}{n-k-2} \\ &= \frac{k}{n-k-2} \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation of a scalar returns the scalar. So we can simplify the original equation as:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\theta}I(\beta, \sigma^2; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{n}{n-k-2} - E_{\theta} \left[ \log \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right) \right] - 1 + \frac{k}{n-k-2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ -E_{\theta} \left[ \log \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2} \right) \right] + \frac{n+k}{n-k-2} - 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the remaining  $\log$  term using the fact that  $\log(a/b) = \log(a) - \log(b)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} I(\beta, \sigma^2; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ - (E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} [\log(\sigma^2) - \log(\hat{\sigma}^2)]) + \frac{n+k}{n-k-2} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ -E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} [\log(\sigma^2)] + E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} [\log(\hat{\sigma}^2)] + \frac{n+k}{n-k-2} - 1 \right] \end{aligned}$$

We don't know how to evaluate  $E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} [\log(\hat{\sigma}^2)]$ , but  $\log(\sigma^2)$  is a constant - not a R.V. - so we can rewrite the equation as:

$$E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} I(\beta, \sigma^2; \hat{\beta}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\log(\sigma^2) + E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} [\log(\hat{\sigma}^2)] + \frac{n+k}{n-k-2} - 1 \right]$$

as required.

---